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The load-bearing capacity of joints using thin steel elements for wood buildings was considered. Six variants of a specific type of joint consisting of two wood elements in a ceiling structure joined by steel angles were experimentally tested. These variants differed in utilization of nails as well as screws (of various lengths) for wood. Another observed factor was the percentage of holes filled in the angles. In this work the percentage of holes filled means how many of the holes in the steel angles were filled by nails/screws. The evaluation characteristic was the maximum loading force at the breaking point. Based on the results, a set of recommendations for designing a specific type of joint with steel angles was formulated. The results showed that during loading of the joints, tensile stresses occur in the direction perpendicular to the fibers, which causes a failure of the wood parts of the joints.
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INTRODUCTION

The joints of wood construction elements are critical details from the viewpoint of the design of wood structures. Such structures show certain disadvantages compared to other material-based structures. When using steel or concrete, it is possible to create a technologically simple monolithic jointing of elements, whereas for wood, only the gluing of it can convert it into a monolithic jointing (Hrčka 1996).

Carpentry joints have been the most common joining method until recently. Their main disadvantage is significant weakening of the cross section. For these reasons, traditional carpentry joints have been replaced by metal jointing elements, which allow preservation of the joint nature. Joining process with metal elements is much simpler and faster. Metal joints create an analogy to steel plates with overpressed pins at truss girders. Therefore, these joints can be used for assembly on site and can be connected to wood by nails or screws. For their application, there is no need for qualified support of carpenters (Kuklík and Šťastný 2002). Based on the mutual position of the joint wood elements, there are three basic joints groups:

1. Joint, where the end of one element is in contact with the edge of the second element (Fig. 1). This type is known as end-grain to side-grain in English literature. This is, e.g., a jointing of ceiling beams to a bearer (examined scenario) jointing of a grid of beams or jointing of a bearer to column. For this contact, the most frequently used tools are U-shaped “slippers” and angle bars, both without and with reinforcing ribs (Kuklík and Šťastný 2002).
2. The elements are in contact on sides (side-grain to side-grain). This connection is created by ceiling beams or bearers with columns, attaching collar tie to a central purlin (Fig. 2). In this case, the elements are connected to angle bars with ribs or special angles (Kuklík and Šťastný 2002).

3. The jointing of the element endings (end-grain to end-grain) in the point of zero bending moment (Fig. 3). For this jointing, it is possible to substitute thin steel joints for a standard carpentry joint. These are mounted to the wood elements using special nails or screws. It is important to keep in mind that the joints transfer only the moving forces, not bending moments.

Because of great variability of jointing and utilization of steel elements, it is very difficult to design a general testing procedure. Currently, the RILEM TC 169 MTE technical board is concerned with this issue.

The following section will address the theoretical analysis of joint bearing capacity in more detail.

Total jointing bearing capacity is determined as a bearing capacity of each part of the jointing as defined by the following formula (Kanócz 2001),

$$ R_c = \min\{ R_d; R_t; R_h \} $$

(1)
where $R_c$ is the total jointing bearing capacity (MPa), $R_d$ is the nail joints bearing capacity (MPa), $R_i$ is the bearing capacity of connected wood elements (MPa), and $R_h$ is the bearing capacity of steel angles (MPa).

The resulting bearing capacity of the whole jointing is then determined as a value of bearing capacities of the individual jointing components. For this reason, fragmental analysis of individual bearing capacities is necessary (Kanócz 2001).

**Nail Bearing Capacity**

In most cases, nails with screw shanks are used because of their increased withdrawal bearing capacity. For the assessment of the shear bearing capacity of the jointing types, the European Yield Theory of K. W. Johansen (1949) appears to be the most suitable.

**Bearing Capacity of Connected Wood Elements**

All the dowel type joints, loaded slantwise to the wood fibers, cause significant tensile forces perpendicular to fibers. This is dangerous for two reasons. The force acts in the direction of the lowest bearing capacity and depends on the joint location with regard to the loaded edge. These tensions reach values exceeding the wood strength and therefore can lead to failure of the jointing, even before reaching the bearing capacities of the individual jointing types. According to Koželouh (1998), the tension strength perpendicular to fibers $f_{t, 90}$ is in the range of 1 to 2 MPa and depends on the loaded volume.

Tension strength perpendicular to fibers $f_{t, 90}$ is significantly reduced by the existing cracks, especially in the spring wood.

Currently, there is a number of methods for the description of these tensions. Among the most important methods belong:

- Simplified method adapted for EC 5;
- Method based on the theoretical and experimental evaluations;
- Method based on the fracture mechanics theory.

**Bearing Capacity of Steel Angles**

In the jointing, the thin steel joint is always exposed to a combination of various loads, and therefore determination of its bearing capacity is not simple. It is exposed to a combination of normal and shear forces. The distribution of the tension in the angle must be determined using a computer simulation based FEM.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

For the experimental evaluation, Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L.) trees were used. The trees were harvested in the Poľana region in the center of Slovakia. The trees were cut to planks of the dimensions $50 \times 110 \times 1000$ mm. Subsequently, the planks were glued together lengthwise to a beams with dimensions of $100 \times 110 \times 1000$ mm using adhesive Jowapur 686.60 (Jowat Corporation, Germany).

The 12% moisture content of the wood was achieved by conditioning in a climate chamber APT Line II (Binder; Germany), ($\phi = (65 \pm 3)\%$ and $t = (20 \pm 2)\, ^\circ C$) and verified according to ISO 13061-1 (2014). The average density of the wood was determined according to the standard ISO 13061-2 (2014). The oven-dry average density value $\rho_o$ was
0.410 g/cm³. This value is comparable with values published in literature, e.g. Požgaj et al. (1997). The samples were loaded on a FPZ 100 testing machine (Heckert, Germany). The six sets of samples were compared at the same conditions of loading (Fig. 4). Ten samples were assigned for each set.

![Fig. 4. The scheme of loading](image)

For a cross-wise jointing of two wood pieces of 100 × 110 mm profile and 1 m length, two steel thin angles were used (Fig. 5). Sets for classification of samples are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Classification of Sets of Samples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested sets</th>
<th>Holes filling of angles</th>
<th>Used joining types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variant A</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Nails φ4 × 40mm (Fig. 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant B</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Nails φ4 × 40mm (Fig. 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant C</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Screws φ5 × 40mm (Fig. 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant D</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Screws φ5 × 40mm (Fig. 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant E</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Screws φ5 × 25mm (Fig. 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant F</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Screws φ5 × 25mm (Fig. 7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figures 5, 6, and 7 the BMF steel elements made by Simpson Strong - Tie® company (USA) were used in the experiment. This experiment was aimed at the evaluation of the influence of hole filling percentage and the length of the screws.

![Fig. 5. BMF steel angle 2 × 60 × 60 × 60 mm (serial number 30666 00)](image)

![Fig. 6. BMF steel nail φ4 × 40 mm](image)

![Fig. 7. BMF steel screw φ5 × 40 mm and BMF screw φ5 × 25 mm](image)
Methods

The whole experiment was carried out according to Ruman (2009). Prior to the mechanical tests, the maximum tension force estimate $F_{EST}$ was measured. The loading was carried out according to the standard EN 26891 (1991). The value of measured estimate of the maximum tension was $F_{EST} = 16.5$ kN (for variants A, C, and E) and $F_{EST} = 10$ kN (for variants B, D, and F). In Fig. 8, positions of the sensors of deformation and loading force measurement are illustrated.

![Fig. 8. Scheme of shear sensors positioning (Y1, Y2, Y3)](image)

Deformation was measured by the universal data logger ALMEMO 2690-8 (Ahlborn GmbH; Germany).

The loading of joints was carried out up to a limit of $0.4 \times F_{EST}$, according to the standard EN 26891 (1991). Then, the sample was kept under this load for 30 sec. Later on, the loading was lowered to the value of $0.1 \times F_{EST}$, and again kept in the loaded state for 30 sec (Fig. 9).

After this period, the loading increased until the failure of the sample. In the case that failure did not occur below 15 mm deformation $Y_2$, the loading was stopped. During the test, a constant 0.93 cm/min speed of loading was kept.

![Fig. 9. Course of loading](image)

For each tested sample, a load – deformation curve (Fig. 10) was obtained and a deformation value at the maximum loading force $F_{MAX}$ determined. Figure 10 shows the ideal force – deformation relationship.
For the experiments, the following values were determined from the measured values according to the EN 26891 (1991):

- Maximum loading force $F_{\text{MAX}}$ [kN] (for variants A, C, and E)
- Maximum loading force at limit deflection of 15 mm, $F_{\text{MAX,15}}$ [kN] (for variants B, D, and F)

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 2 displays the results of the effects of individual factors as well as the two-factor interaction. Significance level “P” confirms very significant effects of the individual factors. Synergic effect of both factors was not demonstrated to be statistically significant.

**Table 2. Variance Analysis Evaluating the Effect of Individual Factors and Interaction on Maximum Loading Force**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitored factor</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Degrees of freedom</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Fisher's F - Test</th>
<th>Significance level P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>11195.74</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11195.74</td>
<td>4785.733</td>
<td>0.00000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jointing type</td>
<td>133.26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.63</td>
<td>28.481</td>
<td>0.0000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle hole filling percentage (%)</td>
<td>734.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>734.30</td>
<td>313.884</td>
<td>0.0000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jointing type * Angle hole filling percentage (%)</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.536279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>126.33</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11 shows that the highest values of the examined characteristics were found for screws of $\phi 5 \times 40$ mm. The average value of maximum loading force at sample failure for this case was 15.7 kN. The lowest average value of the examined characteristics was found for the $\phi 5 \times 25$ mm screws of 12.1 kN. In the case of using the $\phi 4 \times 40$ mm nails, the values of $F_{\text{MAX}}$ were lower than the values for the screws of $\phi 5 \times 40$ mm dimensions. Between the screws of $\phi 5 \times 25$ mm and nails, no statistically significant difference was found.

Figure 12 shows that with 100% angle holes filled the examined characteristics were able to reach significant greater values than with the 60% angle holes filled. The difference between the values of maximum loading force at sample failure between 60%
and 100% holes filled was 69% by jointing types. The portion of angle holes filled had a very significant effect on the values of $F_{\text{MAX}}$. This decrease results in significantly lowered functionality of the given jointing.

It is clear from the data in Figs. 13 and 14 that no significant difference was observed when comparing values for nails and screws of 25 mm length at 60% holes filled. The screws of 40 mm length showed significantly higher values compared with nails and screws of 25 mm length. Similar fact can be observed for the 100% angle holes filled.

The results of the angle holes filled comparison, confirmed a statistically significant difference between the obtained values. It was found that for the 100% angle holes filling, there were significantly higher values of $F_{\text{MAX}}$ in comparison with the 60% holes filling for all three examined cases.

From Fig. 14, it is evident that the highest values of the examined characteristics were found for screws of $\phi5 \times 40$ mm. The average value of maximum loading force at sample failure for this case was 19.2 kN. The lowest average value of 8.9 kN was found for $\phi5 \times 25$ mm screws at 60% angle holes filling.
Comparison of the $F_{\text{MAX}}$ values of all of the examined sets of samples is depicted in Fig. 15. Based on the results it can be concluded that the highest values of $F_{\text{MAX}}$ were measured for the variant C ($\phi 5 \times 40$ mm screws). The lowest values were for the variant B ($\phi 4 \times 40$ mm nails) and F ($\phi 5 \times 25$ mm screws).

**Fig. 15.** Influence of variant type on the maximum loading force

From the force – deformation curves illustrated in Fig. 16, it is clear that the curves of the jointing A, C, and E (at 100% angle holes filling) exhibited a similar course. The same can be said for the shape of curves characterizing jointing B, D, and F (100% angle holes filling). From the viewpoint of the lowest jointing type compliance, the $\phi 5 \times 40$ mm screws for wood at 100% angle holes filling appeared to be the most suitable. The $\phi 4 \times 40$ mm nails exhibited the highest level of compliance during the experiments.

**Fig. 16.** Average values of force – deformation diagrams for all variants

For jointing A, C, and E (100% angle holes filling by nails or screws) a similar type of failure occurred; in the last row of nails or screws, the loaded wood element broke due to the effect of tensile load perpendicular to fibers (Fig. 17). The failure occurred in identical parts of the wood. During the loading, a slight extraction of the nails and screws occurred.
For the variants A, C, and E (100% angle holes filling), plastic deformations on the angles were observed.

![Image](image1.png)

**Fig. 17.** Types of failures for variants A and C
*(Note: Orange arrows show visible cracks on loaded elements)*

For the jointing B, D, and F (60% angle holes filling), the failure of the wood as an effect of the tensile loading perpendicular to the fibers to the limit deflection of 15 mm did not occur. The sample deformations continued without a significant failure. After unloading, the samples did not return to their original position. Thus, a plastic deformation of the loaded element as well as the steel angles occurred. The steel angles deformed strongly for the jointing A, C, and E (100% angle holes filling). In Fig. 18 there are some types of failures or limited deformations.

![Image](image2.png)

**Fig. 18.** Types of failures for variants B and F

For the variants, 60% of filled holes the asymmetric arrangement of screws and nails was chosen because it is very frequent in praxis. In theory symmetrical arrangement prevails, *e.g.* Kermani and Goc (1999), Blass *et al.* (2000), and Kanócz (2002) *etc.*

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. Nails/screws should be inserted symmetrically into the angle holes pattern to achieve better loading capacity.
2. Longer jointing elements bring about higher joint strength in accordance with current standards.
3. Use of non-loaded bearing element of greater dimension, than the loaded element, is suitable for this type of joint. Therefore, the bearing element will not transfer overturning moment into the joint. But the loaded element cross-section should be also increased.

4. Further research is needed in the area of the influence of angle dimensions and type.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The paper was partially supported by grant of the Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic, NAZV, No. QJ 1330233 and also by project of the Internal Grant Agency of the Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences, No. B05/15. The authors would like to thank the Technical University in Zvolen, Slovakia for providing the testing laboratory and equipment.

REFERENCES CITED


Article submitted: August 27, 2015; Peer review completed: October 16, 2015; Revised version received and accepted: October 21, 2015; Published: November 6, 2015.
DOI: 10.15376/biores.11.1.33-43