Preparing a Novel Superabsorbent Based on Carboxymethyl Biocomposite: An Optimization Study via Response Surface Methodology

Jia Guo,^a Ming-Hua Liu,^b* and Hong-Xiu Gong^c

A novel superabsorbent was prepared by utilizing carboxymethyl starch and carboxymethyl cellulose biocomposites as a grafting backbone. The scheme of the CMS-CMC double backbone structure was speculated. It was proposed that the superabsorbent possesses a three-dimensional network with an interpenetrating structure. The response surface methodology was used to optimize the parameters. Interactions among the most influential variables, *i.e.*, the dosage of CMC, the acrylic acid to acrylamide mass ratio, and the neutralization degree of acrylic acid were estimated. A mathematical model was developed, which fit the experimental results well for all of the response variables. The optimal conditions included 28.8 % of CMC dosage, a 1.6 mass ratio of acrylic acid to acrylamide, and 73.4 % of neutralization degree of acrylic acid to achieve 815.2 g/g of water absorbency.

Keywords: Superabsorbent; Starch; Cellulose; Biocomposite; Response surface methodology

Contact information: College of Environment & Resources, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350108, China; *Corresponding author: mhliu2000@fzu.edu.cn

INTRODUCTION

Superabsorbent hydrogels possess excellent properties, *i.e.*, water absorbency and retentivity, which is due to a lightly crosslinked network structure and a hydrophilic functional group (Ma et al. 2011). With valuable properties, superabsorbents have received significant attention, especially in the last 30 years, and potential has been shown for their application in many fields such as agriculture (Wu et al. 2008; Lokhande and Varadara 1992), personal care and hygienic products (Kosemund et al. 2009), drugdelivery (Sadeghi and Hosseinzadeh 2008; Pourjavadi and Barzegar 2009), waste-water treatment (Davies et al. 2004), and coal dewatering (Paiva et al. 2009). About 90% of all superabsorbent materials are used in disposable articles. Most of the superabsorbent materials are disposed of in landfills or by incineration, which may lead to increasing pollution concerns in the future (Kiatkamjornwong et al. 2002). Therefore, biopolymer materials derived from renewable agricultural resources have attracted great interest (Mohanty et al. 2002), and extensive attention has been directed toward superabsorbent polymers prepared through the graft copolymerization of vinyl monomers onto the chain of such natural polymers as starch and cellulose (Lanthong et al. 2006; Li et al. 2007; Feng et al. 2010; Prafulla et al. 2005).

Starch and cellulose are abundantly and cheaply available with the availability of high molecular grades and plurality of reactive hydroxyl groups (Godbole *et al.* 2003). However, the application of native starch is often limited by certain undesirable characteristics such as poor solubility, low mechanical properties, and instability at high temperatures, pH, and shear during processing (Wang *et al.* 2009). On the other hand, the

poor solubility of cellulose in water and most organic solvents, and the poor reactivity make it difficult to be directly modified to fabricate other useful materials (Wang and Wang 2010). Consequently, many treatments have been carried out in an attempt to modify the materials for the preparation of superabsorbents. These treatments include carboxymethylation and blending with other materials.

Carboxymethylation of the polysaccharides with an etherifying agent such as monochloroacetic acid in the presence of sodium hydroxide is the most popular method of conversion (Dutkiewicz 2002). Carboxymethyl polysaccharides are water soluble, biocompatible, and have various valuable features that can overcome the shortcomings of native polysaccharides. (Heinze *et al.* 2001). Moreover, the introduction of macro-molecular-branched chains affords various derivatives for enhanced applications, in particular, its potential for an application in a superabsorbent (Nagasawa *et al.* 2006; Ning and Sun 1993; Bao *et al.* 2011; Ibrahim *et al.* 2007).

Starch-based materials tend to form soft gelatinous masses when swollen with an aqueous solution. Blending natural polymers with clay to fabricate organic-inorganic superabsorbent composite is a convenient route to improve the properties of natural polymer-based hydrogels (Myung et al. 2008). Some articles related to the preparation of a starch/clay superabsorbent composite have been reported (Li et al. 2005, 2007; Beşün et al. 1997). Currently, although clay is low-priced and abundant, it is eventually nonrenewable. Due to this, the comprehensive utilization of a renewable resource is essential. Some studies indicate that cellulose fibers blended with starch-based polymers for the formulation of biocomposites can provide a wide range of potential mechanical properties, and the method has been widely used in starch-based film (Rosa et al. 2009; Kumar and Singh 2008), but only a few applications in superabsorbents. Carboxymethyl cellulose, with a similar structure to carboxymethyl starch, is another potential raw material for superabsorbent besides starch. With the excellent properties of the carboxy-methyl cellulose and the miscibility with the carboxymethyl starch, the processes could be feasible alternatives for the production of biodegradable superabsorbents from carboxymethyl starch/cellulose biocomposites. Therefore, the synergistic effect of carboxymethyl starch/cellulose is expected to be observed in carboxymethyl biocomposite superabsorbents.

Response surface methodology (RSM) is a useful statistical technique that has been applied in research into complex variable processes. It can evaluate the interactions of the various parameters affecting the process. Therefore, it is becoming widely used for optimization studies. In the present study, a novel superabsorbent based on carboxymethyl biocomposites was prepared. The RSM approach was applied to study the combined effects of the main parameters to obtain maximum water absorbency. The morphology and structure of the superabsorbent were also investigated.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Carboxymethyl starch, denoted as CMS, was prepared in the lab (degree of substitution 0.26; $M_w 1.69 \times 10^5$). All of the other chemicals from the Tianjing Fuchen Chemical Reagent Factory, Tianjing, China, including carboxy-methyl cellulose (CMC, the degree of substitution 0.39; $M_w 2.03 \times 10^4$), acrylic acid (AA), acrylamide (AM),

ammonium persulphate (APS), and N,N'-methylene-bisacrylamide, *etc.*, were analytically pure. The water used was deionized water.

Preparation of Superabsorbent Biocomposites

A predetermined dosage of an NaOH solution (20 %, by wt) was added drop-wise to the stirred aqueous AA solution with an ice bath for partial neutralization. The other monomer, AM, was dissolved in the above AA solution. The monomer solution was then added drop-wise to the carboxymethyl biocomposites solution in a 250 mL four-necked flask equipped with mild agitation and nitrogen bubbling until a clear mixture was obtained. The reactor was placed in a water bath preset to 30 °C. The carboxymethyl biocomposites were prepared by the following procedure: CMS was dissolved in distillated water; in general the mass fraction of the CMS solute was 30%. Then, a predetermined weight quantity of CMC (mass fraction of carboxymethyl biocomposites, wt%) was added into the CMS solute directly with stirring. The carboxymethyl biocomposites to the monomer (AA and AM) mass ratio was 0.3 KPS (3.0% of monomers by wt), an initiator was added to the completely blended carboxymethyl biocomposites / monomers solution. After stirring for 10 min, MBA (0.08% of monomers by wt) was added to the reaction mixture. The mixture was continuously stirred for 20 min; simultaneously the water bath was heated to 75 °C and kept for 3 h. A nitrogen atmosphere was maintained throughout the reaction period. Then, the resulting product was washed several times with ethanol, immersed in ethanol for 4 h, and then dried at 60 °C to a constant weight. The dried product was milled and screened.

Water Absorbency Measurement

A superabsorbent composite (1.0 g) was immersed in distilled water at ambient temperature to reach a swelling equilibrium. The residual water was removed by filtration with a 100-mesh screen until no drops drained. The water absorbency (Q_{H2O}) of the superabsorbent composite was determined by weighing the weight of the swelled sample. The Q_{H2O} of the sample was calculated according to the following equation:

$$Q_{H_2O} = \frac{m_1 - m_0}{m_0}$$
(1)

where m_0 and m_1 are the weights of the dry sample and the swollen gel, respectively. Q_{H2O} was calculated as grams of water per gram of sample (g/g).

Design of the Experiments

The variables obtained in the preliminary experiments were screened using the Plackett–Burman design to identify those variables that most affected the water absorbency. Actual ranges and levels of the independent variables investigated in this study are shown in Table 1. The experiments were designed to find the interaction of three variables, *i.e.*, the dosage of CMC (X1), the AA to AM mass ratio [m(AA):m(AM), X2], and the neutralization degree of AA (X3). The experiments were carried out in duplicate, and the results of the mean water absorbency were observed. The coded and non-coded values of the experimental variables are given in Table 2. The results of the mean observed and predicted responses are shown in Table 3. The relationship between the variables and the response was calculated by the second order polynomial equation,

$$Y = \beta_{0} + \sum \beta_{i} \times X_{i} + \sum \beta_{j} \times X_{i}^{2} + \sum \beta_{ij} \times X_{ij}$$

(2)

where *Y* is the predicted response, X_i is the independent variable, β_0 is the intercept term, β_i is the linear effect, β_j is the squared effect, and β_{ij} is the interaction effect.

The statistical software Minitab 16 was used for regression and graphical analyses of the data obtained.

Table 1.	Preliminary	^v Study	of Pre	paration	Conditions
----------	-------------	--------------------	--------	----------	------------

Parameter (range)	Optimum
Mass ratio of biocomposites and monomers (0.1 to 0.5)	0.3
Mass ratio of CMC and biocomposites (0.1 to 0.5)	0.3
Mass ratio of AA and AM (1.0 to 3.0)	1.5
Neutralization degree of AA (50 to 90 %)	70
Mass ratio of Initiator and monomers (2.0 to 4.0 %)	3.0
Mass ratio of Initiator and crosslinker (0.04 to 0.12 %)	0.08
Reaction temperature (60-80 °C)	75
Reaction time (2.0 to 5.0 h)	3.0

	Table 2.	Coded and	Uncoded	Values	of the	Ex	perimental	Variables
--	----------	-----------	---------	--------	--------	----	------------	-----------

Independent Variables	Coded Values			
	-1	0	1	
The dosage of CMC (%)	20	30	40	
m(AA):m(AM)	1	1.5	2	
Neutralization degree of AA(%)	60	70	80	

Dura	CMC		Neutralization	Water Absorbency (g/g)		
Run	Dosage (%)	m(AA):m(AW)	degree of AA (%)	Observed	Predicted	
1	-1	-1	-1	402.4	411.629	
2	1	-1	-1	294.3	282.939	
3	-1	1	-1	442.4	436.219	
4	1	1	-1	240.8	249.429	
5	-1	-1	1	470.3	456.649	
6	1	-1	1	359.4	360.559	
7	-1	1	1	530.9	537.239	
8	1	1	1	397.3	383.049	
9	-1	0	0	583.6	587.865	
10	1	0	0	430.6	446.425	
11	0	-1	0	724.5	739.125	
12	0	1	0	757.2	762.665	
13	0	0	-1	705.9	705.585	
14	0	0	1	774.5	794.905	
15	0	0	0	814.5	814.286	
16	0	0	0	842.2	814.286	
17	0	0	0	810.9	814.286	
18	0	0	0	829.2	814.286	
19	0	0	0	804.3	814.286	
20	0	0	0	824.8	814.286	

Table 3.	Response	Surface	Central	Composite	Design	and Experiments
	1 COPOLIDO	Oundoc	Contrai	Composito	Design	

Characterization

An FT-IR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Co.) was used for the FT-IR analysis, and the morphology of superabsorbent particles was observed on a XL30ESEM-TMP scanning electron microscope (SEM, Philips-FEI Co.) after gold coating.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Regression Model Equation

The results obtained in the experiments are summarized in Table 3. The estimative response model equation of coded units can be stated as,

$$Y = 814.286 - 70.72X_{1} + 11.77X_{2} + 44.66X_{3} - 297.14X_{1}^{2} - 63.39X_{2}^{2} - 64.04X_{3}^{2}$$

-14.52X₁X₂ + 8.15X₁X₃ + 14.00X₂X₃
(3)

in which *Y* is the response value, water absorbency (g/g), X_1 , X_2 , and X_3 are the values of the independent factors, the dosages of CMC (%), m(AA):m(AM), and the neutralization degree of AA (%), respectively. The full regression models for water absorbency resulted in high R² values (0.9928), and the Adj R² was 0.9864, indicating an excellent fit of the data to the models. From the equation, water absorbency had linear and quadratic effects by the three process variables.

Statistical analysis based on the summary of the analysis of variance(ANOVA) for the response surface quadratic model is shown in Table 4.

Source	Degree of freedom	F-value	Probe>F
Model	9	308.78	<0.0001
X ₁	1	171.86	<0.0001
X ₂	1	4.76	0.054
X ₃	1	68.54	<0.0001
$X_1 X_2$	1	5.80	0.037
$X_1 X_3$	1	1.83	0.206
$X_2 X_3$	1	5.39	0.043
X_{1}^{2}	1	834.34	<0.0001
X_{2}^{2}	1	37.97	<0.0001
X_{3}^{2}	1	38.76	<0.0001
Residual	5		
Lack of fit	10	2.05	0.225
Pure error	5		

Table 4. ANOVA for the Response Surface Quadratic Model Analysis of Variance Table

As can be seen from Table 4, the 'Probe>F' of the model was less than 0.05, indicating that it is a significant and desirable model. The value of P<0.0001 indicates that there was only a 0.01 % chance that a 'model F-value' this large could occur due to noise. In addition, the lack of fit 'Probe>F' was 0.225, which implied that a lack of fit was not significant in relation to pure error. From the ANOVA, it was also shown that 5 terms $(X_1, X_3, X_1^2, X_2^2, X_3^2)$ related to linear and quadratic effects were significant, and X_1 was the most significant. Moreover, it is important to note that the interaction term of X_1X_2 and X_2X_3 were significant for the model.

6514

Parameter Effects for the Model

The regression model developed can be represented in response surfaces and contour plots to understand the interaction among the three variables, and to determine the optimum level of each variable for a maximum response.

Figure 1 represents the effects of different dosages of CMC and mass ratios of the monomers. It was observed that the dosage of CMC was a significant factor. At a constant monomer ratio, the water absorbency increased slowly within 28.8% of CMC, and then it dropped dramatically while the CMC was further increased. This finding may be explained by the fact that the network structure of the superabsorbent would be changed with the introduction of CMC. A lower CMC dosage was supposed to act as a lubricant (Mondragon et al. 2008), which results in a loose network and poor mechanical strength. An addition of CMC, in all of the concentrations, decreased the water solubility of the starch polymer; this effect was observed distinctly at the high levels of CMC (Ghanbarzadeh et al. 2010). On the other hand, CMC and CMS were blended to form double backbone biocomposites. Interpenetrating polymer networks might have formed due to the interaction among the groups of CMC and CMS. The incorporation of the appropriate dosage of a secondary biopolymer would improve the water absorbency by the formation of a superior network. The mass ratio of monomers was less influential, and the water absorbency varied within a small range at any designed mass ratio from 1 to 2. The interaction term of the dosage of CMC and the mass ratio of monomers was significant. The main reason was that the dosage of AA and the graft site in backbones were intrinsically linked. However, it was complicated; the interaction term was influenced by many aspects, which should be followed up on and studied. The appropriate maximal dosage was determined to be 28.8 % of the CMC dosage and a value of m(AA):m(AM) of 1.6.



Fig. 1. Response surfaces and contour plots for water absorbency as a function of the dosage of CMC and the mass ratio of monomers. Other factors are constant at zero levels.

Figure 2 shows the response surfaces and contour plots for different dosages of CMC and the neutralization degree of AA. The figure also shows that the dosage of CMC was more influential. At low contents of introduced CMC, the water absorbency was slightly affected; whereas, at a high CMC content, the introduced CMC was extremely relevant to the reduction of the water absorbency. On the other hand, it was observed that increasing the neutralization degree of the AA did not have much of an effect on increasing the water absorbency. Although it was not readily apparent, at any dosage of CMC, the upper neutralization degree of AA possessed a superior contribution to the water absorbency to a lower degree. The proportion of ionized carboxymethyl groups

depended on the neutralization degree of the AA, which finally affected the water absorbency. The mechanism has been explained in a large amount of research (Chen *et al.* 2005; Ma *et al.* 2011).



Fig. 2. Response surfaces and contour plots for water absorbency as a function of the dosage of CMC and the neutralization degree of AA. Other factors were constant at zero levels.

The effect of the AA-to-AM mass ratio and the neutralization degree of AA on the water absorbency and their reciprocal interactions are provided in Fig. 3. Compared with the dosage of CMC, the water absorbency was affected slightly by the AA-to-AM mass ratio and the neutralization degree of AA. At a low neutralization degree of AA, the water absorbency was still in the low-level. A high water absorbency was obtained with a higher mass ratio of AA to AM. The excellent water absorbency can be attributed to both the functional groups of ionic carboxylate (from neutralized AA) and the non-ionic carboxamide (from AM) (Mahdavinia *et al.* 2004). Some interactions between the neutralization degree of the AA and the AA-to-AM mass ratio could be deduced. The response surface suggests that there is a maximum water absorbency at approximately 1.6 of the AA-to-AM mass ratio, and 73.6 % of the neutralization degree of AA.



Fig. 3. Response surfaces and contour plots for water absorbency as a function of AA-to-AM mass ratio and t neutralization degree of AA. Other factors were constant at zero levels.

Process Optimization

As compared to the results shown in Figs. 1-3, the optimal condition for the maximal water absorbency was achieved with 26% to 32% of the CMC dosage, 1.5 to 1.6 of the AA-to-AM mass ratio, and 72% to 75% of the neutralization degree of AA. The optimal values of the selected variables were obtained by the Simulink Response

Optimization by Minitab 16 software. The optimal conditions were as follows: $X_1=28.8$ %, $X_2=1.6$, and $X_3=73.4$ %. The theoretical water absorbency predicted under the above conditions was Y=827.6 g/g. In order to verify the prediction of the model, the optimal reaction conditions were applied to three independent replicates for superabsorbent synthesis. The average water absorbency was 815.2 g/g. The experimental error was less than ± 5 %, a figure well within the estimated value of the model equation. This demonstrated that response surface methodology with an appropriate experimental design can be effectively applied to the optimization of the process of factors in a chemical reaction. This study focused on the application of response surface methodology to further the optimization of the superabsorbent preparation conditions using double backbones (CMS and CMC) and double monomers (AA and AM). This may provide useful information regarding the development of a novel superabsorbent with economic and efficient characteristics.

Water Retention Behaviors

Water retention behaviors of the superabsorbent composite at room temperature are shown in Fig. 4. At room temperature (25 $^{\circ}$ C, relative humidity of 70 %), the water retention value of the superabsorbent composite was 87.6 wt% in 8 d, and it was 85.6 wt% in 16 d. Figure 5 shows that, at 60 $^{\circ}$ C, the water retention value of the superabsorbent composite was 64.3 wt% in 8 h, and it was 34.2 wt% in 16 h. This result showed that the water-holding effect of the superabsorbent composite was better at room temperature, and it was easy to dehydrate the material at high temperature.



Fig. 4. The water retention rate of superabsorbent under natural conditions

Characterization

For the identification of the superabsorbent product, infrared spectroscopy was used. The IR spectra of the superabsorbent are shown in Fig. 6. The superabsorbent product comprised CMS and CMC backbones with side chains that carried sodium carboxylate and carboxamide functional groups. This is evident from peaks at 3576 cm⁻¹ (N-H stretching), 1668 cm⁻¹(the extension vibration of C=O of amide I band), 1565 cm⁻¹ (asymmetric stretching of COO⁻), and 1403 cm⁻¹ (symmetric stretching of COO⁻). A

combination of absorption of the carboxylate and alcoholic O–H stretching bands appeared in the wide range of 3500 to 2550 cm⁻¹.



Fig. 5. The water retention rate of superabsorbent at 60 °C

The surface appearance and structure of the superabsorbent were observed using SEM, and the results are shown in Fig. 7. Porous structure was observed, which structurally increased the surface area of the superabsorbent, and it is believed that these pores are the regions of water permeation. An interpenetrating network structure was formed after blending the backbones and grafting. According to the SEM, the CMC structure was distinct among the CMS; they were interpenetrating, which showed a double backbone structure.



Fig. 6. FTIR spectrum of CMC,CMS, and SAP



Fig. 7. SEM of superabsorbent

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. A new approach was adopted in the utilization of double backbones (CMS and CMC biocomposites) for the preparation of a superabsorbent. The novel superabsorbent contains biodegradable starch and cellulose, which is quite economical and environment-friendly.
- 2. Optimal conditions were established using an RSM analysis. The dosage of CMC was found to be the most influential variable, and the interaction term of the dosage of CMC and the AA to AM mass ratio was significant.
- 3. In addition, the AA to AM mass ratio and the neutralization degree of AA also played roles in determining the maximal water absorbency. The values of three variables, the dosage of CMC (28.8%), the AA to AM mass ratio (1.6), and the neutralization degree of AA (73.4%), were found to be optimum for the preparation of a superabsorbent with the predicted 827.6 g/g of water absorbency. The average experimental water absorbency was 815.2 g/g, and the experimental error was less than $\pm 5\%$. The mathematical model developed was validated and proven to be statistically adequate and accurate to predict the optimal water absorbency.
- 4. Moreover, the network with an interpenetrating network structure of CMS and CMC for poly-(AA-AM) was proposed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was financially supported by "the 12th Five-Year" National Science and Technology Program in Rural Areas (2012AA101800), the Enterprise Technology Innovation Special Project from the Fujian province, and the Bioindustry Technology Development Project of the Fujian Province, China.

REFERENCES CITED

- Bao, Y., Ma, J. Z., and Li, N. (2011). "Synthesis and swelling behaviors of sodium carboxymethyl cellulose-g-poly(AA-co-AM-co-AMPS)/MMT superabsorbent hydrogel," *Carbohydrate Polymers* 84, 76-82.
- Beşün, N., Peker, S., Köktürk, U., and Yilmaz, H. (1997). "Structure of starch-bentonite gels," *Colloid & Polymer Science* 275, 378-389.
- Chen, Z. B., Liu, M. Z., and Ma, S. M. (2005). "Synthesis and modification of saltresistant superabsorbent polymers," *Reactive & Functional Polymers* 62, 85-92.
- Davies, L. C., Novais, J. M., and Martins-Dias, S. (2004). "Influence of salts and phenolic compounds on olive mill wastewater detoxification using superabsorbent polymers," *Bioresource Technology* 95, 259-268.
- Dutkiewicz, J. K. (2002). "Superabsorbent materials from shellfish waste A review," *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research* 63, 373-381.
- Feng H., Li J., and Wang L.J. (2010). "Preparation of biodegradable flax shive cellulosebased superabsorbent polymer under microwave irradiation," *BioResources* 5(3), 1484-1495.
- Ghanbarzadeh, B., Almasi, H., and Entezami, A. A. (2010). "Physical properties of edible modified starch/carboxymethyl cellulose films," *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies* 11, 697-702.
- Godbole, S., Gote, S., Latkar, M., and Chakrabarti, T. (2003). "Preparation and characterization of biodegradable poly-3-hydroxybutyrate-starch blend films," *Bioresource Technology* 86, 33-37.
- Heinze, T., Pfeeiffer, K., and Lazik, W. (2001). "Starch derivatives with high degree of functionalization. III. Influence of reaction conditions and starting materials on molecular structure of carboxymethyl starch," *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 81, 2036-2044.
- Ibrahim, S. M., Salmawi, El K. M., and Zahran, A. H. (2007). "Synthesis of crosslinked superabsorbent carboxymethyl cellulose/acrylamide hydrogels through electron-beam irradiation," *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 104, 2003-2008.
- Kiatkamjornwong, S., Mongkolsawat, K., and Sonsuk, M. (2002). "Synthesis and property characterization of cassava starch grafted poly[acrylamide-co-(maleic acid)] superabsorbent via γ-irradiation," *Polymer* 43, 3915-3924.
- Kosemund, K., Schlatter, H., Ochsenhirt, J. L., Krause, E. L., Marsman, D. S., and Erasala, G. N. (2009). "Safety evaluation of superabsorbent baby diapers," *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 53, 81-89.
- Kumar, A. P. and Singh, R. P. (2008). "Biocomposites of cellulose reinforced starch: Improvement of properties by photo-induced crosslinking," *Bioresource Technology* 99, 8803-8809.
- Lanthong, P., Nuisin, R., and Kiatkamjornwong, S. (2006). "Graft copolymerization, characterization, and degradation of cassava starch-g-acrylamide/itaconic acid superabsorbents," *Carbohydrate Polymers* 66, 229-245.
- Li, A., Liu, R. F., and Wang, A. Q. (2005). "Preparation of starch-graft-poly(acrylamide)/ attapulgite superabsorbent composite," *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 98, 1351-1357.

- Li, A., Zhang, J. P., and Wang, A. Q. (2007). "Utilization of starch and clay for the preparation of superabsorbent composite," *Bioresource Technology* 98, 327-332.
- Lokhande, H. T., and Varadara, P. V. (1992). "A new guargum-based superabsorbent polymer synthesized using gamma radiation as a soil additive," *Bioresource Technology* 42, 119-122.
- Mahdavinia, G. R., Pourjavadi, A., Hosseinzadeh, H., and Zohuriaan, M. J. (2004).
 "Modified chitosan 4. Superabsorbent hydrogels from poly(acrylic acid-co-acrylamide) grafted chitosan with salt- and pH-responsiveness properties," *European Polymer Journal* 40, 1399-1407.
- Ma, Z. H., Li, Q., Yue, Q. Y., Gao, B. Y., Xu, X., and Zhong, Q. (2011). "Synthesis and characterization of a novel super-absorbent based on wheat straw," *Bioresource Technology* 102, 2853-2858.
- Mohanty, A. K., Misra, M., and Drzal, L. T. (2002). "Sustainable bio-composites from renewable resources: Opportunities and challenges in the green materials world," *Journal of Polymers and the Environment* 10, 19-26.
- Mondragon, M., Arroyo, K., and Romero-Garcia, J. (2008). "Biocomposites of thermoplastic starch with surfactant," *Carbohydrate Polymers* 74, 201-208.
- Myung, D., Waters, D., Wiseman, M., Duhamel, P. E., Noolandi, J., Ta, C. N., and Frank, C. W. (2008). "Progress in the development of interpenetrating polymer network hydrogels," *Polymers for Advanced Technologies* 19, 647-657.
- Nagasawa, N., Yagi, T., Kume, T., and Yoshii, F. (2004). "Radiation crosslinking of carboxymethyl starch," *Carbohydrate Polymers* 58, 109-113.
- Ning, X., and Sun, T. (1993). "Carboxyalkyl polysaccharides having improved absorbent properties and process for the preparation thereof," U.S. Patent No. 5,247,072.
- Paiva, H., Esteves, L. P., Cachim, P. B., and Ferreira, V. M. (2009). "Rheology and hardened properties of single-coat render mortars with different types of water retaining agents," *Construction and Building Materials* 23, 1141-1146.
- Pourjavadi, A., and Barzegar, S. (2009). "Synthesis and evaluation of pH and thermosensitive pectin-based superabsorbent hydrogel for oral drug delivery systems," *Starch* 61, 161-172.
- Prafulla, K. S., Gobinda, C. S., Pradeep, K. R., and Aswini, K. D. (2005). "Preparation, characterization, and biodegradability of jute-based natural fiber composite superabsorbents," *Advances in Polymer Technology* 24, 208-214.
- Rosa, M. F., Chiou, B., Medeiros, E. S., Wood, D. F., Williams, T. G., Mattoso, L. H. C., Orts, W. J., and Imam, S. H. (2009). "Effect of fiber treatments on tensile and thermal properties of starch/ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymers/coir biocomposites," *Bioresource Technology* 100, 5196-5202.
- Sadeghi, M., and Hosseinzadeh, H. (2008). "Synthesis of starch-poly(sodium acrylate-coacrylamide) superabsorbent hydrogel with salt and pH-responsiveness properties as a drug delivery system," *Journal of Bioactive and Compatible Polymers* 23, 381-404.
- Wang, W. B., and Wang, A. Q. (2010). "Nanocomposite of carboxymethyl cellulose and attapulgite as a novel pH-sensitive superabsorbent: Synthesis, characterization and properties," *Carbohydrate Polymers* 82, 83-91.

- Wang, Y. L., Gao, W. Y., and Li, X. (2009). "Carboxymethyl Chinese yam starch: Synthesis, characterization, and influence of reaction parameters," *Carbohydrate Research* 344, 1764-1769.
- Wu, L., Liu, M. Z., and Liang, R. (2008). "Preparation and properties of a double-coated slow-release NPK compound fertilizer with superabsorbent and water-retention," *Bioresource Technology* 99, 547-554.

Article submitted: April 5, 2013; Peer review completed: June 7, 2013; Revised version received and accepted: October 21, 2013; Published: November 1, 2013.