Transmission Electron Microscopy for Wood and Fiber Analysis – A Review

Mehedi Reza, a,* Eero Kontturi, b Anna-Stiina Jääskeläinen, b,c Tapani Vuorinen, b and Janne Ruokolainen a,*
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INTRODUCTION

Microscopy is the study of fine structures and morphologies using the wide range of microscopy techniques available, which can resolve the details of an object ranging from the millimeter to the sub-nanometer scale. Electron microscopy and its supplementary techniques have been used extensively in most scientific fields. In addition, microscopy has been and is currently being used successfully in wood research to observe wood cells and their sub-cellular components. The invention of the light microscope led to the discovery and description of cells, and it remains influential in wood research. Several sophisticated microscopy and spectroscopy techniques are available, providing high-resolution information about wood cells at the molecular level; e.g., Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM), X-ray methods, and Raman microspectroscopy are routinely used for wood research (Abe et al. 1991; Zimmermann and Sell 2003; Fahlén and Salmén 2005; Peura et al. 2008; Gierlinger et al. 2010; Raghavan et al. 2012; Reza et al. 2014b). Bucur (2003) reviewed existing imaging methods for investigating wood structure; however, most of the techniques exhibited low resolution. Nevertheless, transmission electron microscopy, a technique that has been around for approximately 80 years, has one of the highest resolutions (about 0.2 nm for analyzing wood fiber) among
the techniques used in wood and fiber research (Ruska 1934; Duchesne and Daniel 1999). For wood materials, the characteristic dimension of ultrastructural features, which influence the effective material properties, is in the range of few nanometers. Moreover, the formation of three main components (cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin) and their deposition in the wood cell is still fragmentarily known. Therefore, TEM, a package of 2D imaging, 3D tomography, and elemental analysis with high-resolution, can certainly be used more effectively to understand the sub-cellular structures of wood cells. TEM has already provided invaluable information on ultrastructure of wood cell wall following its development. Meanwhile, TEMs with spherical aberration (C₃) correctors are able to perform imaging with sub-Å resolution (Jiang et al. 2012); the performance of such TEM in wood research is, however, limited by the beam sensitivity of the specimens. Cryo-TEMs, a version of TEM, are able to perform imaging of beam-sensitive, low-contrast specimens at liquid N₂ or liquid He temperatures. However, sample preparation and stability under the electron beam have always been challenging steps to overcome for high-resolution imaging of wood specimens at the molecular level. In this review, we have assessed the majority of the information available for TEM analysis of wood materials necessary to overcome complications during analysis. Core areas of evaluation will pertain to the sample preparation, the ultrastructural features of the wood cell wall, and the distribution of cell wall polymers as revealed by TEM. The analytical potential of TEM can essentially be amplified using complementary instruments, and thus these will also be briefly reviewed.

**Image Formation in TEM**

The basic principles for image formation using TEM are described in Williams and Carter (2009). Briefly, TEM uses an electron beam to image the sample. This provides a higher resolving power than the visible light in optical microscopy. Because the wavelength of the energized electron beam is very short, the diffraction limit is correspondingly lower. Generally, in electron microscopy, high energy primary electrons hit the specimen and the same or different electrons deflect from the sample to form an image. In TEM, a stationary primary electron beam is transmitted through the ultrathin specimen and transformed into a non-uniform electron intensity after transmission or scattering by the specimen (Fig. 1).

![Image of TEM](image.png)

**Fig. 1.** Schematic diagram showing the mechanism of image formation in bright-field imaging mode in TEM. Dashed lines show the scattered electrons.
This non-uniform electron intensity hits the fluorescent screen or the electron detector and is translated into image contrast on the screen. Either the direct beam or a diffracted beam is used to form bright-field and dark-field images, respectively. Figure 1 illustrates the mechanism of image formation in the bright-field imaging mode using direct beam. In the bright-field mode, scattered electrons are blocked with an objective aperture in order to enhance the contrast. In addition, while interacting with the specimen, a wide range of secondary signals are produced. Many of them are used in analytical electron microscopy, providing the chemical composition and additional information about the specimens. A TEM analysis must be run under an ultra-high vacuum that prevents scattering of the electron beam by the gas molecules so that the electrons can move freely from the gun through the specimen and further to the detector.

Because of the extraneous materials, low crystallinity, and tight association of cell wall materials it has always been challenging to image the structure and morphology of the wood cell wall. Wood specimens are also more susceptible to radiation damage than the highly crystalline Valonia. The direct imaging of cellulose microfibrils in wood using diffraction contrast and low-dose mode has been discussed in Sugiyama et al. (1986). A comparison among different cellulose specimens and their sensitivity to the radiation damage at different accelerating voltages is illustrated in Fig. 2. It shows that the radiation damage caused by the energized electron beam can be reduced to an extent using the higher accelerating voltage of the microscope. The unlignified/less-lignified gelatinous layer in tension wood fibers was also found to be a suitable specimen to image with direct beam (Sugiyama et al. 1986). The advancement of cryo-TEM also provided the opportunity to image beam sensitive specimens with heavy electron dose. Nowadays, it is possible to keep the specimen temperature below 20 K using liquid helium during the imaging. This way, the electron dose could be increased at least ten times than that at room temperature (Sugiyama et al. 1986).

![Image of Fig. 2](image_url)

**Fig. 2.** Accelerating voltage and the corresponding dose at beam damage for different cellulose specimens (Adapted from Sugiyama et al. 1986). G-layer: gelatinous layer; S2 layer: middle layer of wood secondary wall.
SAMPLE PREPARATION FOR TEM ANALYSIS

Sample preparation is a very important step because TEM requires ultrathin specimens. Samples for TEM analysis need to be fit on a specimen support known as a grid. TEM grids are metal mesh screens and are about 3 mm in diameter. The size and shape of the mesh vary. They are mainly made of copper, but gold, nickel, and beryllium grids are also used in special circumstances. A fuller discussion on sample preparation protocols for studying cellular ultrastructure of plant tissues with TEM is found in Kuo (2007). In this chapter, essential steps of sample preparation such as replication, sectioning, and staining are discussed.

Replication

The replica technique, an indirect way of looking at specimens, is one of the oldest techniques used in TEM sample preparation. Replicas that have the surface topography of the original specimen can be imaged without exposing beam-sensitive specimens to the electron. This technique was routinely used to study fiber morphology using TEM, especially at the early stage of its invention (Harada 1965). Different types of replication techniques of wood and fiber specimens have been discussed by Côté et al. (1964). Briefly, the technique involves the evaporation of a metal or carbon film on the surface of interest, followed by the dissolution of the fiber specimen with an acid (e.g., chromic acid), so that the film is removed. Enhanced contrast can be produced by coating this film with a heavy metal at an oblique angle (20° to 45°). Finally, replicas are collected on the grids for observation (Hafrén et al. 2000; Sawyer et al. 2008). A TEM surface replica of a kraft pulp fiber is presented in Fig. 3. Hafrén et al. (2000) performed platinum replication on the surface of frozen pine wood fractured at -150 °C after being deep etched at an elevated temperature (−95 °C) for 15 min in a freeze-etching apparatus. They obtained a film thickness of 2 nm by high power input for 7 sec. Replication allows for the observation of the lamellar structure of wood cell walls; however, complications in distinguishing between different cell wall layers at a high magnification have been reported (Harada 1965).

![TEM image of a spruce Kraft pulp fiber surface replica showing the microfibril orientation (Duchesne and Daniel 1999). Scale bar: 100 nm](image-url)
Sectioning

Specimen thickness is an important factor for imaging with TEM. A thin specimen improves the resolution by reducing image blurring caused by chromatic aberrations. Ultramicrotomy permits the preparation of thin sections to observe the actual structure in a bulk material. A diagram showing the sectioning procedure is presented in Fig. 4. For sectioning, an ultra-microtome, equipped with a diamond knife or a glass knife, is normally preferred. An oscillating diamond knife has been developed to reduce the compression of ultrathin sections (Studer and Gnaegi 2000). Before sectioning, samples are normally embedded in resin after being dehydrated in an ascending series (e.g. 30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, and 99.9%) of ethanol/acetone (Bland et al. 1971; Maurer and Fengel 1990; Kuo 2007); for instance, Spurr low viscosity resin has been used in electron microscopy for many years (Spurr 1969; Brändström et al. 2003). The advantages of the resin embedding include: the preservation of the fine structures, aiding of cutting thin sections, ease the picking of the sections on the grids, and reduced drifting during analysis. The embedding resin can also be removed after sectioning if necessary (Capco et al. 1984). Resin embedded samples are sectioned in distilled water to achieve flat and straight sections. However, a block of unembedded wood can also be sectioned at cryogenic or room temperature (Reza et al. 2014a,b). Cryogenic sectioning of hydrated samples followed by cryo-TEM provides resistance to chemical fixation and structural changes from dehydration. Different cutting parameters, i.e., cutting angle, speed, section thickness, etc., can be varied during sectioning to improve the section quality. Sectioning also depends on the characteristics of the sample under investigation. Oblique sectioning of resin-embedded wood was performed to avoid some implications of sectioning due to misaligned tracheids and wide lumen compared to the thin cell wall (Donaldson and Xu 2005). In addition, oblique sectioning was used to expose a larger microfibril surface to the enzymes, followed by labelling with gold particles to determine the ultrastructural localization of cellulose and xylan in the birch wood (Blanchette et al. 1989a). Since wood is an anisotropic, heterogeneous material, sectioning on different wood surfaces (transverse, radial, and tangential) is necessary in order to obtain a realistic image of the specimen.

![Fig. 4. Schematic diagram of sectioning of a resin embedded specimen block with a diamond knife. A ribbon of sections can be collected onto the grid surface by gently touching (dashed arrow) the sections floating on the water surface. Specimen block must be attached to the microtome arm.](image-url)
Staining

Staining, an important procedure in specimen preparation, is an auxiliary technique used in microscopy to enhance the contrast of an image by depositing heavy elements onto the specimen. Staining usually enhances the stability of the specimen when visualized with transmitted beam in direct imaging. Sometimes stains can be fixatives, *i.e.*, chemical cross-linkers that cause hardening and increased density. In biology, fixation helps to preserve cells and tissue components in their original state. Staining can be performed before sectioning (pre-staining) or after sectioning (post-staining). Potassium permanganate, osmium tetroxide, and uranyl acetate are the most common stains used in wood research and are discussed below.

*Potassium permanganate*

Potassium permanganate (KMnO$_4$) is a common stain used for contrasting lignin in wood sections (Bland *et al.* 1971). The stain appears to be specific to lignin, although there are suggestions that it may also stain some hemicelluloses, but not cellulose (Khristova *et al.* 1998). The KMnO$_4$ staining technique was used for studying lignin distribution (Fromm *et al.* 2003; Ma *et al.* 2011a), lignin degradation by rot fungi (Paszczynski *et al.* 1988; Lequart *et al.* 2000), lignification during the cell wall differentiation (Donaldson 1992; Prislan *et al.* 2009), and the properties of pulp fibers (Solala *et al.* 2013).

Bland *et al.* (1971) studied the mechanism of permanganate staining and lignin distribution in the cell wall of the *Pinus radiata*. It was found that the syringyl and guaiacyl groups were mainly responsible for permanganate staining. Experiments with lignin model compounds showed that phenols, especially diphenols and triphenols, rapidly reduced permanganate to manganese oxides (MnO$_2$) (Bland *et al.* 1971). Perhaps KMnO$_4$ oxidizes the phenolic groups of lignin molecules to aldehydes and carbonic acids. Finally, the resulting water-insoluble MnO$_2$ is deposited on the section, distinguishing the reaction sites (Koch and Schmitt 2013). According to the degree of lignification, the different cell wall layers exhibit noticeable contrast differences in TEM images after staining with KMnO$_4$ (Fig. 5) (Singh *et al.* 2002a; Lehringer *et al.* 2009; Reza *et al.* 2014a).

![Fig. 5. Micrograph of a KMnO$_4$ stained Norway spruce transverse section through the cell corner taken with a TEM at 80 kV. The compound middle lamellae (CML) region has dark contrast showing the spot of high lignin content. S1, S2, and S3: layers of the secondary wall. Reprinted with permission from Reza *et al.* 2014a. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.](image-url)
The time period for staining the ultrathin sections varied from 2 min to 2 h (Bland et al. 1971; Donaldson 2002). The longer the staining time and the higher the KMnO₄ concentration, then the probability of contamination with MnO₂ increased (Maurer and Fengel 1990).

In some cases, pre-staining of wood blocks before embedding in resin was preferred to study the ultrastructure of wood (Donaldson 1997; Singh et al. 2002a), as post-stained with KMnO₄ resulted in contamination of the section surfaces with MnO₂ (Maurer and Fengel 1990). However, this contamination effect was avoided by carefully washing the sections in aqueous citric acid after staining (Greyer 1973). Wood embedded in melamine also had a negligible amount of MnO₂ contamination after staining with KMnO₄ (Maurer and Fengel 1990, 1991).

*Osmium tetroxide*

Osmium tetroxide (OsO₄) is a strong oxidizing agent. It oxidizes many organic materials and becomes reduced to elemental osmium, an easily detectable black substance. As a heavy metal, osmium strongly scatters electrons and is commonly used as a stain and fixative for studying morphology in biological electron microscopy. It is also used for staining polymers when studying their spatial structure using TEM. The OsO₄ compound is a highly volatile and extremely toxic chemical; therefore special care needs to be taken during its handling (Sawyer et al. 2008).

Osmium tetroxide is inert towards carbohydrates, but it reacts with unsaturated fatty acids, proteins, and amino acids (Bahr 1954). Although both proteins and unsaturated fatty acids may exist in plant cell walls (Roelofsen et al. 1959; Northcote and Lamport 1960), their concentrations are too low to significantly contribute to any reactions with OsO₄. Thus, it has been assumed that the dark contrast regions in the electron micrographs of OsO₄ stained cell walls come from lignin or its precursors (Hepler and Newcombe 1963).

Bland et al. (1971) have confirmed that no reactions occur between acetylated lignin and OsO₄. Their results showed the positive reactivity of OsO₄ with double bonds, and di- and trihydroxy phenols. However, compared with KMnO₄, OsO₄ only slightly stained the *Pinus radiata* wood sections in the middle lamella region (Bland et al. 1971). Fernando and Daniel (2008) used OsO₄ and ruthenium red as pre-staining chemicals, followed by post-staining with KMnO₄ to study lignin distribution in the cell wall of pine and spruce thermo-mechanical pulp (TMP) shives. In their study, they observed a highly lignified outer region and a less lignified inner region within the S₁ layer of spruce TMP shives, whereas no variation in electron density was apparent within the S₁ layer. Pre-staining with OsO₄ before embedding and post-staining with uranyl acetate and lead citrate was performed to study the protective role of lignin against radiation induced degradation (de Lhoneux et al. 1984). Osmium tetroxide has also been used as a pre-staining chemical prior to post-staining with lead citrate to study the ultrastructure of wood (Borgin et al. 1975).

*Uranyl acetate*

Despite its cationic reactivity towards biological materials and acidity in aqueous solution, uranyl acetate has been a widely used negative stain. The main reason for negative staining is to surround the object in a suitable electron-dense material that provides high cellular contrast and good preservation. Uranyl acetate is often the first choice for initial TEM screening of an unknown sample or for rapid preliminary
biological structure quality assessment. The main disadvantage of uranyl acetate for high-resolution studies is the granular/microcrystalline nature of the dried stain and its sensitivity to the electron beam (De Carlo and Harris 2011). The latter can be minimized by the use of low-dose imaging. Basically, the structural detail of the image is limited by the shape and size of the uranyl acetate aggregate as it appears in the projection (Frank 2006).

Uranyl acetate has been mainly used for studying cellulose fibrils (Saito et al. 2006) and fines in suspension (Kallavus and Gravitis 1995). Generally, a drop of a dispersion of the sample is mounted on a carbon-coated electron microscope grid. Then, a drop of 1 to 2% uranyl acetate is added before drying. The excess of solution is blotted with filter paper, and the dispersion is dried by natural evaporation (Kallavus and Gravitis 1995; Saito et al. 2006).

The combination of uranyl acetate and lead citrate has been successfully used to stain flat sections of non-woody fibers in order to study the distribution of lignin in different cell wall layers (Khalil et al. 2006; 2010). Kim et al. (2011) stained immunogold labeled sections with uranyl acetate to study xylan and mannan distribution in compression wood. Kallavus and Gravitis (1995) used uranyl acetate staining to study ultrastructural changes in steam exploded wood. These techniques revealed the occurrence of micro-fibrillar bundles in the freshly exploded substrate. The effect of refining and pretreatment on the pulp fibers was also studied by means of this technique (Molin and Daniel 2004; Lei et al. 2012).

Immunogold labeling

Immunogold labeling for TEM analysis has played an important role in the fields of cell and tissue biology. This methodology enables precise investigation of the topochemistry of cells and tissues in relation to structural organization. In principle, an antibody localizes and identifies a specific structure in a cell specimen followed by antibody labeling with gold particles (Hayat 2002). The gold particles appear dark in the bright-field image. Using this approach, the distribution of lignin at the ultrastructural level was visualized.

The localization of the dibenzodioxocin structures were studied by counting the number of labeled gold particles in TEM micrographs (Kukkola et al. 2003). The dynamics of the deposition of crystalline and amorphous forms of cellulose during cell wall formation have also been studied by TEM and immunogold labeling (Ruel et al. 2012). This method was also useful for the investigation of wood degradation by microorganisms (Daniel 1994; Goodell et al. 1997).

The progress of lignin deposition and structural variations in the lignin macromolecule during the lignification were studied using immunogold labeling by Joseleau and Ruel (1997) and Ruel et al. (1999). The lignin-specific antibodies exhibited no affinity for cellulose and thus provided the necessary sensitivity to detect a discrete amount of lignin by TEM. This technique has been reported as a non-invasive method, which preserves the constituents and the morphology of the material to be studied (Joseleau et al. 2004). It also provides more precise and detailed information than the conventional methods, such as lignin stains and autofluorescence (Kukkola et al. 2003). Topochemistry of different cell wall polymers studied using this technique is reviewed in the later parts of this paper.
AREAS COVERED BY TEM

Before describing the features of wood ultrastructure and role of TEM, it is important to understand the terminology that will be used. The term “ultrastructure”, also known as “fine structure”, is used to denote the structural domain of a tissue or cell, as revealed by microscopy, especially electron microscope. The use of ultrastructure in wood science has been intensified after the invention of the electron microscope (Côté 1981). Now the question is “what is the dimension that is considered as ultrastructure?”. Precisely, the wood ultrastructure is the hierarchic level ranging from the molecular level up to the cell wall (Eder et al. 2013). Thus, the ultrastructure of wood is also reachable with one of the contemporary instruments in light microscope, e.g., the confocal microscope.

Fibrillar Structure of Wood Cell Wall

The basic structure of the wood cell wall has been described in detail in previously reported research (Côté 1964; Daniel 2007). The ultrastructure of the wood cell wall revealed by TEM is the main focus of this paper. Figure 6a illustrates the typical cell wall layering structure, which shows the relative size of each layer and the average microfibril orientation within each layer. This model is acceptable for tracheids; however, there are many deviations from this in ray parenchyma, reaction wood cells, and in normal longitudinal elements (Côté 1981; Alén 2000; Daniel 2007). Transmission electron micrographs of the Fraxinus mandshurica cell wall shows two layers (S1 and S2) in the secondary wall (Prodhan et al. 1995). The high variability in the thickness of the different wood cell wall layers was shown by TEM investigations (Timell 1973; Singh et al. 2002b).

The fibrillar structure of the cell wall was confirmed in the early electron microscopic studies of plant materials (Preston et al. 1948; Mühlethaler 1949). The
application of TEM to study the fibrillar structure of wood cell walls in the 1950’s provided some details pertaining to the microfibril orientation. Imaging by electron microscopes suggests that microfibrils are synthesized in the plasma membrane by cellulose synthases consisting of a ring of six particles called a rosette (Mueller and Brown 1980; Emons and Mulder 2000). Microfibrils are about 3.5 nm wide (Mühlethaler 1969; Kerr and Goring 1975) and are regularly bridged, forming an interfibrillar bridging element (Donaldson and Singh 1998). Models of microfibrils consisting of 36 and 24 cellulose chains with diamond and rectangular cross-sections were proposed using different analytical techniques, where crystalline chains are surrounded by less crystalline and amorphous chains (Frey-Wyssling and Mühlethaler 1963; Ding and Himmel 2006; Fernandes et al. 2011). However, these models were mainly based on indirect evidence and obtained occasionally after removing the associated matrix materials with strong solvents. Since the removal of the surrounding matrix material can change the structure, researchers often prefer microfibrils in a matrix containing fewer non-cellulosic polymers, such as cotton, that possesses a large crystalline dimension. These models are speculations about the true structure of microfibrils in the native wood cell wall.

The presence of granular structures along microfibrils was observed in TEM images of gold-shadowed formvar replicas of tracheid secondary wall (Hodge and Wardrop 1950). Such features were later described as a string of pearls (Manley 1964). The granular structure of microfibrils was also observed in cryo-TEM images of KMnO₄ stained spruce wood (Fig. 6b). The explanation behind such structures is unclear in the literature. However, there is evidence that the microfibrils form aggregates in the wood and pulps, as observed by several researchers (Preston et al. 1948; Hodge and Wardrop 1950; Hult et al. 2003; Reza et al. 2014b). These aggregates appear as bundles in the micrograph on the transverse section of the tracheid S2 layer (Singh et al. 1998; Singh and Daniel 2001). The aggregation of cellulose microfibrils in wood and pulps controls the accessibility of cellulose (Krässig 1993), thus affecting the extraction of the cell wall components during the biorefinery process; however this mechanism is poorly understood.

The orientation of cellulose microfibrils in woody and non-woody fibers has been revealed by TEM studies. Deposition of microfibrils in lamellae was observed in Avena coleoptiles thin sections (Bayley et al. 1957) and Valonia ventricosa surface replica (Preston et al. 1948). Uniform and cross-fibrillar orientations were reported in the secondary wall of Pseudotsuga taxifolia wood (Hodge and Wardrop 1950). An S-Z-S helical orientation in the S1, S2, and S3 layers, respectively, was exhibited frequently in models of the wood cell wall (Wardrop 1954; Côté 1981). In the tracheid S1 layer, both S and Z-helical orientations, with an impression of cross-fibrillar structure, were reported (Emerton and Goldsmith 1956; Wardrop 1957; Donaldson and Xu 2005). However, microfibrils perpendicular with respect to the fiber longitudinal axis in single helix have been observed in recent studies (Brändström et al. 2003; Reza et al. 2014b). The presence of lamellar structure in the S2 layer was proposed based on TEM micrographs of KMnO₄ stained softwood sections (Maurer and Fengel 1991; Kerr and Goring 1975), which were interpreted as tangential striations by Chafe (1974). An abrupt change in the orientation of microfibrils between S1 and S2 layers in Norway spruce was observed in TEM images (Brändström et al. 2003; Reza et al. 2014b), whereas no clear transition was observed between S2 and S3 layers (Donaldson and Xu 2005). In a recent study, Reza et al. (2014b) proposed a 3D model of the tracheid wall based on cryo-TEM (Fig. 6b,c) where, in contrast to the existing models of wood cell wall with planar microfibril orientation,
microfibrils protruded from the cell wall plane towards the lumen, forming an out-of-plane angle. Based on the above discussion, it is apparent that the ultrastructure of the wood cell wall is far from being well understood. More systematic studies using high-resolution imaging techniques are required to fully understand the structure of microfibrils and their orientation in native cell walls.

**Lignin Distribution**

The ultrastructural aspects of cell wall lignification and lignin topochemistry were discussed in detail by Donaldson (2001). In this paper, the focus is on the lignin deposition and topochemistry explored by TEM.

**Normal wood**

Transmission electron microscopy has been used to obtain high-resolution information about the distribution of lignin in both the developing and the mature xylem (Xu et al. 2006a; Ma et al. 2011b; Koch and Schmitt 2013). The TEM study on developing tissues in *Pinus thunbergii* showed that the structure of the un lignified middle lamella in the cambium/developing xylem consists of a fine network containing pectin and hemicelluloses (Hafrén et al. 2000; Kim et al. 2014). As lignification proceeds, the middle lamella becomes compact, dense, and partly covered with globular structures (Hafrén et al. 2000). Differences in the temporal synthesis of p-hydroxyphenylpropane, guaiacyl, and syringyl units in growing maize internodes were studied by Joseleau and Ruel (1997) using TEM and immunogold labeling. Immunological probes and TEM allowed visualization of the progress of lignin deposition during maturation in each cell type. The TEM images of conifer tracheid cell walls showed an inhomogeneous lignin distribution in the S2 layer after analyzing the electron density variations within the micrographs of KMnO₄ stained pine sections (Singh and Daniel 2001; Singh et al. 2002a). On the other hand, the TEM micrographs of *Fagus sylvatica* wood sections showed a homogeneous distribution of lignin in the S2 layer (Prislan et al. 2009). The distribution of lignin in the ultrathin sections of TMP fibers of rubber wood under high temperatures was examined by Singh and co-workers (2003) using TEM and KMnO₄ staining. They also found a heterogeneous lignin distribution in the middle lamella attached to the fiber surface. The degradation/alteration of CML lignin was reported in thermally modified wood (Gao et al. 2014). Kukkola and colleagues (2003) localized dibenzodioxocin substructures in lignifying xylem using immunogold labeling and TEM. The abundance of dibenzodioxocin in the softwood lignin was estimated to be approximately 6%, making it one of the major structural units (Ämmälähti et al. 1998). Dibenzodioxocin is more abundant in the secondary cell wall layers than in the middle lamella. More specifically, dibenzodioxocin exists in the S2 and S3 layers of secondary cell wall. In young tracheids, where secondary cell wall layers have not appeared yet, the dibenzodioxocin structure was absent (Kukkola et al. 2003).

**Tension wood**

Tension wood is formed in hardwood on the upper side of a leaning stem to maintain the natural vertical orientation of the tree. These fibers are characterized by the formation of an extra layer, termed the gelatinous layer (GL), that may replace part of the secondary cell wall (S1+GL, S1+S2+GL), or occupy the cell lumen attached to the S3 layer (S1+S2+S3+GL), depending on the species and the intensity of the tensile stress (Fig. 7a) (Dadswell and Wardrop 1955; Scurfield 1973; Lehringer et al. 2009; Lautner et
al. 2012). The GL can be easily separated from the rest of the cell, leaving a fuzzy appearance on the surface of machined wood (Côté et al. 1969; Donaldson 2001; Daniel 2007). This layer consists mainly of cellulose microfibrils aligned in the direction of the fiber axis (Lautner et al. 2012). The TEM studies on tension wood showed the progression of lignification in the CML, S1, and S2 layers during the GL formation (Yoshinaga et al. 2012). Early investigations on the composition of the GL found that it was primarily cellulose (Norberg and Meier 1966) and was entirely free of lignin (Blanchette et al. 1994; Wada et al. 1995; Donaldson 2001). However, there are a few studies claiming that lignin is present in this layer (Yoshida et al. 2002; Joseleau et al. 2004). The S1 layer of the gelatinous fiber is less lignified than normal wood (Prodhan et al. 1995). Lehringer et al. (2009) discovered the deposition of aromatic compounds within the cellulose structure of the GL (Fig. 7a). Non-condensed guaiacyl-syringyl subunits were homogeneously distributed within the S2, S3, and GL, whereas they were absent in the CML (Lehringer et al. 2009). A TEM-immunogold study localized condensed guaiacyl structures in the S1 and S2 layers of tension wood fibers. These structures were minimally present in the GL, whereas syringyl units accumulated in the inner GL (Joseleau et al. 2004). A low proportion of condensed guaiacyl-syringyl subunits in the S2 region of the fiber walls in Eucalyptus gunnii tension wood were reported (Ruel et al. 1999). Pilate et al. (2004) reviewed the deposition, distribution, and role of lignin in tension wood at the ultrastructural level.

**Fig. 7.** TEM images of KMnO<sub>4</sub> stained resin embedded reaction woods. (a) A maple (Acer sp.) tension wood transverse section: the concentric contrast in the gelatinous layer (GL) indicates a slight deposition of aromatic compounds. Figure republished from Lehringer et al. 2009 with permission of Springer-Verlag. (b) A compression wood transverse section: outer S2 (OS2) shows dark contrast indicating high lignin content. Arrow shows a characteristic helical check. Figure republished from Singh et al. 1998 with permission of author. CC: cell corner; CML: compound middle lamella; ML: middle lamella; S1 and S2: layers of the secondary wall; IS2: inner S2.

**Compression wood**

Compression wood is formed on the lower side of a branch or on the leaning stem of trees belonging to the orders Coniferales, Ginkgoales, and Taxales (Côté et al. 1967). The middle lamella of compression wood is less lignified than normal wood, while the S1 and the inner S2 layers both exhibit a higher lignin concentration than normal wood. The ray cells and the primary cell walls in compression wood have similar chemical
compositions to that of normal wood tracheids (Timell 1982). The deposition of \( p \)-hydroxyphenyl, guaiacyl, and syringyl in Pinus thunbergii compression wood followed the same sequence as in normal wood with some variations (Terashima and Fukushima 1988; Fukushima and Terashima 1991). The TEM image of the compression wood cell wall showed that the outer S2 layer was more lignified than the inner portion, thus stained more intensely with KMnO\(_4\) (Fig. 7b) (Singh et al. 1998; Kim and Singh 1999; Kim et al. 2011). KMnO\(_4\) stained compression wood showed higher lignin concentration than normal wood and was more resistant to decay by rot fungi (Blanchette et al. 1994).

**Distribution of Other Chemical Components**

There are many other chemicals that have an important role in the wood cell wall structure. For example, hemicelluloses, one of the matrix materials, play an important role in building the three-dimensional structure of plant cell walls. Combination of TEM and immunogold labeling has proven to be a powerful tool for providing ultrastructural information of hemicellulose distribution in the wood and fiber cell walls. TEM-immunogold labeling of Japanese beech showed the distribution of glucuronoxylans, the main hemicellulosic component of the hardwood, in the secondary wall of xylem cells, but no labelling was found in the primary wall and middle lamella. An even distribution was observed in the inner part of the secondary wall (Awano et al. 1998). A uniform distribution of xylan specific antibody was observed in the mature tracheids of Japanese cedar; whereas, glucomannan was distributed in the boundary between the S1 and S2 layers. The innermost part of the cell wall showed stronger labeling with glucomannan than other parts of the cell wall (Kim et al. 2010a, b). When applying this technique to pine TMP shives, this yielded information about the distribution of the glucomannan hemicellulose in the different cell wall layers (Fig. 8). Apparently a large number of gold particles were found in the S1 and S2 layers, with less intensity in the primary cell wall. Neither the middle lamella nor the cell corners were labeled (Fernando and Daniel 2008). The localization of glucomannan was studied using immunogold labelling followed by metal replication and was observed with TEM. Glucomannan molecules were distributed heterogeneously all along the cellulose fibril aggregates of Norway spruce kraft pulp. The aggregation of cellulose microfibrils upon the removal of the hemicelluloses was suggested (Duchesne et al. 2003). When applying the same technique to developing tension wood in poplar trees, this revealed the presence of xyloglucan in the gelatinous layer (Sandquist et al. 2010).

Studies on differentiating normal and compression wood in Japanese cedar revealed the temporal and spatial distribution of xylan and mannan hemicelluloses (Kim et al. 2010a, 2011). The xylan specific antibody was uniformly distributed in the S1 layer of compression wood, but it showed an uneven distribution in the S2 layer. Xylan labelling in the inner S2 was mainly detected after beginning of helical cavity in the compression wood; whereas, mannan labelling was detected in the S1 and S2 layer from the early stage of S2 formation (Kim et al. 2011). Compared with normal wood, compression wood had significantly different xylan distribution in the secondary wall, but similar mannan labelling (Kim et al. 2010a, 2011). The chemical structure of hemicelluloses in wood cell wall may vary depending on the stage of cell wall development (Awano et al. 1998; Kim et al. 2010b).
Fig. 8. Immunogold labeling of pine TMP shives showing the distribution of glucomannan in the cell wall. Gradual changes in labeling intensity across the fiber cell wall was apparent; the middle lamella (ccML) is seldom labeled. The primary cell wall (P) has the lowest labeling intensity and the S1 and S2 layers have the highest labeling intensity. Scale bar: 200 nm. Figure republished from Fernando and Daniel 2008 with permission from Authors and Walter de Gruyter.

Hafren and Westermark (2001) studied the distribution of methylated and acidic pectins in three different wood species using antibody labelling and TEM. In sapwood, pectin was generally present in the highly methyl esterified form, although some acidic pectin was found. In spruce, the methylated form of pectin was more abundant than the acidic pectin. In birch wood, there was a significantly higher amount of methylated pectin than acidic pectin. Also, aspen sapwood contained more methylated pectins than acidic pectins. The degradation of pectic polysaccharides in the CML was reported upon the thermal modification of the wood (Gao et al. 2014). The distribution of (1-4)-β-D-galactan in the wood cell wall was studied using different methods, including TEM-immunogold labeling (Altaner et al. 2007, 2010; Arend 2008). This carbohydrate epitope is a specific marker of reaction wood within mechanically stressed trees. In compression wood, (1-4)-β-D-galactan was found in the outer cell wall layers and, to a smaller extent, at the interface between the CML and S1 layers (Altaner et al. 2007, 2010). In tension wood, (1-4)-β-D-galactan was mainly restricted to the interface between the GL and the adjacent secondary cell wall. It has been suggested that this epitope has a role in cross-linking the secondary wall with the GL (Arend 2008).

Using TEM, Streit and Fengel (1994) studied the distribution of tannin in quebracho heartwood. The results obtained from the lead citrate (stains phenolic extractives selectively) stained sections showed that tannins are located not only in the lumina of vessels, fibers, and parenchyma cells, but also in the cell walls. The formation of tannins and their penetration into the cell wall occurred mainly in a narrow transition zone between the sapwood and heartwood.

**Wood Biodegradation**

Electron microscopy is a widely used technique for studying the degradation of lignocellulosic material by microorganisms. The decay of wood by basidiomycetes was studied using TEM in Fosiner et al. (1985). Daniel (1994) reviewed the use of electron microscopy for aiding the understanding of wood biodegradation. Antibody and immunogold labeling techniques have clearly localized laccase, manganese peroxidase,
lignin peroxidase, and diarylpropane enzymes (ligninase, lignin peroxidase) during the advanced stages of degradation using TEM (Fig. 9) (Blanchette et al. 1989b, 1997; Daniel et al. 1990, 1991, 2004; Kim et al. 1992, 1993; Nicole et al. 1992). A TEM study on the degradation of compression wood in a waterlogged condition showed that the initiation of degradation occurred in the inner S2 layer, whereas the outer S2 remained intact (Pedersen et al. 2014). Severe bacterial attack was dominant in the secondary wall, and in some cases, bacterial tunneling in the middle lamella of compression wood was also reported (Kim and Singh 1999). Almost similar results were obtained by another study on bacterial decay in pine harbor foundation piles, where TEM was one of the methods used (Rehbein et al. 2013). Ray parenchyma and epithelial cells remained unaffected by bacteria because of the high lignin content (Pedersen et al. 2014). The TEM imaging showed the colonization of fungal hyphae in the fiber cell lumen of a copper-chromium-phosphorus preservative-impregnated fence pole (Råberg and Daniel 2009). The TEM studies on fiber degradation by rot-fungi showed the presence of fungi in the cell lumen at an early stage of degradation and, at the advanced stage, they appeared to have infiltrated the cell wall structure (Highley et al. 1983; Khalili et al. 2000). Investigations on the decay of normal and reaction woods showed that compression wood was more resistant to decay than the normal wood, whereas tension wood had similar amounts of decay as normal wood that was caused by white or brown rot fungi. Although the gelatinous layer did appear to be somewhat expanded and swollen, the highly crystalline cellulose remained relatively intact even in the most severely decayed areas of the wood (Blanchette et al. 1994).

Fig. 9. TEM images showing morphology of birch cell wall decayed by P. radiata wild and localization of laccase and MnP/LiP. (a, b) Advance stage of attack with only parts of the S1 and middle lamella region (ML) and cell corners (CC) remaining. Concentric bands (SB) of extracellular slime are arranged in the S2 layer and are apparent between the bands (arrowheads) and between the remaining delaminated S1 layer surrounding the CC (double arrows). Laccase (La), manganese peroxidase (MnP), and lignin peroxidase (LiP) enzymes were found associated with the degraded cell-wall, as well as the extracellular slime material. Reproduced from Daniel et al. 2004. Copyright © 2004 Elsevier Masson SAS.
COMPLEMENTARY TECHNIQUES COUPLED WITH TEM

3D Imaging

Electron tomography is a useful technique for revealing 3D information about wood structure, since TEM micrographs provide only 2D projections of the 3D objects. In tomography, a series of images are taken at different tilt angles and reconstructed into a 3D volume.

X-ray tomography on spruce wood has been produced; however, researchers were only able to observe the features with dimensions over 1.5 µm (Trtik et al. 2007). In contrast, a TEM having a goniometer with a high enough tilt range (-60° to +60°), can acquire angular increments of about 1° to 3°, thus providing a high-resolution electron tomography. In practice, the tilt range is limited, i.e. specimen cannot be tilted ± 90° which degrades the resolution in the z direction (parallel to the incident electron beam). However, this problem can be solved by applying dual-axis electron tomography, but the sample may suffer from beam damage because the sample is continuously exposed to the electron beam during the acquisition of tilt series. This problem can be overcome to an extent by using cryo-TEM and the low-dose mode of the automated acquisition software. The reconstruction software can be found online, and the many of the programs are free and user friendly. In practice, further image processing is required to separate individual microfibrils digitally in the tomograms (Ciesielski et al. 2013).

Xu et al. (2007) performed a dual-axis electron tomography to investigate the 3D organization of cellulose microfibrils in plastic resin-embedded, delignified cell walls of radiata pine early wood. In this study, Xu and colleagues were able to digitally isolate individual microfibrils from associated lignin and hemicelluloses (Fig. 10). They stained the wood sections with several chemicals to enhance contrast and beam stability. Effective use of electron tomography on ultrathin wood sections would help to resolve many of the questions regarding the helical organization of microfibrils in different layers, lamellar (radial/tangential) structure of the S2 layer, and the structure of transition layers. A 2D projection of an anisotropic, heterogeneous material like wood seems to be insufficient.

![Fig. 10](image)

**Fig. 10.** Models of cellulose microfibril clusters in the radiata pine S2 layer obtained by tracking tomographic slices. (a) Model of a microfibril cluster without associated lignin and hemicelluloses, and (b) model of the same microfibril cluster with residual lignin and hemicelluloses. Figure republished from Xu et al. 2007 with permission given by Springer-Verlag.
Analytical TEM

Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX or EDS) and Electron Energy-Loss Spectrometry (EELS) are the TEM analytical techniques for the elemental analysis or chemical characterization of specimens. The basic principle of these techniques can be found in Michler (2008) and Williams and Carter (2009). These techniques have been used in wood chemistry. Studies of different hardwood species using TEM-EDX showed higher lignin concentration in the cell corner middle lamella than in the secondary cell wall (Eriksson et al. 1988; Xu et al. 2006b). The TEM-EDX analysis was used to determine the quantitative distribution of guaiacyl and syringyl units in the cell wall of brominated white birch (Saka and Goring 1988). The distribution of impregnated chemicals within the wood cell wall was also studied using this technique (Wallstörm and Lindberg 2000). Dünisch et al. (1998) determined the potassium (K) and calcium (Ca) concentration in phloem, cambium, and xylem cells of spruce by TEM-EDX during the formation of earlywood and latewood. During cell enlargement, a strong increase of the K content was detected. An increase of the Ca content of differentiating cells was found during secondary wall formation, whereas the Ca content of the cell wall decreased remarkably during its lignification.

Localization and characterization of manganese species during the graft copolymerization of acrylic acid onto sawdust was performed by TEM and EELS using KMnO$_4$ as a initiator. The results obtained by EELS showed that MnO$_2$ deposited on wood participates in the grafting mechanism of acrylic acid (Marchetti et al. 2000). The high-resolution examination of the distribution of a partially methylated hydroxymethyl melamine resin in Norway spruce earlywood cell walls was performed using this technique (Rapp et al. 1999). The nitrogen in the resin produced detectable signals in all the layers of the lignified cell walls, thus allowing for the quantification of resin that had penetrated into the different layers. However, the application of these analytical techniques in wood samples would be limited by the rapid degradation by the electron beam.

Electron Diffraction

The crystal is a set of lattice planes that reflects radiation according to Bragg’s law ($2d \sin \theta = \lambda$; where the periodicity is $d$, the diffraction angle is $2\theta$, and the wavelength is $\lambda$). In TEM, the wavelength of the electron is very small; therefore the lattice planes will diffract electron when they are almost parallel to the incident beam (Sawyer et al. 2008). Electron diffraction has proven to be a powerful tool for providing ultrastructural information of cellulose microfibrils originating from different sources (Fig. 11) (Preston and Ripley 1954). However, the use of electron diffraction in native wood is limited because of the presence of both crystalline and amorphous materials in wood. An unoriented amorphous material gives a diffraction pattern consisting of broad rings, which poses difficulty to extract diffraction of the crystalline structure. Therefore, delignification of wood specimen is usually performed before extracting a diffraction pattern of crystalline cellulose microfibrils in wood cell wall (Adachi et al. 1991). Microfibrils in a matrix containing fewer non-cellulosic polymers, such as Valonia, are often preferred to acquire diffraction (Preston and Ripley 1954). The un lignified/less-lignified gelatinous layer in tension wood fibers was also found to be a suitable specimen for TEM analysis (Sugiyama et al. 1986). Furthermore, wood specimens are susceptible to radiation damage during the acquisition of diffraction pattern. In the case of cellulose, it was reported that the radiation damage results in an increase of $d$ spacing with increasing
irradiation doses (Revol 1985). This can be a probable reason for incompatibility between the results obtained with electron and X-ray diffraction (Sugiyama et al. 1991b; Nishiyama et al. 2002). However, specimen damage can be reduced to an extent using low-dose imaging mode and with one of the contemporary cryo-TEM. Electron diffraction on the algal cell wall showed the co-existence of both $I_a$ and $I_β$ polymorphs with variable proportions (Sugiyama et al. 1991a, b). These polymorphs have closely related molecular conformation, but they have different hydrogen bonding patterns. In higher plants, cellulose $I_β$ is thought to be the dominant polymorph (O’Sullivan 1997).

Fig. 11. Ultrastructural features of Microdictyon alga cellulose microfibrils: (a) a thin layer of unstained microfibrils observed under low-dose imaging condition; and (b) electron diffraction collected from an area such as that located in the lower part of "a". Adapted with permission from Sugiyama et al. 1991b. Copyright 1991 American Chemical Society.

**TEM VERSUS OTHER TECHNIQUES**

The invention of TEM in 1940s brought in a new era for the wood scientists and has continued to generate significant contribution to the field. Later, the development of replication, ultramicrotomy, and embedding in resin added the flexibility of studying small specimens extracted from a whole tree. The combined effort of these techniques generated information on wood ultrastructural already in 1950s (Wardrop 1954). The application of electron diffraction on algal cellulose microfibrils provided details of cellulose polymorphism and crystal structure (Preston and Ripley 1954; Sugiyama et al. 1991a, b). Electron tomography has been available for over 30 years and has been a useful technique for exploring 3D biological structures. However, the application of this technique in wood research has started from last decade (Xu et al. 2007). In a recent study, Ciesielski and co-workers (2013) visualized individual microfibrils in pretreated plant cell walls using electron tomography and computational analysis. The development of energy-filters and corresponding instruments has enabled energy-filtered TEM (EFTEM) which is a useful technique for two- and three-dimensional imaging, and chemical analysis at the nanometer scale. An example of zero-loss imaging with a cryo-TEM equipped with an Omega type energy filter is available in Reza et al. (2014b).
Following its invention, the addition of new features and instruments has made TEM one of the leading techniques in biology and material science. Likewise, TEM has provided a solid foundation in the visualization and discovery into the wood cell wall structure and will continue to be a major instrument in wood research for years to come. Although TEM has some issues regarding the preparation of ultrathin specimens, the resolving power of TEM is reported to be higher than other available techniques for wood and fiber specimens (Duchesne and Daniel 1999). Electron beam irradiation is one of the major limiting factors of reaching high-resolution with electron microscopy. This can be reduced to a tolerable level by using cryo-TEM and low-dose imaging mode. The use of cryo-sectioning followed by cryo-TEM imaging allows for the investigation of hydrated specimens without any structural collapse. However, there are many other techniques that have been used to study wood structure independently. The limitations of TEM could be minimized by choosing one of those techniques as a complement. Table 1 summarizes the opportunities and challenges of principal imaging techniques used in wood research.

It is also possible to study hydrated specimens in environmental scanning electron microscope (ESEM) and low-vacuum scanning electron microscopes (LVSEM). Scanning electron microscopy has a large depth of field, which produces a 3D-like image of the specimen surface (e.g. micrographs of compression wood in Burgert et al. 2004); whereas, in TEM, the image is a 2D projection of the specimen, independent of the topography, as long as it is transparent to the electron beam. Scanning electron microscopy, with focused ion beam technology (FIB-SEM), allows 3D imaging of a volume of interest in the specimen block. However, prolonged exposure to the electron beam can cause significant artefacts. Furthermore, the 3D FIB-SEM is still in a developing stage and requires significant upgrading of hardware (beam stability and detector performance), software (automated), sample preparation technique, and data processing and interpretation (Bassim et al. 2014). Like TEM, SEM with EDX technology can be used to perform elemental analysis of the wood specimens (Westermark et al. 1988). Field emission SEM (FESEM) has been successfully used to study the microfibril orientation in wood cell walls (Abe et al. 1991).

X-rays are one of the oldest techniques used to study wood structure. High-resolution information about the average microfibril orientation in the wood cell wall can be found in Farber et al. (2001). Using synchrotron X-ray microdiffraction, the helical organization of microfibrils in the spruce S2 layer was studied (Lichtenegger et al. 1999). Studies on algae and wood cell walls with X-ray diffraction showed the crystalline structure of cellulose microfibrils with atomic resolution (Nishiyama et al. 2002; Fernandes et al. 2011). Three-dimensional tomography was also performed using this technique with very low-resolution (Trtik et al. 2007). Depending on the size of the beam in Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS), it is possible to either scan the sample with spatial resolution down to the μm-range or to obtain an average information over a larger sample volume containing many cells. However, this method cannot distinguish between two cell types with different microfibril angles (MFA), or even one cell type showing two MFAs; therefore, the benefit of averaging over several cells requires some previous knowledge about the system. In addition, issues regarding radiation damage were reported while analyzing wood specimens (Saxe et al. 2014).

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) has been used as an important complement to electron microscopy to study the wood ultrastructure for a prolonged duration (Fahlén and Salmén 2005; Zimmermann et al. 2006). However, there are issues limiting high-resolution imaging due to the finite size of the AFM tip, which exaggerates the size of
very small (<50 nm) features (Duchesne and Daniel 1999). Besides imaging, AFM can be used to study the micro-mechanics of the wood cell wall using the nano-indentation method (Burgert and Keplinger 2013).

Raman microspectroscopy has been considered as a non-destructive technique to study the distribution and orientation of wood cell wall materials (Gierlinger et al. 2010; Hänninen et al. 2011). Nevertheless, the mapping of specimens can be disturbed by laser induced damage and excess fluorescence (Gierlinger et al. 2012).

**Table 1. Principal Imaging Methods Used in Wood Research with their Features and Challenges**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Techniques</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmission Electron Microscopy</td>
<td>Imaging, elemental analysis, tomography, diffraction, imaging of hydrated specimen with cryo-TEM; resolution 0.2 nm.</td>
<td>Sample preparation, radiation damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning Electron Microscopy</td>
<td>High depth of focus, imaging of hydrated specimens with LVSEM and ESEM, elemental analysis with EDX, 3D imaging with FIB-SEM, block of specimen can be imaged; resolution 1.0-10.0 nm depending on the imaging mode.</td>
<td>Radiation damage, charging of non-conductive specimens, all the features may not be available in a single package.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)</td>
<td>Height imaging, phase imaging, studying micro-mechanics with nano-indentation, imaging can be performed at ambient temperature and humid conditions and in fluid environments, easy sample preparation, no need for high vacuum; lateral resolution 2 nm.</td>
<td>Tip-sample interaction can generate artefacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raman Microscopy</td>
<td>Image reconstruction with characteristic band, chemical analysis, spectroscopy, easy sample preparation; resolution &lt;0.5 µm.</td>
<td>Laser induced damage, fluorescence effect during mapping, precise focusing needed in confocal mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Ray</td>
<td>Diffraction, spectroscopy, tomography, generates average microfibril angle of the analyzed specimen.</td>
<td>Sometimes prior knowledge on the specimen needed; cannot distinguish between two cell types with different structure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. This review demonstrates the importance and potential use of TEM in the investigation of wood and fiber cell wall ultrastructure. Several staining methods are used in TEM. Among these, KMnO₄ staining is a common method for studying lignin distribution in wood cell walls. Immunogold labeling requires several preparation steps, but it is an important technique to localize the substructures of cell wall polymers. Negative staining with uranyl acetate has been found suitable for studying cellulose microfibrils and fines.

2. The orientation of microfibrils and the structures formed by microfibrils (e.g., aggregates, bundles) in wood cell walls have been investigated successfully using
TEM. The molecular structure of microfibrils using electron diffraction in the algal cell wall provided some details about cellulose polymorphisms and their existence in the native cell wall.

3. A substantial amount of research has investigated the distribution of lignin using TEM. This technique is also useful in studying specific features of tension and compression woods.

4. The distribution of hemicelluloses in the wood cell wall has been studied successfully using TEM and immunogold labeling. The TEM-immunogold labeling technique has also been used to localize enzymes during advanced stages of degradation.

5. Some additional features of TEM, such as acquiring tilt series, EDX, EELS, and diffraction are promising complementary features which have provided additional information about the wood structure. However, very few studies have been performed using these technologies in wood. Further research should focus on the 3D imaging of wood ultrastructure with improved sample preparation methods.
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