## Evaluating the Effectiveness of Complex Fire-Retardants on the Fire Properties of Ultra-low Density Fiberboard (ULDF)

Tingjie Chen,<sup>a,b</sup> Jinghong Liu,<sup>a</sup> Zhenzeng Wu,<sup>a</sup> Wei Wang,<sup>a</sup> Min Niu,<sup>a</sup> Xiaodong (Alice) Wang,<sup>b</sup> and Yongqun Xie<sup>a,\*</sup>

The preparation conditions of complex fire-retardant (FR) agents containing boron compounds (BF, X<sub>1</sub>), nitrogen-phosphorus compounds (NPF, X<sub>2</sub>), silicon compounds (SF, X<sub>3</sub>), and halogen compounds (HF, X<sub>4</sub>) for ultra-low density fiberboard (ULDF) were optimized using a response surface methodology. The effects and interactions of X1, X2, X3, and X4 on the fire properties of ULDF were investigated. An optimum char yield of 61.4% was obtained when the complex fire-retardant agents contained 33.9% boron, 27.2% nitrogen-phosphorus, 15.0% silicon, and 28.6% halogen. Compared with control fiberboard (CF), the heat release rate (HRR) profiles of all fiberboards with FRs were reduced. The peak HRR reduction in BF and NPF was more pronounced than for SF and HF at this stage. And the mixed fiberboard (MF) had the lowest pkHRR of 75.02 kW m<sup>-2</sup>. In total heat release (THR) profiles, all fiberboards with FRs were lower than the CF. Unlike the HRR profiles, HF had the lowest THR profile of 15.33 MJ m<sup>-2</sup>. Additionally, Si compounds showed greater effectiveness in preventing ULDF mass loss than BF, NPF, and HF. MF showed the highest residual mass (40.94%). Furthermore, the synergistic effect between four FR agents showed more significant results in ULDFs.

Keywords: Ultra-low density fiberboard; Fire-retardant; Char yield; Optimization; Response surface methodology

Contact information: a: Department of Material Engineering, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, 350002 Fuzhou, Fujian, China; b: Division of Wood Technology and Engineering, Luleå University of Technology, Forskargatan 1, 93187 Skellefteå, Sweden; \*Corresponding author: fjxieyq@hotmail.com

## INTRODUCTION

Wood-based composites are combustible materials, and their applications are always limited by their inflammability. To mitigate this disadvantage, fire-retardant treatments that modify or impede burning in the condensed and/or gaseous phases are used. Depending on the specific fire retardant and environment, the fire retardancy of wood-based composites involves a complex series of simultaneous chemical and physical reactions (Hornsby 2001; Genovese and Shanks 2008). There are several ways in which the combustion process can be slowed by fire-retardant treatment. A protective layer with low thermal conductivity can be formed that reduces heat transfer from the heat source, or the substrate is cooled by the degradation reactions of the additive. Furthermore, the fuel in the solid and gaseous phases can be diluted in order to decrease the ignition limit of the gas mixture. Two chemical reactions interfere with the combustion process in the condensed and gas phases (Gao et al. 2005; Bourbigot and Duquesne 2007; Hagen et al. 2009; Schartel 2010). Some fire retardants have one or more ways of improving the fire properties of composites. For example, silicon (Si) compounds dilute the combustible organic gases in the flame zone by initiating the vapor phase, and they also form a barrier to heat and mass transfer (Ebdon et al. 1996). The fire properties of wood-based composites have been improved by many types of fire retardant additives including

halogens (Lewin 2005), silicon (Genovese and Shanks 2008; Niu *et al.* 2014; Chen *et al.* 2015a,c), boron (Baysal *et al.* 2007a, b), and phosphorous (Gao *et al.* 2003; Gao *et al.* 2005; Branca and Blasi 2007; Schartel *et al.* 2010). Fire retardants generally are not used alone; they are usually used with other additives in polymeric materials to obtain a synergistic effect (Durin-France *et al.* 2000; Hagen *et al.* 2009). Wang and Li (2004) improved the fire properties of wood by using complex fire retardants containing guanylurea phosphate, boric acid, and other additives. These materials accelerate the formation of wood char, despite forming a protective layer and diluting the fuel in the combustion process.

Ultra-low density fiberboards (ULDFs) are manufactured by liquid frothing (Xie *et al.* 2004). Although they have many excellent properties including ultra-low density (ranging from 10 to 90 kg m<sup>-3</sup>), low thermal conductivity, good sound absorption, *etc.* (Chen *et al.* 2015d; Xie *et al.* 2004, 2011; Niu *et al.* 2014), their application is restricted by their inflammability (Xie *et al.* 2011; Niu *et al.* 2014). To overcome this disadvantage, halogen-containing fire retardants (Liu *et al.* 2014) and Si or aluminum compounds (Niu *et al.* 2014; Chen *et al.* 2015c) have been added. Liu (2013) showed that the fire-resistant properties of ULDF were further improved when chlorinated paraffin and Si-Al compounds were combined, indicating synergy between these compounds. The goal of this paper was mainly to clarify the synergistic effect in complex fire-retardant agents containing boron, nitrogen-phosphorus compounds, Si, and halogens. Their preparation conditions were optimized by a standard response surface methodology (RSM) design called central composite design (CCD). The fire performance of the fiberboard was measured using cone calorimetry. The results of char yield, combustion behavior, and mass loss of ULDFs were all considered.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

#### **Materials**

Kraft pulp (KP, spruce-pine-fir; Tembec Inc., Québec, Canada) was utilized as a raw material to manufacture ULDF. The additives used are presented in Table 1.

Additives		Additive	Monufacturar
Category	Main Composition	amount	Manufacturer
Boron Compounds	Boracic Acid and Borax		Deer Boron Industry Chemical Co., Ltd. (Zhengzhou, China)
Nitrogen-Phosphorus Compounds	Diammonium Hydrogen Phosphate	See in	Suzhou High-energy Chemical Technology Co., Ltd. (Suzhou, China)
Si Compounds	Sodium Silicate	Table 2	Tianjin Fuchen Chemical Reagents Factory (Tianjin, China)
Halogen Compounds	Chlorinated Paraffin		Changzhou Fengshuo Chemical Co., Ltd. (Changzhou, China)
Sodium Dodecylbenzene Sulfonate (10% concentration, forming agent)		80 mL	Jiangsu Qingting Washing Products Co., Ltd. (Yancheng, China)
Polyacrylamide resin		20 mL	Prepared by the authors
Alkyl Kete (AKD, water-p	ene Dimer proofing agent)	50 mL	Suzhou Tianma Chemicals Co., Ltd. (Suzhou, China)

**Table 1.** Detailed Information and Parameters for Additives

#### Methods

#### Preparation of ultra-low density fiberboard

Ultra-low density fiberboards (200 mm  $\times$  200 mm  $\times$  50 mm) consisted of 55 g dry pulp fiber, with a target bulk density of 50 to 90 kg·m<sup>-3</sup>. They were manufactured separately using various parameters in a demonstration line (Fig. 1). The parameters for manufacturing fiberboards are presented in Table 1. The composition of each complex fire-retardant is shown in Table 2. Their respective masses were based on fire-retardant content *versus* dry fiber mass. The nomenclature of the corresponding fiberboards were BF (fiberboard with boron compounds), NPF (fiberboard with nitrogen-phosphorus compounds), SF (fiberboard with Si compounds), and HF (fiberboard with halogen compounds).



Fig. 1. The ULDF preparation process

#### Char yield of ultra-low density fiberboard

The char yield of ULDF was obtained using a controlled electric resistance furnace at high temperatures (model KDF-S70G, Denken Co., Jingdu, Japan). When the temperature of the furnace reached 400 °C, 1.000 g of fiberboard encased in aluminum foil was heated in a furnace under a nitrogen atmosphere. After 30 min of heating, the fiberboards were cooled at room temperature in a closed container. The char yield of ULDF was tested when the temperature of the residue fell below 40 °C.

#### Experimental design

The boron compounds  $(X_1)$ , nitrogen-phosphorus compounds  $(X_2)$ , Si compounds  $(X_3)$ , and halogen compounds  $(X_4)$  were chosen as the variables, and char yield (Y) was their function. A standard RSM design CCD was applied to study the effects of  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ , and  $X_4$  on Y. Design-Expert software (Trial Version 8.0.6, Stat-Ease, Minneapolis, USA) was used to analyze data and build the models. The selection range of each variable is shown in Table 2.

	Levels				
Coded-Variables (Xi)	-2	-1	0	1	2
Boron Compounds (X <sub>1</sub> , %)	15	20	25	30	35
Nitrogen-Phosphorus Compounds (X2, %)	15	20	25	30	35
Silicium Compounds (X <sub>3</sub> , %)	15	20	25	30	35
Halogen Compounds (X4, %)	15	20	25	30	35

Table 2. Levels of Parameter Variables used in RSM Design

#### Testing of fire properties by cone calorimeter method

Fire properties of the ULDFs were evaluated using a cone calorimeter (model FTT2000, FTT Co., East Grinstead, UK), which has been adopted by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO 5660 2002) to evaluate the parameters of heat release rate (HRR), total heat released (THR), and mass loss rate (MLR). The size of the fiberboards was  $100 \times 100 \times 30$  mm ( $L \times W \times H$ ). They were encased in aluminum foil, except for the heating surface, and mounted in a stainless steel holder with a grid placed on top of the fiberboard. The tests were performed at a heat flux level of 50 kW m<sup>-2</sup>.

		Coded Levels of	Char Yield (%)			
No.	Boron (X <sub>1</sub> , %)	Nitrogen- Phosphorus (X <sub>2</sub> , %)	Silicium (X <sub>3</sub> , %)	Halogen (X <sub>4</sub> , %)	Experimental	Predicted
1	-1(20)	-1(20)	-1(20)	-1(20)	34.2	34.3
2	1(30)	-1	-1	-1	41.7	40.6
3	-1	1(30)	-1	-1	40.9	42.0
4	1	1	-1	-1	44.3	46.0
5	-1	-1	1(30)	-1	37.1	36.5
6	1	-1	1	-1	34.4	38.2
7	-1	1	1	-1	38.8	38.9
8	1	1	1	-1	39.7	38.4
9	-1	-1	-1	1(30)	43.0	44.5
10	1	-1	-1	1	49.5	49.9
11	-1	1	-1	1	51.7	48.4
12	1	1	-1	1	50.7	51.5
13	-1	-1	1	1	43.4	42.2
14	1	-1	1	1	43.8	42.9
15	-1	1	1	1	39.4	40.7
16	1	1	1	1	38.9	39.3
17	-2(15)	0(25)	0(25)	0(25)	40.7	41.5
18	2(35)	0	0	0	47.8	46.3
19	0(25)	-2(15)	0	0	37.1	36.4
20	0	2(35)	0	0	40.5	40.5
21	0	0	-2(15)	0	55.9	55.7
22	0	0	2(35)	0	46.1	45.6
23	0	0	0	-2(15)	31.5	30.0
24	0	0	0	2(35)	40.3	41.1
25	0	0	0	0	47.3	47.4
26	0	0	0	0	47.4	47.4
27	0	0	0	0	47.5	47.4
28	0	0	0	0	47.2	47.4
29	0	0	0	0	47.3	47.4
30	0	0	0	0	47.4	47.4

Table 3. Central Composite Design and Response to the Char Yield of ULDF

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Model Fitting**

The design matrix and the results of RSM experiments for determining the effects of the four independent variables are shown in Table 3. The mathematical model representing the char yield of ULDF against the function of the independent variables was expressed as follows (Eq. 1),

 $Y = 47.35 + 1.20X_1 + 1.00X_2 - 2.50X_3 + 2.79X_4 - 0.56X_1X_2 - 1.14X_1X_3 - 0.23X_1X_4 - 1.32X_2X_3 - 0.96X_2X_4 - 1.14X_3X_4 - 0.86X_1^2 - 2.22X_2^2 + 0.83X_3^2 - 2.95X_4^2 \eqref{eq:starter} \eqref{eq:starter}$ 

where Y is the char yield of ULDF and  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ , and  $X_4$  are the coded variables for boron compounds, nitrogen-phosphorus compounds, silicium compounds, and halogen compounds, respectively.

The *p*-value of the model and the lack of fit value were less than 0.0001, which indicated that the model's fitness was high (Table 4; Brown and Brown 2012; Singh *et al.* 2013). The value of  $R^2$  (0.9983) was in reasonable agreement with that of  $R_{adj}^2$  (0.9930), which indicated a high degree of correlation between the observed values and the predicted values (Chen *et al.* 2012). Thus, the regression model accurately explained the true behavior of the system.

Source	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	<i>F</i> -Value	<i>p</i> -Value
Model	880.96	14	62.93	20.13	<0.0001
X1	34.32	1	34.32	10.98	0.0047
X2	24.20	1	24.20	7.74	0.0140
X3	150.50	1	150.50	48.13	<0.0001
X4	186.48	1	186.48	59.64	<0.0001
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>2</sub>	4.95	1	4.95	1.58	0.2275
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>3</sub>	20.93	1	20.93	6.69	0.0206
X1X4	0.86	1	0.86	0.27	0.6085
X <sub>2</sub> X <sub>3</sub>	27.83	1	27.83	8.90	0.0093
X <sub>2</sub> X <sub>4</sub>	14.63	1	14.63	4.68	0.0471
X <sub>3</sub> X <sub>4</sub>	20.93	1	20.93	6.69	0.0206
X1 <sup>2</sup>	20.36	1	20.36	6.51	0.0221
X <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>	135.66	1	135.66	43.39	<0.0001
X <sub>3</sub> <sup>2</sup>	18.72	1	18.72	5.99	0.0272
X4 <sup>2</sup>	238.53	1	238.53	76.29	<0.0001
Residual	46.90	15	3.13		
Lack of Fit	46.85	10	4.68	425.87	<0.0001
Pure Error	0.06	5	0.01		
Correlation Total	927.86	29			

Table 4. Analysis of Variance for Regression Model for the Char Yield of ULDF

p < 0.01 highly significant; 0.01 < p < 0.05 significant; p > 0.05 insignificant

As can be seen in Table 4, the *F*-value (20.13) and *p*-values (less than 0.0001) implied that this model was significant, and only a 0.01% chance could occur due to noise (Amini *et al.* 2008). X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>3</sub>, X<sub>4</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>X<sub>3</sub>, and two quadratic terms ( $X_2^2$  and  $X_4^2$ ) significantly affected the ULDF char yield. The variables X<sub>2</sub>, X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>3</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>X<sub>3</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>X<sub>4</sub>, X<sub>3</sub>X<sub>4</sub>, X<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>, and X<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> also significantly affected the ULDF char yield, whereas X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>2</sub> and X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>4</sub> had no significant effect. In order of decreasing effect on char yield, the independent variables were ranked as follows: halogen compounds (X<sub>4</sub>) > silicium compounds (X<sub>3</sub>) > boron compounds (X<sub>1</sub>) > nitrogen-phosphorus compounds (X<sub>2</sub>).

### Analysis of Response Surface and Optimization

The relationship between the parameters and the response variable was plotted in a 3D representation of the response surface (Fig. 2).



**Fig. 2.** Response surface plots for the maximum ULDF char yield of and various combinations of parameters. (a)  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ ; (b)  $X_1$  and  $X_3$ ; (c)  $X_1$  and  $X_4$ ; (d)  $X_2$  and  $X_3$ ; (e)  $X_2$  and  $X_4$ ; and (f)  $X_3$  and  $X_4$ 

As can be seen in Fig. 2a-c, the results were elliptical, indicating significant interactions between the independent variables and the char yield of ULDFs (Tang *et al.* 2011). Boron compounds thermally decompose, producing boron oxide and driving decomposition of the polymer toward carbonaceous residues. Nitrogen-phosphorus compounds act similarly; they increase dehydration reactions during thermal degradation to produce more char and less total volatiles (Hagen *et al.* 2009). Therefore, ULDF fire

properties were improved more significantly when these compounds were combined. Their fire-retardant mechanisms are described in Eqs. 2 and 3:

$$\begin{array}{c} Boron \\ Compounds \\ H_{3}BO_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{Heat absorption}} \begin{cases} HBO_{2} & \underline{\text{Acid-catalyzed}} \\ H_{2}B_{4}O_{7} & \underline{\text{Charing}} \end{cases} \\ Carbonaceous residues \\ Na_{2}B_{4}O_{7}.10H_{2}O \xrightarrow{\text{Heat absorption}} & Vitrophyric B_{2}O_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{Encapsulate}} & Fiber \end{cases}$$
(2)  

$$\begin{array}{c} Diammonium & \underline{\text{Heat absorption}} \\ hydrogen phosphate \end{cases} \\ \begin{array}{c} NH_{3} \text{ and } N_{2} & \underline{\text{Dilution}} \\ H_{3}PO_{4} \\ \underline{\text{HPO}_{3}} & \underline{\text{Acid-catalyzed}} \\ Carbonaceous residues \end{cases} \\ \begin{array}{c} Carbonaceous residues \\ Carbonaceous residues \end{cases} \\ \end{array} \end{cases}$$
(3)

Additionally, Si compounds generate polysilicic acid, which reacts with wood to form an inorganic film on its surface (Eq. 4). The inorganic film insulates wood against air during combustion (Unger *et al.* 2012; Chen *et al.* 2015c).

$$\equiv \text{Si} - \text{OH} + \text{HO} - \text{wood} \xrightarrow[hydrolysis / depolymerisation]} \equiv \text{Si} - \text{O} - \text{Wood} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$
(4)

Halogen-based fire retardants act in the vapor phase by a radical mechanism that interrupts the exothermic processes and suppresses combustion. Halogen hydrides dilute combustible gas or prevent its exposure to the air, which delays pyrolysis. Boron compounds reduce or eliminate afterglow in halogen compounds (Lu and Hamerton 2002). Therefore, the fire properties of ULDF were improved when all of the fire retardants were added.

When the fixed content of Si was added, the char yield of ULDF was increased with the increasing content of nitrogen-phosphorus (Fig. 2d). Similar trends were observed for the nitrogen-phosphorus compounds, silicon compounds, and halogen compounds in (Figs. 2e, f). These results showed that nitrogen-phosphorus-, Si-, and halogen-based fire retardants improved ULDF fire properties alone but had different roles in achieving flame retardation. When added together, they produced a synergistic effect. Based on Eq. 1, the optimal fire-retardant for ULDFs contained 33.9% boracic acid and borax, 27.2% diammonium hydrogen phosphate, 15.0% sodium silicate, and 28.6% chlorinated paraffin. These conditions produced the optimal ULDF char yield (61.4%).

#### Fire Resistance of ULDFs

Combustion behavior 25 b a HRR (kW/m<sup>2</sup>) 100 THR (MJ/m<sup>2</sup>) 15 CF BF CF BF YF NPF SF HF SF HF MF Specime MF 500 100 200 300 400 600 100 200 400 500 300 Time (s) Time (s)

**Fig. 3.** HRR profiles (a) and THR profiles (b) of the control fiberboard (CF), boron-based fiberboard (BF), nitrogen-phosphorus-based fiberboard (NPF), silicium-based fiberboard (SF), halogen-based fiberboard (HF), and mixed fiberboard (MF)

The fire properties of ULDFs were evaluated using cone calorimetry with a heat flux of 50 kW m<sup>-2</sup> (Fig. 3). The HRR curves demonstrated a two-peak profile, especially the SF curve, due to the thermo-oxidative decomposition of the char. Furthermore, Si compounds formed a barrier to heat and mass transfer that caused the further decomposition and cracking of the char towards the burned ends where the second peak occurred (Hagen *et al.* 2009; Shabir Mahr *et al.* 2012; Chen *et al.* 2015b). Compared with CF, the HRR profiles of BF, NPF, SF, HF, and MF were reduced. Also, the peak of HRR (pkHRR) of BF (94.91 kW m<sup>-2</sup>), NPF (89.01 kW m<sup>-2</sup>), SF (137.04 kW m<sup>-2</sup>), HF (125.01 kW m<sup>-2</sup>), and MF (75.02 kW m<sup>-2</sup>) were lower than that of CF (192.01 kW m<sup>-2</sup>) (Table 5). The degree of pkHRR reduction in BF and NPF was more pronounced than for SF and HF at this stage. The results indicated that the boron and nitrogen-phosphorus fire retardant-treated ULDFs were the most successful in reducing HRR, which could be attributed to changes in the condensing phase of char production (Hagen *et al.* 2009). Notably, the pkHRR of MF (75.02 kW m<sup>-2</sup>) was the lowest of the six fiberboards studied; thus, the four agents in the complex fire retardant had a synergistic effect.

Fiberboards	Fire Retardant	Additives (g)	AKD (mL)	pkHRR (kW⋅m⁻²)	THR (MJ⋅m <sup>-2</sup> )
CF	-	-		192.03	22.18
BF	Boron Compounds	50.0		94.91	16.98
NPF	Nitrogen-Phosphorus Compounds	50.0	50	89.01	17.71
SF	Silicium Compounds	50.0	50	137.04	19.81
HF	Halogen Compounds	50.0		125.01	15.33
MF	Complex Fire Retardant	57.6		75.02	17.81

Table 5. Parameters and Peak HRR of the Fiberboards

As shown in Fig. 3b and Table 5, the THR profiles of the fiberboards with fire retardant treatment (16.98 MJ m<sup>-2</sup>, 17.71 MJ m<sup>-2</sup>, 19.81 MJ m<sup>-2</sup>, 15.33 MJ m<sup>-2</sup>, and 17.81 MJ m<sup>-2</sup>) were lower than that of the CF (22.18 MJ m<sup>-2</sup>).



**Fig. 4.** Mass residual ratios of the control fiberboard (CF), boron-based fiberboard (BF), nitrogen-phosphorus-based fiberboard (NPF), silicium-based fiberboard (SF), halogen-based fiberboard (HF), and mixed fiberboard (MF)

All of the fire retardants played an important role, but there were different results in the THR profiles of the fiberboards. Unlike the HRR profiles, HF had the lowest THR profile (15.33 MJ  $m^{-2}$ ), indicating that HF combusted least effectively and that the

chlorinated paraffins were sufficient in counteracting the decrease in total heat release. This occurred because halogen-based fire retardants act in the vapor and condensed phases. They generate halogen hydride gas, which accelerates hemicellulose and cellulose dehydration into charcoal in low temperatures. The described processes can also generate a large amount of hydroxyl radicals, which interrupt the exothermic processes and suppress combustion (Lu *et al.* 2002; Liu 2013). These reactions are described in Eq. 5:

 $HO \cdot +CO \rightarrow CO_2\uparrow + H \cdot$   $2H \cdot +O_2 \rightarrow 2HO \cdot$   $Cl \cdot +HR \rightarrow HCl + R \cdot$  $HCl + HO \cdot \rightarrow H_2O + Cl \cdot$ 

(5)

#### Mass loss vs. time

Percentage mass loss curves were calculated as a function of time (Fig. 4). Mass loss differences between the fiberboards were due to their HRR differences. CF showed the lowest residual mass of 10.69%. Among BF, NPF, SF, and HF, the residual mass of SF (35.49%) was higher by 9.78%, 3.68%, and 14.01% than BF, NPF, and HF, respectively. This result could be ascribed to the inorganic film, which acts as a barrier to fuel transport (Sacristán *et al.* 2010; Chen *et al.* 2015b). In contrast, chlorinated paraffins cannot form a barrier to slow or prevent the release of fuel to the flame front, which corresponded to its high value of HHR and low percentage mass loss (21.48%). MF showed the largest residual mass (40.94%), which was higher than that of CF by 30.25%. These results indicated that the boron compounds, nitrogen-phosphorus compounds, silicium compounds, and halogen compounds in complex fire retardants had a significant synergistic effect on improving the fire resistance of ULDFs.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The fire properties of ULDFs were effectively improved by treatment with complex fire retardants. The optimal complex fire retardant was predicted by response surface methodology, and an optimal char yield of 61.4% was obtained. The optimal complex fire retardant contained 33.9% boracic acid and borax, 27.2% diammonium hydrogen phosphate, 15.0% sodium silicate, and 28.6% chlorinated paraffin.
- 2. Compared with CF, the HRRs of BF, NPF, SF, HF, and MF were reduced. This result indicated that ULDF fire properties were effectively improved by all of four fire retardants. Additionally, the THR profiles of BF, NPF, SF, HF, and MF were lower than those of CF. Fiberboards with chlorinated paraffins showed a significant reduction in the amount of total heat released.
- 3. The overall mass loss was remarkably decreased in all fiberboards. Si compounds were the most effective in preventing ULDF mass loss. MF showed the highest residual mass (40.94%), which was 30.25% higher than CF. The four fire retardant agents showed synergistic effects in ULDFs.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Scientific Research Foundation of the Graduate School of Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University (1122YB020) and the Studying

Abroad Scholarships of China. The authors are also grateful for the financial support of the National Science and Technology Support Program (2008BADA9B01), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (30781982), the Education and Scientific Research Foundation for Middle-age and Young Teachers of the Fujian Province Education Department (JB13040), and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2015J01604).

## **REFERENCES CITED**

- Amini, M., Younesi, H., Bahramifar, N., Lorestani, A. A., Ghorbani, F., Daneshi, A., and Sharifzadeh, M. (2008). "Application of response surface methodology for optimization of lead biosorption in an aqueous solution by *Aspergillus niger*," *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 154, 694-702. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.10.114
- Baysal, E., Altinok, M., and Mehmet, C. (2007a). "Fire resistance of Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menzieesi*) treated with borates and natural extractives," *Bioresource Technology* 98(5), 1101-1105. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2006.04.023
- Baysal, E., Yalinkilic, M. K., and Mustafa, A. (2007b). "Some physical, biological, mechanical, and fire properties of wood polymer composite (WPC) pretreated with boric acid and borax mixture," *Construction and Building Materials* 21(9), 1879-1885. DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2006.05.026
- Bourbigot, S., and Duquesne, S. (2007). "Fire retardant polymers: Recent developments and opportunities," *Journal of Materials Chemistry* 17(1), 2283-2300. DOI: 10.1039/B702511D
- Branca, C., and Blasi, C. D. (2007). "Oxidation characteristics of chars generated from wood impregnated with (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> and (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>," *Thermochimica Acta* 456(2), 120-127. DOI: 10.1016/j.tca.2007.02.009
- Brown, J. N., and Brown, R. C. (2012). "Process optimization of an auger pyrolyzer with heat carrier using response surface methodology," *Bioresource Technology* 103(1), 405-414. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2011.09.117
- Chen, T. J., Niu, M., Wu, Z. Z., and Xie, Y. Q. (2015a). "Effect of silica sol content on thermostability and mechanical properties of ultra-low density fiberboards," *BioResources* 10(1), 1519-1527. DOI: 10.15376/biores.10.1.1519-1527
- Chen, T. J., Niu, M., Wu, Z. Z., Cai, L. L., and Xie, Y. Q. (2015b). "Fire performance of Si-Al ultra-low density fiberboards evaluated by cone calorimetry," *BioResources* 10(2), 3254-3264. DOI: 10.15376/biores.10.2. 3254-3264
- Chen, T. J., Niu, M., Xie, Y. Q., Wu, Z. Z., Liu, X. Z., Cai, L. L., and Zhuang, B. R. (2015c). "Modification of ultra-low density fiberboards by an inorganic film formed by Si/Al deposition and their mechanical properties," *BioResources* 10(1), 538-547. DOI: 10.15376/biores.10.1.538-547
- Chen, T. J., Wu, Z. Z., Niu, M., Xie, Y. Q., and Wang, X. D. (2015d). "Effect of Si-Al Molar Ratio on Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Ultra-low Density Fiberboard," *European Journal of Wood and Wood Products*. DOI: 10.1007/s00107-015-0986-x
- Chen, W., Wang, W. P., Zhang, H. S., and Huang, Q. (2012). "Optimization of ultrasonic-assisted extraction of water-soluble polysaccharides from *Boletus edulis* mycelia using response surface methodology," *Carbohydrate Polymers* 87(1), 614-619. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.08.029
- Durin-France, A., Ferry, L., Lopez, C. J. M., and Crespy, A. (2000). "Magnesium hydroxide/zinc borate/talc compositions as flame-retardants in EVA copolymer," *Polymer International* 49(10), 1101-1105. DOI:

# bioresources.com

10.1002/1097-0126(200010)49:10<1101::AID-PI523>3.0.CO;2-5

Ebdon, J. R., Hunt, B. J., Jones, M. S., and Thorpe, F. G. (1996). "Chemical modification of polymers to improve flame retardance-II. The influence of silicon-containing groups," *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 54(2–3), 395-400. DOI: 10.1016/S0141-3910(96)00068-7

Gao, M., Ling, B. C., and Yang, S. S. (2005). "Flame retardance of wood treated with guanidine compounds characterized by thermal degradation behavior," *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 73(1), 151-156. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaap.2005.01.006

Gao, M., Pan, D. X., and Sun, C. Y. (2003). "Study on the thermaldegradation of wood treated with amino resin and amino resinmodified with phosphoric acid," *Journal of Fire Sciences* 21, 189-201. DOI: 10.1177/0734904103021003002

Genovese, A., and Shanks, R. A. (2008). "Fire performance of poly(dimethyl siloxane) composites evaluated by cone calorimetry," *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 39(2), 398-405. DOI: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2007.09.009

Hagen, M., Hereid, J., Delichatsios, M. A., Zhang, J., and Bakirtzis, D. (2009).
"Flammability assessment of fire-retarded Nordic Spruce wood using thermogravimetric analyses and cone calorimetry," *Fire Safety Journal* 44(8), 1053-1066. DOI: 10.1016/j.firesaf.2009.07.004

Hornsby, P. R. (2001). "Fire retardant fillers for polymers," *International Materials Reviews* 46(4), 199-210. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/095066001771048763

ISO 5660. (2002). "Fire tests – Reaction to fire – Heat release, smoke production, and mass loss rate – Part 1: Heat release rate (cone calorimeter method)," International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.

Lewin, M. (2005). "Unsolved problems and unanswered questions in flame retardance of polymers," *Polymer Degradation Stability* 88(1), 13-19. DOI: 10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2003.12.011

Liu, J. H., Xie, Y. Q., and Wei, Q. H. (2014). "Fire retardant properties of ultra-low density wood fiber-based foaming material by cone calorimeter," *Journal of Northwest Forestry University* 29(1), 174-177. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1001-7461.2014.01.35

Liu, J. H. (2013). "Fire retardant properties and mechanism of ultra-low density wood fiber-based material," Ph.D. Dissertation, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, China.

Lu, S. Y., and Hamerton, I. (2002). "Recent developments in the chemistry of halogen-free flame retardant polymers," *Progress in Polymer Science* 27(8), 1661-1712. DOI: 10.1016/S0079-6700(02)00018-7

Niu, M., Hagman, O., Wang, X. D., Xie, Y. Q., Karlsson, O., and Cai, L. L. (2014).
"Effect of Si/Al compounds on fire properties of ultra-low densities fiberboard," *BioResources* 9(2), 2415-2430. DOI: 10.15376/biores.9.2. 2415-2430

Sacristán, M., Richard, H. T., Stec, A. A., Ronda, J. C., Galià, M., and Cádiz, V. (2010). "Cone calorimetry studies of fire retardant soybean-oil-based copolymers containing silicon or boron: Comparison of additive and reactive approaches," *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 95, 1269-1274. DOI: 10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2010.03.015

Schartel, B. (2010). "Phosphorus-based flame retardancy mechanisms – Old hat or a starting point for future development," *Materials* 3(10), 4710-4745. DOI: 10.3390/ma3104710

Shabir Mahr, M., Hübert, T., Schartel, B., Bahr, H., Sabel, M., and Militz, H. (2012).
"Fire retardancy effects in single and double layered sol-gel derived TiO<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub>-wood composites," *Journal of Sol-Gel Science and Technology* 64(2), 452-464.
DOI: 10.1007/s10971-012-2877-5

- Singh, P., Shera, S. S., Banik, J., and Banik, R. M. (2013). "Optimization of cultural conditions using response surface methodology *versus* artificial neural network and modeling of L-glutaminase production by *Bacillus cereus* MTCC 1305," *Bioresource Technology* 137, 261-269. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2013.03.086
- Tang, L. R., Huang, B., Ou, W., Chen, X. R., and Chen, Y. D. (2011). "Manufacture of cellulose nanocrystals by cation exchange resin-catalyzed hydrolysis of cellulose," *Bioresource Technology* 102(23), 10973-10977. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2011.09.070
- Unger, B., Bücker, M., Reinsch, S., and Hübert, T. (2012). "Chemical aspects of wood modification by sol-gel-derived silica," *Wood Science and Technology* 47(1), 83-104. DOI: 10.1007/s00226-012-0486-7
- Wang, Q. W., and Li, J. (2004). "Study on fire-retardation mechanism of fire-retardant FRW by cone calorimetry," *Chemistry and Industry of Forest Products* 24(2), 29-34. DOI: 10.3321/j.issn:0253-2417.2004.02.007
- Xie, Y. Q., Chen, Y., and Zhang, B. G. (2004). "Study on a foamed material from plant fibers," *China Wood Industry* 18(2), 30-32. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1001-8654.2004.02.009
- Xie, Y. Q., Tong, Q. J., and Chen, Y. (2011). "Manufacture and properties of a novel ultra-low density fiberboard," *BioResources* 6(4), 4055-4066.

Article submitted: October 25, 2015; Peer review completed: December 19, 2015; Revised version received and accepted: December 19, 2015; Published: January 6, 2016. DOI: 10.15376/biores.11.1.1796-1807