One-pot Synthesis of High Value-added Chemicals from Furfural over Bimetal-doped Beta Zeolite and Carbon Solid Acid Catalysts
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A series of bimetal-doped beta zeolites were prepared via a simple post-synthesis strategy including dealumination and metal ion incorporation. The incorporation of ferromagnetic metals into lattice sites of Sn-beta was evidenced by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), UV-Vis spectroscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The high reduction temperature (1094 K) of cobalt in Co-Sn-beta zeolite, as determined by temperature programmed reduction (TPR), confirms that Co interacts strongly with the zeolite support, consistent with lattice tetrahedral (Td) coordination. Co-doped Sn-beta zeolite was found to be a promising Lewis acid catalyst together with a carbon solid acid for the conversion of furfural to isopropyl 4-oxovalerate (i-PL) and γ-valerolactone (GVL). The highest total yield of 92.02% was obtained after reaction for 16 h at 160 °C, including 48.3% i-PL, 37.7% GVL, and 6.0% levulinic acid (LA). The catalysts could also be applied as robust catalysts in glucose conversion to 5-hydromethylfurfural. Zeolite catalysts designed and prepared by this strategy contain multiple metals, enhancing their flexibility and adjustability of function via changing the species and ratio of metals to derive optimized catalysts for specific reactions.
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INTRODUCTION

Global climate change and environmental issues have aroused increasing concerns. It is imperative to find good substitutes for fossil resources, such as syngas, biofuel, and bio-oil (Dutta and Pal 2014; Luo et al. 2016b; Li et al. 2017b). Biomass, one of the most promising renewable energy sources, can be converted into many kinds of biofuels and value-added chemicals (Liu et al. 2015; Li et al. 2017d). Many studies have been conducted to upgrade bio-oil, which makes it possible to produce liquid hydrocarbons at low cost (Luo et al. 2016a; Luo et al. 2017). Furfural, derived from pentosan-rich lignocellulosic biomass, is seen as a versatile platform chemical (Cai et al. 2014). Furthermore, it can be transformed into many useful chemicals, such as furfuryl alcohol, 2-methylfuran, tetrahydrofuran, cyclopentanone, isopropyl 4-oxovalerate (i-PL), and γ-valerolactone (GVL) (Li et al. 2017c; Zhu et al. 2017). Notably, GVL has been shown to be an excellent green solvent for biomass-related transformation due to its unique physicochemical properties, such as high boiling point and low melting point, 100% solubility in water, and suppression of char formation (Gürbüz et al. 2013; Tang et
al. 2014c). It is also hailed as an important building block that can be converted into potential substitutes for fossil fuels and useful chemicals, such as branched alkanes and 1,4-pentanediol (Alonso et al. 2013). Isopropyl 4-oxovalerate is supposed to have great potential as a substitute for current additives and solvents derived from petroleum (Démolis et al. 2014).

In recent years, GVL and i-PL have been prepared from biomass-derived chemicals, such as levulinic acid (LA), alkyl levulinate, and furfural (Galletti et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2012). Different noble metal catalysts (such as Au, Ru, Ir, Pt, Pd) have been applied in the hydrogenation of LA and its esters (Du et al. 2011; Li et al. 2012; Yan et al. 2013; Son et al. 2014). Although good yields of GVL have been obtained, these catalysts are rare and expensive, restricting their large-scale application. Moreover, external H2 or formic acid (FA) is usually required as hydrogen donor (Feng et al. 2018; Son et al. 2014). The usage of H2 has been limited by problems related to H2 storage, and the acidity of FA may cause corrosion of equipment, increasing costs. To overcome these shortcomings, a catalytic transfer hydrogenation (CTH) method for the hydrogenation of LA and alkyl levulinate to GVL over heterogeneous catalysts was reported by Chia and Dumesic (2011), in which secondary alcohols were employed as hydrogen donors. The replacement of H2 by secondary alcohols and the introduction of non-precious metal catalysts is an economical alternative for GVL production. Compared with LA and ethyl levulinate (EL), furfural has gained increasing attention in such a conversion due to its lower cost and easier preparation. Bui et al. (2013) developed a domino reaction for the production of GVL from furfural by using Zr-beta and Al-MFI-ns as a Lewis acid catalyst and Brønsted acid catalyst, respectively. Zhu et al. (2016) converted furfural into GVL over Au/ZrO2 catalyst combined with ZSM-5. Hernández et al. (2016) reported the one-pot conversion of furfural into GVL and i-PL with yields of 22.6% and 6.5%, respectively, at 443 K after 24 h using bifunctional Brønsted-Lewis Zr-Al-beta zeolite as catalyst. Winoto et al. (2016) found that the mixture of Sn-beta and Al-beta was inefficient when devoted to the furfural transformation with the carbon balance as low as 71.7%.

A Lewis acid is required to achieve the catalytic transfer of hydrogen in one-pot conversion of furfural to GVL (Bui et al. 2013). Thus, finding an efficient Lewis acid is of great significance to improving the conversion yield. Some zeolites were supposed to be promising Lewis acid catalysts, as they have been extensively employed in biomass conversion in view of their extraordinary properties, such as large surface area, tunable acidity, and shape-selective microporous structure (Moliner et al. 2010; Nikolla et al. 2011; Rai et al. 2013). Specifically, Sn-beta zeolite has been reported to show high catalytic activity in bio-derived chemical transformation due to its water tolerance and 12-membered ring structure, as well as a mature preparation method (Holm et al. 2012; Lew et al. 2012; Pagán-Torres et al. 2012). However, there was still room for improvement of Sn-beta zeolite over the transformation of furfural into GVL (Bui et al. 2013; Winoto et al. 2016), so modification of Sn-beta zeolite to promote its performance was necessary. Recently, a simple and convenient routine for the incorporation of various Lewis acid sites into zeolites was developed by Hammond et al. (2012). The novel synthesis method demonstrates several advantages over the conventional hydrothermal synthesis route, such as shorter synthesis time and a well-preserved zeolite framework. Additionally, Li et al. (2017a) prepared a bimetallic Ni-Fe/AC catalyst to catalyze EL to GVL and achieved a GVL yield of 99.0% under mild reaction conditions. Zhou et al. (2015) demonstrated that Co/TiO2 was efficient in hydrogenation of EL to GVL, and
Varkolu et al. (2015) reported that Ni nanoparticles supported on mesoporous silicas with 2D and 3D structures were highly efficient for hydrogenation of LA.

Inspired by this pioneering work (Hammond et al. 2012; Varkolu et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2015; Li et al. 2017a), different amounts of Fe, Co, and Ni were doped into Sn-beta via a post-synthetic method in this study. The modified zeolites, combined with Brønsted acid (ion-exchange resin: PTSA-POM), were applied in one-pot conversion of biomass-derived furfural to i-PL and GVL. There is a lack of published information on two metals being doped into the aluminum-free beta framework simultaneously, and the strategy could be applied in other zeolites or metals to derive high-efficiency catalysts. This catalytic system could also be employed for glucose conversion to 5-HMF under mild conditions.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Hβ zeolite (Si/Al = 25) was purchased from Tianjin Nankai Catalyst Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Furfural (99%), paraformaldehyde (POM, AR), p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (PTSA-H2O, 98.5%), iron (III) acetylacetonate (98%), nickel acetylacetonate (95%), cobalt (III) acetylacetonate (98%), γ-valerolactone (GVL, 98%), ethyl levulinate (99%), levulinic acid (99%), and glucose (≥ 99.5%) were purchased from Aladdin Industrial Inc. (Shanghai, China). Tin (II) acetate was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Industrial Inc. (Shanghai, China). Isopropanol (AR), H2SO4 (96 to 98%, AR), and HNO3 (65 to 68%, AR) were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Isopropyl 4-oxovalerate (95%) was purchased from Struchem Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu, China). All reagents were used without further purification.

Catalyst Synthesis and Characterization

First, 2.0 g of Hβ and 40 mL of 13 M HNO3 were added into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave at 100 °C for 20 h with a rotary speed of 20 rpm. The solid was then filtered and washed with distilled water until the filtrate was neutral and dried under vacuum to obtain the dealuminated beta zeolite (deAlβ).

A series of catalysts, Co-Sn-beta-x (x = 5, 10, 18, 25; with x representing the ratio of Sn to Co), were synthesized via the solid-state ion-exchange (SSIE) method. Next, 1.0 g deAlβ was mixed and ground for 20 min with the corresponding amount of cobalt (II) acetylacetonate and tin (II) acetate, and the total amount of these two metal-organic precursors was 0.844 mmol. The mixed powder was then calcined at 550 °C for 4 h under flowing air. The Co-Sn-beta-x catalysts were obtained from the corresponding amount of precursors. Further, Ni-Sn-beta-x and Fe-Sn-beta-x were prepared by the same strategy excepting the substitute of precursor, and the PTSA-POM was synthesized according to Xu et al. (2015).

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of zeolite materials were recorded on a SmartLab rotating target diffractometer (Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) from 5° to 35°. The functional groups of fresh zeolite catalysts were analyzed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) on a Nicolet 8700 (Thermo Nicolet Corporation, Madison, USA), using KBr disks. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were taken on a JEM-2011 microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) at 200 kV. Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) and temperature-programmed desorption of ammonia (NH3- TPD) were...
performed on a ChemStar TPx chemisorption analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). UV-vis spectra were recorded with a SolidSpec-3700 DUV spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a Thermo-VG Scientific ESCALAB 250 (Waltham, MA, USA).

**Experimental Procedure**

The catalytic conversion of furfural into i-PL, LA, and GVL was performed in a high-pressure stainless steel reactor (50 mL) equipped with an electric heating mantle under magnetic stirring. In a typical experiment, 0.30 g furfural, 0.20 g M-Sn-beta-x (M representing Fe, Co, and Ni), 0.2 g PTSA-POM, and 30 mL isopropanol were loaded into the reactor and sealed. The reactor was then heated to the desired temperature in 30 min and kept for different durations with a stirring speed of 600 rpm. Zero time was considered to be the instant when the reaction temperature just reached the given temperature. When the reaction ended, the reactor was immersed in cold water quickly to quench the reaction. Then, phenylethyl alcohol was added as the internal standard and agitated for several minutes. Finally, the samples were collected by filtration using a 0.45 µm syringe prior to gas chromatography (GC) analysis.

To test catalysts’ reusability, the mixture was filtrated to obtain the solid catalysts after reaction and then washed with acetone for five consecutive times, followed by drying at 373 K for 12 h before the next run. After five times reuse, the catalyst was washed, dried and calcined at 550 °C for 4 h under flowing air to remove deposits within its structure, aiming to regenerate its activity. During the calcination, PTSA-POM was oxidized fully due to its resin nature. According to previous work (Xu *et al.* 2015; Li *et al.* 2016), PTSA-POM has an excellent reusability; thus, the introduction of fresh PTSA-POM would not cause obvious influence on the result. Consequently, fresh PTSA-POM was used together with the regenerated zeolite catalyst in run 6.

Glucose transformation was performed in a 25 mL stainless steel reactor under magnetic stirring at a speed of 600 rpm. Typically, 0.4 g glucose, PTSA-POM (0.2 g), and Co-Sn-beta-18 (0.2 g) were loaded into the reactor; then, 1.5 mL distilled water and 15 mL GVL were added into the reactor and sealed. The remaining steps were the same with those of furfural transformation. Finally, the reaction solution was collected by filtration, and the concentrations of HMF, furfural, and glucose were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

**Product Analysis**

The products were identified on a gas chromatograph / mass spectrometer (GCMS–QP2010S, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with an Rtx-5MS column (30 m × 25 mm × 0.25 µm). The oven temperature was programmed as 40 °C held for 3 min, increased to 180 °C at 4 °C/min and then to 260 °C at 10 °C/min. Finally, it was held for 10 min. Quantitative analysis of the products was determined by a GC-2010 gas chromatograph (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with FID detector and WondaCAP5 column. The oven temperature was held at 50 °C for 3 min, increased to 250 °C at 10 °C/min, and then held for 10 min. The product yield was calculated by using phenylethyl alcohol as an internal standard.

Furfural conversion and yields of i-PL, LA, and GVL were defined by Eqs. 1 to 4,

\[
\text{furfural conversion} = (1 - \text{furfural in products} / \text{starting furfural}) \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{i-PL yield} = (\text{i-PL produced} / \text{starting furfural}) \times 100\% \quad (2)
\]
LA yield = \( \frac{LA \text{ produced}}{starting \text{ furfural}} \times 100\% \) \hspace{1cm} (3)

GVL yield = \( \frac{GVL \text{ produced}}{starting \text{ furfural}} \times 100\% \) \hspace{1cm} (4)

where furfural in products, starting furfural, i-PL produced, LA produced, and GVL produced are in moles (mol). Quantitative analysis of glucose, HMF, and furfural was conducted with a Waters HPLC (Waters 515 pump) equipped with an ultraviolet detector (Waters 2489) and refractive index detector (Waters 2414). For HMF and furfural analyses, a Waters Symmetry C18 column was used. The column oven temperature was 30 °C, and the mobile phase was a methanol / distilled water (2/3, v/v) solution with a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. For glucose analysis, a Waters XBridge™ Amide column was used. Detector and column temperatures were 45 °C, and the mobile phase was an acetonitrile / distilled water (3/2, v/v) solution with a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. Glucose conversion and yields of HMF were defined by Eqs. 5 and 6, respectively,

\[
glucose \text{ conversion} = (1 - \frac{glucose \text{ in products}}{starting \text{ glucose}}) \times 100\% \hspace{1cm} (5)
\]

\[
HMF \text{ yield} = (\frac{HMF \text{ produced}}{starting \text{ glucose}}) \times 100\% \hspace{1cm} (6)
\]

where glucose in products, starting glucose, and HMF produced are in moles (mol).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of the Catalysts

Figure 1 depicts the XRD patterns of parent H-beta, deAl-beta, Sn-beta, Co-Sn-beta-18, Fe-Sn-beta-18, and Ni-Sn-beta-18 zeolites. All samples showed similar diffraction peaks corresponding to BEA topology, demonstrating the preservation of the beta zeolite framework after dealumination and metal incorporation. As shown in Fig. 1, the \( 2\theta \) value of the \([302]\) plane diffraction peak in parent H-beta increased from 22.48° to 22.76° after the dealumination process. It then decreased to different extents with different metals incorporated (Sn-beta \( 2\theta = 22.56° \)), Co-Sn-beta-18 \( 2\theta = 22.64° \), Fe-Sn-beta-18 \( 2\theta = 22.54° \), and Ni-Sn-beta-18 \( 2\theta = 22.64° \)). The expansion and contraction of the zeolite framework should be attributed to the dealumination and metal incorporation (Dzwigaj et al. 2009) and demonstrated the successful incorporation of the metals into the framework of beta zeolite.

![Fig. 1. XRD patterns of selected zeolite samples](image-url)
Several publications (Tang et al. 2014a,b; Song et al. 2016) have reported that the incorporation of metals into the dealuminated framework can also be detected by FTIR spectroscopy. Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectra, in which a new peak centered at 950 cm\(^{-1}\) appeared in deAl-beta compared to the H-beta due to silanol defects from dealumination (Juttu and Lobo 1999). After the incorporation of different metals, Sn-beta, Co-Sn-beta-18, Fe-Sn-beta-18, and Ni-Sn-beta-18 zeolites were generated and the bond at 950 cm\(^{-1}\) disappeared, which is generally considered to be a result the closure of the silanol nests (Hammond et al. 2012), in good agreement with XRD analysis results (Fig. 1).

![FTIR spectra](image)

**Fig. 2.** FTIR transmittance spectra of selected zeolite samples

**Table 1.** Chemical Composition of Co-Sn-beta-18 Detected by XPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>Co2p (at.%)</th>
<th>Sn3d (at.%)</th>
<th>Si2p (at.%)</th>
<th>C1s (at.%)</th>
<th>O1s (at.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co-Sn-beta-18</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>25.32</td>
<td>13.46</td>
<td>59.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![XPS spectra](image)

**Fig. 3.** XPS spectra of Sn 3d of Co-Sn-beta-18 sample
According to the chemical composition of Co-Sn-beta-18 detected by XPS (Table 1), no aluminum species was detected, indicating complete dealumination. What’s more, Co and Sn species were detected, verifying their successful incorporation into beta zeolite via the solid-state ion-exchange method, in good agreement with XRD analysis results. The Sn 3d XPS spectrum of Co-Sn-beta-18 sample is measured to provide information on the existing states of Sn species. In Fig. 3, two peaks were observed at 495.8 eV and 487.4 eV, respectively, which should be attributed to 3d_{5/2} and 3d_{3/2} photoelectrons of tetrahedrally coordinated framework Sn species (Tang et al. 2014b).

![Graph showing DR UV-vis spectrum of selected zeolite samples]

**Fig. 4.** DR UV-vis spectrum of selected zeolite samples

As shown in Fig. 4, compared with deAl-beta and H-beta, the Co-Sn-beta-18 sample exhibited three UV-vis bands at 537, 590, and 647 nm, which should be attributed to isolated tetrahedral Co (II) species’ transition of \(^4\text{A}_2(\text{4F}) \rightarrow ^4\text{T}_1(\text{4P})\), \(^4\text{A}_2(\text{4F}) \rightarrow ^4\text{T}_1(\text{4F})\), and \(^4\text{A}_2(\text{4F}) \rightarrow ^4\text{T}_2(\text{4F})\) respectively (Dzwigaj and Che 2006). Moreover, no signal in the UV-vis spectrum of the band at 516 nm characteristic of Co (II) in octahedral symmetry (3d\(^7\) configuration) (El-Malki et al. 2000) could be detected. The obtained results suggest the presence of Co species in its II oxidation state and in tetrahedral coordination.

![Graph showing TPR patterns and TEM image of Co-Sn-beta-18 zeolite]

**Fig. 5.** (a) TPR patterns and (b) TEM image of Co-Sn-beta-18 zeolite
As shown in Fig. 5b, the TEM image of Co-Sn-beta-18 zeolite, the sample appeared as ~100 nm aggregations of nanosheets. High homogeneity of material Co-Sn-beta-18 was observed, without any evidence of SnO2 or CoO bulk agglomerates, indicating the uniform dispersion of metals. Figure 5a shows the reducibility of Sn and Co species in Co-Sn-beta-18 zeolite pretreated at 623 K in flowing Ar for 0.5 h via temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) under flowing hydrogen (10% H2 in Ar). The TPR pattern of Co-Sn-beta-18 exhibited two peaks at 849 K and 1094 K, attributed to Sn and Co species, respectively. This result indicates that the metals were completely incorporated into the framework in one valence. The very high reduction temperature (1094 K) of cobalt in Co-Sn-beta zeolite determined by TPR confirms that Co interacted strongly with the zeolite support, consistent with lattice tetrahedral (Td) coordination, which is in accordance with the results from UV–vis analysis.

![Fig. 6. NH3-TPD profiles of Sn-beta and Co-Sn-beta-18 samples](image)

The amount and strength of acid sites in Co-Sn-beta-18 and Sn-beta were analyzed by NH3-TPD, and the results are shown in Fig. 6. Sn-beta zeolite exhibited a wide temperature range of ammonia desorption, and the two desorption peaks centered at 164 and 610 °C reveal the presence of weak and strong acid sites respectively. As for Co-Sn-beta-18, two peaks centered at 200 and 596 °C can be observed from weak and strong acid sites because of NH3 desorption. Although these two catalysts have similar total acid sites (Co-Sn-beta-18: 0.45 mmol g⁻¹, Sn-beta : 0.37 mmol g⁻¹), the specific distribution of weak and strong acid sites was widely divergent. After Co species insertion, the density of strong acid sites decreased slightly while the weak acid sites increased markedly and the low temperature peaks were generally ascribed to Lewis acid sites (Lónyi et al. 2001). The enhancement of Lewis acid could be beneficial for this reaction.
One-pot Conversion of Furfural into i-PL and GVL over Various Catalysts

To achieve an in-depth understanding of the conversion of furfural to i-PL and GVL catalyzed by different types of Lewis acids along with PTSA-POM, a series of Lewis acids were employed in the reaction, and the results are summarized in Table 2. When the parent H-beta was used as the Lewis acid, the total yield of i-PL and GVL was low due to the low Lewis acidity of H-beta, indicating that stronger Lewis acid sites were required for this reaction. Thus, tin was introduced into the framework first, and the yield of those two products increased sharply with the enhancement of the Lewis acidity of the zeolite catalyst. Zhou et al. (2014) reported that Co₃O₄ is very efficient for the hydrogenation of ester levulinate (EL) to GVL under mild conditions. Based on this result, cobalt was doped into the vacancies together with Sn to form Co-Sn-beta (entries 3 to 6). Notably, the proportion of metals has a clear effect on catalytic activity. The yields of i-PL and GVL increased gradually as the ratio of Sn and Co increased from 5 to 18, while the yield began to decrease once the ratio exceeded 18. Furthermore, iron, nickel, and cobalt have similarities in physicochemical properties due to their shared membership in Group VIII, so iron and nickel were also doped, and the corresponding zeolites were used in the reaction (entries 7 to 9). Compared to Co-Sn-beta, the activities of Ni-Sn-beta and Fe-Sn-beta were much lower, especially Fe-Sn-beta, a result consistent with Zhou et al. (2014).

Table 2. One-pot Conversion of Furfural into i-PL and GVL over Various Lewis Acids along with PTSA-POM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Zeolite Catalyst</th>
<th>Furfural Conversion (mol%)</th>
<th>Yield (mol%)</th>
<th>Total Yield (mol%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>i-PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H-beta</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>30.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sn-beta</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>58.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Co-Sn-beta-5</td>
<td>75.74</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>20.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Co-Sn-beta-10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>41.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Co-Sn-beta-18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>48.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Co-Sn-beta-25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>46.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ni-Sn-beta-10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>42.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ni-Sn-beta-18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>59.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fe-Sn-beta-18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>54.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: 3 mmol furfural, 30 mL 2-propanol, 0.2 g PTSA-POM, 0.2 g zeolite catalyst, T = 433 K, 16 h

Based on the literature (Antunes et al. 2016; Song et al. 2017) and the present experimental results, a possible reaction network (Fig. 7) is depicted.
Fig. 7. Reaction network of furfural conversion to GVL

Furfural is used as the initial reactant, while isopropanol is used as the H-donor and solvent. First, furfural is converted into furfuryl alcohol (FA) through a transfer-hydrogenation (TH) process promoted by the Lewis acid catalyst. Due to the presence of the Brønsted acid and isopropanol, partial FA is converted into isopropyl furfuryl ether (FE). Owing to the abundance of i-PL after 8 h, the Brønsted acid turns most of the FA and FE into a mixture of LA and i-PL via hydrolytic ring-opening reactions. Next, LA and i-PL undergo a second TH step to produce the corresponding 4-hydroxypentanoates (4-HPs), followed by lactonization to form GVL. Besides, α-angelica lactone (α-AnL) and β-angelica lactone (β-AnL) were also detected, which should be attributed to the rest of the FA and FE turning into α-AnL and β-AnL (Antunes et al. 2016). Following this were ring-opening reactions to become LA and i-PL. The remainder of the conversion process was in accordance with the abovementioned steps.

Effects of Metal Species and Reaction Temperature

As temperature is a pivotal factor in chemical reactions, the effects of Sn-beta, Ni-Sn-beta-18, and Co-Sn-beta-18 on product distribution under different temperatures were investigated. As shown in Fig. 8a, when Sn-beta was applied to the system, the temperature required for complete conversion of furfural was 150 °C for Sn-beta, 140 °C for Ni-Sn-beta-18, and 130 °C for Co-Sn-beta-18, indicating that Co- and Ni-doped Sn-beta possessed better catalytic activity.
Fig. 8. The effects of reaction temperature on furfural conversion and product yields under different zeolite catalysts: a) temperature vs. furfural conversion, b) Co-Sn-beta-18, c) Ni-Sn-beta-18, d) Sn-beta. Reaction conditions: 3 mmol furfural, 30 mL 2-propanol, 0.2 g PTSA-POM, 0.2 g zeolite catalyst, 16 h

According to Fig. 8b, 8c, and 8d, the yields of i-PL and GVL over Ni-Sn-beta-18 and Co-Sn-beta-18 were greater than those for Sn-beta, especially at relatively low temperatures, demonstrating that the incorporation of Co and Ni could effectively promote the catalytic activity of Sn-beta. A further increase of temperature did not improve the product yield. In contrast, a decrease of total yield was discovered, which may be attributed to more yield-loss reactions. In addition, superior i-PL and GVL yields were obtained using Co-Sn-beta over other two catalysts, as depicted in Fig. 8a, which also verified that the introduction of Co was the best choice among the three metals.

The Effect of Reaction Time

Residence time was also investigated to obtain a better understanding of the conversion process. Based on the relationship between temperature and yields, a series of experiments over Co-Sn-beta-18 catalyst were conducted with the reaction time ranging from 8 h to 24 h (8 h, 12 h, 16 h, 20 h, 24 h), and the results are shown in Fig. 9. In the first 8 h, the yield of i-PL increased dramatically, and little LA was formed, which was related to the strong Brønsted acidity of PTSA-POM. From 8 to 16 h, GVL increased rapidly, and the i-PL yield decreased slowly, probably because i-PL was generated from the substrate constantly and further converted to GVL simultaneously. The peak total yield of 92.1% was obtained at 16 h, and 48.3% i-PL and 37.7% GVL were obtained at 160 °C. After 16 h, the reaction rate slowed down, and a longer reaction time caused a decrease in the total yield.
Fig. 9. The effect of reaction time on the product yields. Reaction conditions: 3 mmol furfural, 30 mL 2-propanol, 0.2 g PTSA-POM, 0.2 g Co-Sn-beta-18 catalyst, and 160 °C

Reusability of the Catalyst
The recycling ability of Co-Sn-beta-18 in the conversion of furfural into i-PL and GVL was investigated, with the results shown in Fig. 10. The total yield of entry 1 was 92.1%, while that of entry 5 was 81.9%, and no significant loss could be observed within 5 cycles. The yield of GVL decreased, while LA increased, owing to the catalytic sites’ partial deactivation. To verify this conjecture, a calcination regeneration was conducted for the catalyst used 5 times. In run 6, total yield increased to 88.0%, only slightly lower than that of the first use, indicating that the catalytic activity could almost be restored after calcination.

Fig. 10. Recycling test in one-pot catalytic conversion of furfural into i-PL and GVL over Co-Sn-beta-18 zeolite and PTSA-POM. Reaction conditions: 3 mmol furfural, 30 mL 2-propanol, 0.2 g PTSA-POM, 0.2 g Co-Sn-beta-18 catalyst, 16 h, 160 °C
Catalytic Performance on Glucose Transformation

As discussed above, the combination of Co-Sn-beta-18 and PTSA-POM exhibited impressive performance in converting furfural to i-PL and GVL in isopropanol. According to a prior report (Marianou et al. 2018), glucose conversion to 5-hydromethylfurfural requires both Lewis acid and Brønsted acid catalysts, so the mixed catalysts were employed in this cascade reaction. As expected, the glucose conversion of 95.6% and a 5-hydromethylfurfural yield of 52.2% were achieved. The Co-Sn-beta-18 zeolite along with PTSA-POM could also be applied as a robust catalyst system in other cascade reactions in biomass transformation, such as glucose into 5-hydromethylfurfural (5-HMF).

CONCLUSIONS

1. A series of bimetal-doped beta zeolites were successfully prepared through a simple post-synthesis strategy including dealumination and metal ion incorporation. Among these zeolites, Fe-doped Sn-beta seemed to have a negative effect on the cascade reaction of furfural conversion, while Co-doped and Ni-doped Sn-beta had a promotion of catalytic activity compared to Sn-beta.

2. Of the tested variants, Co-Sn-beta-18 was selected as a promising Lewis acid catalyst, together with PTSA-POM, for the conversion of furfural to i-PL and γ-valerolactone. The highest total yield of 92.02% (48.33% i-PL, 37.67% GVL, and 6.02% LA) was obtained after reaction for 16 h at 160 °C in isopropanol.
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