The Processing of *Pinus radiata*: Pore Size Distribution Changes in the Cell Wall Structure Studied by Pressure Plate Technique and Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry
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The pore size distribution of cell walls in softwood pulps was studied using the pressure plate technique and mercury intrusion porosimetry, which together make it possible to cover the range from $10^1$ to $10^6$ nm in pore sizes (mesopores and macropores). The differences in pore size distribution between never-dried pulp from a fiber line, industrially-dried pulp, and laboratory-dried pulps were evaluated. The results showed an increase in the relative pore volume (100 to 2,000 nm) between industrial washing and bleaching stages. Also, mercury porosimetry showed a broadening of the pore size distribution of cell walls after industrial drying. Results showed that, besides the changes in micropore and mesopore size distributions, the macropore range is also affected by processing and drying.

Keywords: Cell wall; Pore size distribution; Mercury intrusion; Pressure plate

Contact information: a: Bioforest S. A., Coronel, Región del Bio-Bio, Chile; b: Forest Products Laboratory, Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture, USA;
* Corresponding author: dgiacomozzi@arauco.cl

INTRODUCTION

During kraft processing, the main components of wood (lignin, cellulose, hemicelluloses, and extractives) are subjected to dissolution and removal to different extents. These chemical changes are accompanied by physical changes such as the development of an inner porous structure in the cell wall (Stone and Scallan 1965, 1968; Allan et al. 1991; Andreasson et al. 2003). This porous structure evolves during processing and modifies properties, e.g., the surface and bulk charges, fiber shape, conformability, strength, swelling behavior, water holding ability, and others (de Ruvo and Htun 1983; Andreasson et al. 2003). Additional changes in the pore structure and properties of the pulps are observed during drying and rewetting cycles, which are integrated in the hornification concept (Jayme 1944). Available techniques to measure changes in porosity of the cell wall are: nitrogen adsorption (Stone and Scallan 1965), mercury porosimetry (MIP) (Moura et al. 2005; Giesche 2006; Yamauchi 2007), solute exclusion (Stone and Scallan 1968; Lin et al. 1987; Allan 1991), proton nuclear magnetic resonance (H-NMR) (Li 1993), differential scanning calorimetry (Maloney and Paulapuro 1998; Park et al. 2006), atomic force microscopy AFM (Fahlén and Salmén 2005), scanning electron microscopy SEM (Lovikka et al. 2016), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), among others.

Hill and Papadopoulos (2001) reviewed various methods to measure the porosity of the cell wall. Each technique has a fixed range of pore sizes that can be measured, and each method has specimen preparation limitations. For example, size exclusion...
chromatography measures pores in the range of approximately 0.8 nm to 13 nm (Lin et al. 1987; Berthold and Salmén 1997), while H-NMR measures pores in the range of 0 nm to 30 nm (Li and Henriksson 1993; Andreasson et al. 2005). The ranges for nitrogen adsorption technique varies between authors from 1 to 50 nm (Anovitz and Cole 2015), 1 to 300 nm (Westermarck 2000), and even <400 nm (Kimura et al. 2014, 2016). In comparison, X-ray methods are limited to determining the volume of pores that are no more than 300 Å (30 nm) in radius. A summary of available methods and the ranges in pore diameter are presented in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Techniques to measure porosity and pore size distribution and typical ranges](image)

One of the main questions regarding porosity changes of the pulp fibers is related to how the macropores behave during processing and drying (presence, absence, creation or loss of larger pores). According to Anovitz and Cole (2015), useful techniques to measure porosity in a wide range (including macropores up to 100 µm), are mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP), mercury picnometry and water immersion porosimetry, optical microscopy, SEM, and AFM (to a slightly lower pore sizes compared to SEM).

In MIP, the relative pore volume is determined by the mercury volume imbibed by a dry pulp sample at a given pressure. The main advantages of MIP are related to the possibility of measuring a wide range of pore sizes, between 3.5 nm and 500 µm (Giesche 2006). Also, it provides information about the pore size distribution, total pore volume, and specific surface area of a sample. The main drawback of this technique is related to the assumption of cylindrical geometry of the pores, which requires a careful analysis of the results (Moura 2005). Along the same lines, sample compressibility, assumption of interconnected pores and inability of identifying narrow necked voids must also be considered and overcome by correction procedures (Chinga 2002).

The pressure plate technique is used to measure the pore size distribution over a similar range of pore sizes as MIP but it requires water-saturated samples. Samples are
subjected to increasing pressures until an equilibrium condition is reached (Stone and Scallan 1967).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) offers high resolution, magnification power, and image quality. When SEM is used in combination with image analysis techniques, it can be used to study fibres dimensions, porosity, paper morphology, and coating layer structure details (Chinga 2002). SEM is useful in covering a size range from mesopores to macropores (Anovitz and Cole 2015; Lovikka et al. 2016).

While these techniques measure over a similar range of pore sizes, the MIP technique utilizes dry samples whereas the pressure plate technique measures from the saturated state. Furthermore, by extending the moisture retention curve using the water vapor sorption isotherm in the hygroscopic region, it is possible to extend the projections of the pore size distribution to nanopores (Roels et al. 2001; Carmeliet and Roels 2002; Zillig 2009). Therefore, the pore size distribution of industrial and laboratory pulps was studied using a combination of the pressure plate technique, MIP, and desorption isotherms.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

The pore size distribution studies were conducted with 10 *Pinus radiata* pulp samples from industrial and laboratory sources (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Mill and Laboratory Never Dried and Dried Pulp Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S0</td>
<td>Brown pulp from industrial chips</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>Never dried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Bleached pulp from industrial chips</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>Never dried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Digester pulp – Blow line</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>Never dried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Pulp from atmospheric diffuser (discharge)</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>Never dried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Discharge from washing area (pre O₂ press)</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>Never dried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>Discharge from post O₂ press</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>Never dried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>Bleached pulp – Machine chest</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>Never dried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>Industrially-dried (pulp sheets)</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>Dried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>Lab-dried (from S6) – Air-dried to 92% dryness</td>
<td>Mill/Laboratory</td>
<td>Dried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>Lab-dried (from S6) – Oven-dried at 105 °C to 92% dryness</td>
<td>Mill/Laboratory</td>
<td>Dried</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Methods**

*Sampling of the industrial pulp and sample management*

The industrial pulp samples (S2 through S7) were obtained during the normal operation of a softwood mill (Arauco Mill Line 2, Horcones, Arauco, Chile). Each sample (5 kg oven-dried) was taken from three batches, and they were sampled within a period of 2 h.

The residence times along the fiber line were also considered between samples. After completion of the three batches, the pulp from each sampling point were thoroughly mixed and homogenized. The pulp suspensions (S2 through S6) were gently dewatered by
hand to avoid mechanical damage and stored at 2 °C before testing. The industrially-dried pulp sheets (S7) were hand chopped, homogenized, and stored after discarding the edges.

**Production of the laboratory pulp**

The laboratory pulp was produced with industrial *P. radiata* chips (200 kg) that were obtained from the wood yard of the mill where the industrial pulp was sampled. The chips were homogenized (TAPPI 257 cm-02 (2002)) and screened (SCAN CM 40:01 (2001)) to a separate the accepted fraction that was used for the study. Other screening fractions were discarded. After homogenization, the dry content of the accepted chips was measured (SCAN CM 39:94 (1994)), and the sample was later separated into sealed bags (850 g of dry chips in each) for storage at 4 °C in a cold chamber until cooking.

The chips were cooked in the laboratory using batch digesters (10-L, MK Systems Inc., Peabody, Massachusetts, USA) equipped with liquor circulation and electrical heating. White and weak black liquors for cooking were obtained from the mill, specifically from the causticizing and evaporators area, and characterized via SCAN-N 30:85 (1985) and SCAN-N 33:94 (1994), respectively. The white liquor alkalinity was 121.4 g/L effective alkali (as NaOH) and the sulfidity was 27.9%. The liquor to wood ratio was 4:1 and the alkali charge was 21.45% EA (NaOH). The cooking temperature was 170 °C and the Kappa target was 30 ± 1. After cooking, the pulp was screened (Somerville screen, Testing Machines Inc, Delaware, USA; according to the TAPPI T275 sp12 (2012) standard) and gently dewatered by hand. A total of three cooking processes were performed and the screened pulp was mixed and homogenized to produce a base sample (approximately 1.2 kg oven-dried). Half of the brown pulp sample was stored for the pore size distribution analyses (Sample S0) and the other half was oxygen delignified (one stage, 20 kg/ADt NaOH, 10% consistency, target Kappa = 14 ± 1) and bleached (DEDD sequence, target brightness = 89% ISO) to produce the bleached pulp sample (S1).

**Laboratory drying of the industrial pulp**

Fractions of sample S6 were dried in the laboratory to produce samples S8 and S9. Sample S8 was obtained after air-drying at 25 °C (free drying, 92% to 93% final dryness), and S9 was obtained after oven-drying at 105 °C (free drying, 92% to 93% final dryness). All of the laboratory samples (S0, S1, S8, and S9) were stored in a cold chamber (2 °C) until further analysis.

**Mercury intrusion porosimetry**

The pulps were freeze-dried and stored before the MIP studies. MIP requires dry samples, and this method was used to preserve as much structure as possible. However, even freeze-drying may affect the smallest pores (Jin et al. 2004). Freeze-drying was performed by squeezing the pulp by hand followed by freezing with liquid nitrogen. The samples were after transferred to a freeze dryer and exposed to a vacuum of 5 μm to 7 μm Hg (0.6 Pa to 0.9 Pa) for three days. After releasing the vacuum, the samples were stored in a desiccator over P2O5 until further testing. The mercury filling was measured at 820 different pressures at 28 °C, over a range of 1.4 kPa to 140 MPa. These pressures corresponded to a pore radius range between 450 μm to 4.5 nm; however, the measurement of the smallest pores may be affected by the predrying or the high pressures required to measure them.
Pressure plate technique

Samples were placed on ceramic plates and treated with 0.01% thimerosal solution to prevent fungal growth. The plates were placed in a chamber where increasing pressures were applied, which caused water to leave the pulp through the plate (the applied pressure was equal in magnitude, but opposite in sign to the capillary pressure). The water was collected and measured daily. Measurements were conducted at 22 °C until the samples reached equilibrium (output water was less than or equal to 0.05 mL over 48 h). The pulp was then oven-dried so that the moisture content (MC; mass of water per mass of dry material) could be calculated based on Eq. 1,

\[
MC = \frac{m_{at\ pressure} - m_{dry}}{m_{dry}}
\]

where \(m_{at\ pressure}\) is the mass under equilibrium condition (g) and \(m_{dry}\) is the mass at the oven-dry condition (g) as stated in ASTM C1699. Fifteen different pressures were examined from 0.01 MPa to 1.5 MPa, which corresponded to the pore radius range of 14000 nm (14 μm) to 96 nm.

Sorption isotherms

Sorption isotherms were collected during desorption from a saturated state. Nine relative humidity (RH) values were examined (from 90% to 10%). The pulp was separated into small quantities (approximately 10 mg to 20 mg) and treated with a droplet of 0.01% thimerosal aqueous solution. After that, the pulp was completely saturated with liquid water prior to the measurements. The samples were first conditioned in a 95% RH environment by suspending them over a saturated solution of potassium nitrate (KNO₃) for several weeks until they reached equilibrium. The sorption isotherms were collected with a dynamic vapor sorption analyzer (IGAsorp, Hiden Isochema, Warrington, UK confirm), which had a microbalance with a sample basket that was suspended in a gas stream where the RH could be precisely controlled at a constant temperature (25 °C). The mass was collected as a function of time and the data were extrapolated by kinetic models to determine the equilibrium moisture content. In these experiments, the RH was held at 90% for at least 18 h. After the 90% step, the RH was held constant for 5 h at each RH, and the equilibrium mass was found by fitting the data to the parallel exponential kinetics model. The specimens were then oven-dried and the moisture content calculated using Eq. 2.

\[
MC = \frac{m_{at\ RH} - m_{dry}}{m_{dry}}
\]

The capillary pressures (RH values between 10% and 90%) ranged between 14 MPa and 320 MPa, which corresponded to a pore radius range of 10 nm to 0.5 nm.

Data Analysis

Mercury intrusion porosimetry

The MIP tests show the relative amount of mercury intrusion as a function of the mercury pressure. For these results, the capillary pressure was calculated from the mercury pressure with Eq. 3 (Zillig 2009),

\[
P_c = -P_{Hg} \cdot \frac{\gamma_{water}}{\gamma_{Hg} \cos \theta_{Hg}}
\]
where $P_{Hg}$ is the mercury pressure (Pa), $\gamma_{water}$ is the surface tension of water (0.0715 N/m at 28 °C), $\gamma_{Hg}$ is the surface tension of mercury (0.480 N/m), and $\theta_{Hg}$ is the contact angle of mercury (commonly taken as 140°). The contact angle of water was assumed to be 180° (perfectly wetting) following the convention of Zillig (2009).

The volume of mercury intruded was related to the volume of water, and thus the MC (g of water per g of dry material) was calculated with Eq. 4 (Roels et al. 2001; Zillig 2009),

$$MC = \frac{\varphi - \rho_{\text{bulk}} (v_{Hg} - v_{Hg}^0)}{\rho_{\text{bulk}}} \times \rho_{H_2O}$$

where $(v_{Hg} - v_{Hg}^0)$ represents the specific volume of mercury (m$^3$/kg of dry material) intruded at that pressure minus the specific volume of mercury intruded at the lowest pressure, $\varphi$ is the total porosity determined from the bulk and skeletal densities, and $\rho_{\text{bulk}}$ is the bulk density (kg/m$^3$). This data was then truncated at a log|$\rho_c|$ of 7.15, which was equivalent to 90% RH and the first point from the sorption curve. The sorption curve was then linked with the MIP curve. To make a continuous curve, a constant (equal to the difference between MIP and sorption isotherm measurements at log|$\rho_c|$ = 7.15) was added to the MIP data. The data was then normalized by dividing it by the maximum moisture content. The resultant moisture retention curve gave the degree of moisture saturation for the capillary pressures of 0.3 kPa to 300 MPa. The pore size distribution was determined by numerically differentiating the moisture retention curve. To reduce noise in the derivative, the data was filtered with the method of Savitzky-Golay (1964).

**Pressure plate technique**

The data results were in the form of moisture content as a function of the capillary pressure (20 points). The moisture retention curve was fit with a Van Genuchten equation (Carmeliet and Roels 2002; Roels et al. 2001),

$$MC = MC_{\text{max}} \sum_{i=1}^{Z} l_i [1 + (a_i p_c)^{-n_i}]^{-m_i}$$

where $Z$ is the number of pore systems present.

This function is frequently used to describe the moisture retention curve in soils and other porous materials and can be analytically differentiated to determine the pore size distribution (Carmeliet and Roels 2002; Roels et al. 2001; Zelinka et al. 2016; Zillig et al. 2006). The Van Genuchten equation treats each pore distribution independently (i.e. parallel); the pore systems are summed to get the total moisture content at a given capillary pressure. The data were fit with $Z=2$ and $Z=3$. It was found that the data were best fit with $Z=2$, implying that there were two independent pore systems in this pressure regime.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In this section, the pore size distribution results are presented and discussed for mercury intrusion porosity and pressure plate technique. Note that pore size distributions presented are normalized. This allows for the partitioning of the two pore systems to be compared across different treatments. Furthermore, the total porosity was not able to be
accurately determined in either the MIP or the pressure plate technique, as the sample volumes of the water saturated pulps are ill-defined.

**Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry**

The pore size distributions based on the MIP data are presented in Figs. 2 through 5.

**Fig. 2.** Pore size distribution results for the laboratory pulp from the cooking stage (S0) and after bleaching (S1) based on the MIP data taken on freeze-dried pulps

**Fig. 3.** Pore size distribution results for the industrial pulp sampled during the process, from digester discharge to bleaching (S2 through S6), based on the MIP data taken on freeze-dried pulps

In Fig. 2, where the laboratory pulp from bleaching (S1) and cooking (S0) are compared, in the S1 sample the radii of both pore systems was smaller than the pore systems in S0. This shift was observable in both main peaks. The peak from the largest
pore system decreased from 30 µm to 20 µm, and the smaller pore system peak decreased from 2 µm to 1 µm. In Fig. 3, the industrial pulps (from S2 to S6) showed similar shifts towards lower pore radii for the peaks above and below 10 µm. These changes in pore size distribution are probably due to creation of new pores in the cell walls during processing (related to chemical dissolution and an increase in the accessibility of the cell wall). In the pore size range of 0.1 µm to 1 µm (Fig. 4), the relative peak height and tail of the distribution increased, which indicated that more pores between 100 nm to 1000 nm were created. Most of the changes in the pore size distribution occurred between the washing (S4) and oxygen delignification (S5) steps, with a remarkable increase in the relative volume for pores in the range of 100 nm to 2000 nm in size.

![Graph showing pore size distribution](image1)

**Fig. 4.** Pore size distribution results in the range of 1 µm to 4 µm for the industrial pulp sampled during the process, from digester discharge to bleaching (S2 to S6), based on the MIP data.

![Graph showing pore size distribution](image2)

**Fig. 5.** Pore size distribution results for the dried final pulps derived from MIP: pulp S6- freeze dried, pulp S7- dried industrially, and pulps S8 and S9 were dried in the laboratory at two different temperatures (25 °C for S8 and 105 °C for S9).
Figure 5 presents the changes in the pore size distribution of the pulp that was dried industrially (S7) and in the laboratory (S8 and S9). They are compared against pulp S6 which was processed in the same manner but freeze dried in the laboratory. The figure shows results for an identical pulp (S6) dried with four different techniques. What is most apparent is that the industrially-dried pulp had a much wider relative pore size distribution curve. While the other dried pulps exhibited two distinct peaks, only one was visible for the industrially dried pulp. Furthermore, of the drying methods examined, the freeze-dried pulp retains the highest amount of micron-sized pores. This difference in pore size distribution between laboratory and industrially dried pulps could be due to opening of macropores in the cell wall due to industrial drying. A similar behavior has been previously described by Joutsimo (2004) and Joutsimo and Asikainen (2013) as being the result of the mechanical treatment applied to pulps in laboratory, simulating pulp mill fiber line conditions.

**Pressure Plate**

The pore size distributions measured by the pressure plate technique are presented in Figs. 6 through 9.

![Pore size distributions for the laboratory pulp from the cooking stage (S0) and after bleaching (S1) using pressure plate technique](image)

**Fig. 6.** Pore size distributions for the laboratory pulp from the cooking stage (S0) and after bleaching (S1) using pressure plate technique

Based on the results from the MIP and pressure plate technique, there appeared to be two dominant pore systems in the laboratory and industrial pulps. The largest pore size peak appeared at a pore radius of approximately 20 μm to 40 μm. The smaller pore peak appeared at a smaller pore size (around 0.1 μm). The measurements of the laboratory pulp showed a slight difference between the bleached and unbleached conditions (Fig. 6). For the industrial pulp (Fig. 7), the peak of the pore distribution moved to a slightly larger pore size for S5 and S6, but it was virtually identical for S2, S3, and S4.
The effect of the pulping process was most clearly apparent for the smaller of the two peaks. This peak occurred in the range of 10 nm to 1000 nm in the pressure plate data (Fig. 8) and 1 μm to 3 μm in the MIP data (Figs. 2 through 4). Likewise, the “valley” between the two peaks represents the absence of pores. For the dried pulps examined in MIP, these valleys occurred between 3 and 10 μm, whereas in the pressure plate measurements the valleys are wider, extending from less than 1 μm to more than 10 μm.
Figure 9 shows the relative pore size distribution of pulps that were dried and then rewetted in the range of 100 nm to 300 nm (smaller peaks) showed a reduction in the relative pore volume and shifted to a slightly higher pore radius for the industrially-dried pulp (S7) compared with the laboratory-dried pulp (S8 and S9).

![Figure 9](image)

**Fig. 9.** Pore size distribution results for the dried final pulps derived from pressure plate: pulp S6—freeze dried, pulp S7—dried industrially, and pulps S8 and S9 were dried in the laboratory at two different temperatures (25 °C for S8 and 105 °C for S9).

The pore size distribution results of the cell walls measured with the pressure plate technique and MIP showed an increase in the pore size distribution above 100 nm during processing and drying. According to Joutsimo (2004), the separation of structural elements occurred during processing increases irregularities in the surface of fibers and reduces bonding ability, which leads to changes in the strength, optical, and water holding properties. Along the same lines, Lovikka et al. (2016), using SEM analysis showed that large pores or cracks appeared on the surface of dried fibers, and that these pores were outside of the range of the traditional BET method.

Previous studies by Giacomozzi and Joutsimo (2015) and Giacomozzi and Joutsimo (2017) using NMR technique showed decreases in the pore volume and average pore sizes for dried pulp (pores under 220 nm in size), and increase in the inner crystallinity of the cellulose upon drying, which was also previously reported by other authors (Stone and Scallan 1965; Minor 1994; Duchesne 2001; Fernandes Diniz et al. 2004; Newman 2004; Brancato 2008).

Based on the above, it is hypothesized that, besides the changes in pore size distribution in the micropore (<2 nm) and mesopore range (2 to 50 nm), also the macropore range is affected by processing and drying, and further research is required on this field. In future studies in the macropore region, it is recommended to also include SEM as a complementary technique to MIP and pressure plate technique.
CONCLUSIONS

1. Pore size distribution results for the radiata pine pulp measured by MIP showed that processing and drying induced changes in the cell wall structure.

2. The industrial pulp showed an increase in the relative pore volume in the range of 100 nm to 2000 nm (digester to bleaching) and a shift of the maximum pore size to lower values for pores in the range of 2000 nm to 10000 nm when the pulp was processed.

3. For measurements on dried pulps, the relative pore size distribution appeared quite different for the industrially-dried pulp when compared against the laboratory dried pulps.
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