Experimental Testing of Elastic Properties of Paper and WoodEpox® in Honeycomb Panels
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The literature lacks comparisons of analytical and numerical calculations that have been verified experimentally for elastic constants of auxetic cells in cores manufactured from wood materials. The aim of this study was to determine the effect of auxetic cell geometry and the type of material used in their manufacture on elastic properties of the honeycomb panel core. This paper describes properties of the materials, from which core cells were modeled and presents mathematical models of cell properties. The method of numerical optimization of cell shape was specified, and the numerical calculations concerning modeled cells are given along with the course and results of experimental tests. Additionally, the results of analytical, numerical, and experimental tests were compared. Cell geometry had a considerable effect on elastic properties of honeycomb panel cores, particularly the angle of the cell wall. Moreover, geometric imperfections had a significant effect on the results of analytical calculations. Based on numerical calculations, satisfactory consistency between these results and experimental tests was obtained.
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INTRODUCTION

Composite layered panels have been applied in the automotive, boatbuilding, military, and aeronautics industries (Gay et al. 2003; Pan et al. 2008). Honeycomb panel cores are being improved in terms of their geometry and the type of materials used. Typically they have the matrix molds composed of hexagonal, pyramidal, grid, or egg-box cells (Wadley et al. 2003). They are commonly manufactured from aluminum, titanium, stainless steel (Paik et al. 1999; Xue and Hutchinson 2006; Dharmasena et al. 2008; Wadley et al. 2013), and plastics (Herup and Palazotto 1998; Nilsson and Nilsson 2002). The cores made from metal alloys can be strengthened by adding glass fibers and carbon fibers reinforced with plastics (Rejab and Cantwell 2013). Novel solutions are connected with cores exhibiting auxetic properties and characterized by a negative Poisson's ratio. They may be applied specifically in light engineering structures (Wang et al. 2018). Imbalzano et al. (2017, 2018) examined the auxetic character of honeycomb core and found interesting behavior of auxetics at dynamic loading. The cores effectively adjusted strains by gradually condensing the material in the loaded zone. In this manner, they increased impact strength (Wang et al. 2014). In contrast, cores with the honeycomb structure with hexagonal cells undergo plastic deformations with no local improvement of rigidity. Wu et al. (2017) indicated trends in the development of layered composites in order to avoid defects resulting from the non-solid structure of the core. Yang et al. (2015) analytically modeled auxetic structures; however, they did not obtain any satisfactory correlation.
between theoretical calculations and experimental tests. Significant results of investigations concerning shape modifications of honeycomb core structures were recorded by Majewski and Smardzewski (2014, 2015). Those authors focused on the analytical modeling of delta-shaped auxetic core. At the same time, they indicated the need to further search for optimal shapes of comparable relative density. There are very few studies on applications of auxetic structures in wood-based boards. Smardzewski (2013) designed and described mechanical properties of a three-layer honeycomb panel with the auxetic core composed of bow-tie shaped cells; the auxetic core enhances strength and stiffness of furniture panels. Moreover, it facilitates substitution of particleboards and plywood traditionally used in the furniture industry with thus designed panels. In order to simplify computations for large-sized structures composed of honeycomb panels, the current trend is related with their homogenization (Guedes and Kikuchi 1990; Hohe and Becker 2001; Hartung et al. 2003; Geers et al. 2010; Park et al. 2016; Jeong et al. 2019).

With homogenization of elastic properties in layered boards, the respective models are simplified, and the time-intensity of computations is reduced. However, the literature lacks comparisons of analytical and numerical calculations that have been verified experimentally for elastic constants of auxetic cells in cores manufactured from wood materials. Previous studies on the subject used only analytical and numerical models with idealized geometry (Masters and Evans 1996; Pozniak and Wojciechowski 2014; Yang et al. 2015; Mousanezhad et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2017). Thus, imperfections of cell shape may affect the quality of computational results. The analyses presented herein verified the hypothesis that geometric imperfections have a considerable effect on changes in values of elastic constants calculated analytically or numerically in relation to idealized models.

This study determined the effect of the geometry of auxetic cells and the type of material used in their manufacture on elastic properties of cores for honeycomb panels. Moreover, the analytical and numerical calculations for Young's moduli and Poisson's ratios were compared with results of experimental tests. First, the properties of materials used to model the core cells were described. The mathematical models describing cell properties and the numerical optimisation of cell shape were presented. After determining the numerical computations for the modeled cells, the results of analytical, numerical and experimental analyses were compared.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

Tests were conducted using Testliner 2 paper of 0.15 mm in thickness and grammage of 123 g/m² manufactured by HM Technology (Brzozowo, Poland). Moreover, WoodEpox® Abatron wood-based composite, density: 430 kg/m³ (Abatron, Kenosha, USA) was also used. According to the manufacturer it is a wood-based material; its composition is entirely based on natural resins (zero VOC emissions and Greenguard® certificate). Elastic properties of paper, including Young's moduli MOEₓ and MOEᵧ, moduli of rupture MORₓ (MPa) and MORᵧ (MPa) as well as Poisson's ratios, ϑₓᵧ and ϑᵧₓ, for the machine direction (Y) and the cross-machine direction (X) were determined following the requirements of PN-EN ISO 1924-2 (2010). Tests were conducted on 10 strips of paper of 15 mm in width, from the original length of 180 mm. In order to determine Young's modulus, MOEᵧ (MPa) and MORᵧ (MPa) in WoodEpox®, tests were conducted on 10 dumbbell-type samples of 5.0 mm ± 0.1 mm in thickness, gauge length of 24 mm ±
0.1 mm, and total length of 235 mm. The testing procedures followed the requirements of PN-EN ISO 527-3 (1998). Samples were subjected to a uni-axial tensile test on a Zwick 1445 testing machine (Zwick GmbH, Ulm, Germany) using a Dantec Dynamics system optical extensometer (Dantec Dynamics A/S, Skovlunde, Denmark). Table 1 presents the average properties of tested materials. The recorded properties are consistent with literature data for papers of comparable grammage (Szewczyk and Łapczyńska 2013). To date, no alternative results have been published for WoodEpox®. Uniaxial tensile tests made it possible to record directly the load, elongation, and narrowing of the samples. Based on this, the stress-strain relation was determined for each material, which was implemented for numerical calculations. This method was selected to be the most adequate way of material definitions in the finite element analysis. In further analysis the authors used the thickness of paper given above as a fixed value, and for the WoodEpox® due to technical processing limitations, the thickness of cell wall was \( t_A = 1.5 \) mm.

Table 1. Properties of Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MATERIAL</th>
<th>MOE ( Y )</th>
<th>MOE ( X )</th>
<th>MOR ( Y )</th>
<th>MOR ( X )</th>
<th>( \vartheta_{xy} )</th>
<th>( \vartheta_{yx} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>5142</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WoodEpox®</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Elementary core cell, where: \( t_A \) (mm): thickness of cell wall; \( l_A, h_A \) (mm): length of cell wall side, \( \varphi_A, \varepsilon_A^{(')} \): interior angles of a cell; \( S_y, L_x \) (mm): overall dimensions of cell; \( l_0 \) (mm): distance between cell walls

**Mathematical Models of Auxetic Cells**

Analytical models of elastic constants for auxetic core cells are presented using geometry as in Fig. 1. Relative density \( \rho_A \) of the analyzed auxetic structure may be described as the product of core density \( \rho_A^* \) and density of the substance forming the skeleton of the structure \( \rho_{sA} \) (Eq. 1).

\[
\rho_A = \frac{\rho_A^*}{\rho_{sA}}
\]  

(1)

Following necessary transformations relative density may be written as follows:

\[
\rho_A = \frac{F_{sA}}{F_A}
\]  

(2)
The area of the elementary core section is represented by Eq. 3.

$$ F_A = 4(t_A + l_A \cos(\varphi_A))(h_A - t_A \cotg(\varepsilon_A) - l_A \sin(\varphi_A)). $$

(3)

The area of the substance forming the skeleton of the structure may be described as follows:

$$ F_{sA} = 4(t_A + l_A \cos(\varphi_A))(h_A - t_A \cotg(\varepsilon_A) - l_A \sin(\varphi_A)) $$

$$ - 4l_A \cos(\varphi_A)(h_A - 2t_A \cotg(\varepsilon_A) - l_A \sin(\varphi_A)), $$

(4)

Thus,

$$ \rho_A = 1 - \frac{l_A \cos(\varphi_A) - 2t_A \cotg(\varepsilon_A) - l_A \sin(\varphi_A)}{K_f(h_A \cos(\varphi_A) - t_A \cotg(\varepsilon_A) - l_A \sin(\varphi_A))}, $$

(5)

where $\varepsilon_A = \frac{90° - \varphi_A}{2}$.

(6)

The condition critical for the existence of an auxetic cell with the presented geometry is:

$$ l_0 = 2\left(\frac{h_A}{2} - l_A \sin(\varphi_A) - t_A \cotg(\varepsilon_A)\right) > 0 $$

(7)

and simultaneously:

$$ 90° > \varphi_A > 0°. $$

(8)

Elastic constants of cells presented below are given after Masters and Evans (1996). In this paper, modeled structures and materials were characterized by linear elasticity,

$$ E_y = \frac{K_f(h_A + \sin(\varphi_A))}{H \cos^3(\varphi_A)}, $$

(9)

$$ E_x = \frac{K_f \cos(\varphi_A)}{b(\frac{h_A}{l_A} + \sin(\varphi_A)) \sin^2(\varphi_A)}, $$

(10)

$$ \nu_{xy} = \frac{\sin(\varphi_A)(h_A + \sin(\varphi_A))}{\cos^2(\varphi_A)}, $$

(11)

$$ \nu_{yx} = \frac{\cos^2(\varphi_A)}{(h_A + \sin(\varphi_A)) \sin(\varphi_A)}, $$

(12)

$$ G_{xy} = \frac{K_f(h_A + \sin(\varphi_A))}{H(h_A \cos^2(\varphi_A) + 2h_A \cotg(\varphi_A) \cos(\varphi_A))}, $$

(13)

where $E_x$ (MPa) is Young’s modulus in the X axis, $E_y$ (MPa) is Young’s modulus in the Y axis, $\nu_{xy}$ is Poisson’s ratio in plane XY, $\nu_{yx}$ is Poisson’s ratio in plane YX, $G_{xy}$ (MPa) is the modulus of elasticity in shear, $K_f = \frac{E_s H l_A^2}{l_A^3}$ (N/m) is the bending force constant, and $E_s$ (MPa) is Young’s modulus of the core substance.
Monte Carlo Optimization

In engineering computations, statistical methods constituting a component of numerical methods are used in static optimization (Smardzewski 2015). In this study, the cell shape was optimized using the Monte Carlo approach, consisting of random searches for the admissible area and on the basis of results to estimate the optimal value. In this case the maximization of the moduli of elasticity, \( E_x \) (MPa) and \( E_y \) (MPa), for the developed core cells was considered to be a natural optimization criterion. The set of decision variables comprised outer dimensions of cells, dimensions, and angles of cell walls. The optimal solution may be reached after a conjunctive satisfaction of numerous limiting conditions, resulting from cell shape. A significant limiting criterion was connected with the specific density of a hexagonal cell, most frequently found in honeycomb panels used in the furniture industry (\( \rho_A = 0.0249 \)). The mathematical model for the optimization of a polygonal auxetic cell included the following:

a) decision variables, for which the variables block \( K_z \) takes the form in Eq. 14,

\[
K_z = \{ \bar{x} = (x_1 \ldots x_4) : x_{i_{\text{min}}} \leq x_i \leq x_{i_{\text{max}}}; i = 1 \ldots 4 \} \tag{14}
\]

where \( i \) is the number of decision variables for the cell, \( x_1 = t_A \) (mm) cell wall thickness, \( x_2 = h_A \) (mm) length of a common cell wall, \( x_3 = l_A \) (mm) length of a free cell wall, and \( x_4 = f_A \) (˚) is the angle of a cell wall,

b) parameter \( E_s \) (MPa) Young’s modulus of the material, from which cell walls were manufactured,

c) admissible set \( \Phi \) was produced from inequality restrictions \( \Phi_i(\bar{x}) > 0 \), i.e.:

\[
\Phi = \{ \bar{x} = (x_1 \ldots x_4) : \Phi_i(\bar{x}) > 0; i = 1 \ldots 4 \} \tag{15}
\]

The critical condition for the existence of an auxetic cell with the presented geometry was as follows:

\[
l_0 > 0 \text{ (mm)} \tag{16}
\]

The objective function as maximization of values for Young’s moduli of a cell in the primary orthotropic directions was as follows.

\[
f(\text{opt.}) = E_x \rightarrow \max \text{ and } E_y \rightarrow \max. \tag{17}
\]

The optimization program was written in the Visual Basic programming language (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). A total of 30000 samplings were performed, among which approx. 0.03% were successful. Table 2 presents values of elastic constants calculated based on formulas 9 through 13 for optimized cells. In turn, Fig. 2 presents shapes of cells selected through optimization. Cells A through D are characterized by relative density identical in relation to the reference cell \( \rho_A = 0.0249 \). The shape of cell E made from WoodEpox® is the result of maintaining technical feasibility of the core at the maximum values of Young’s moduli and minimum relative density \( \rho_A = 0.1547 \). Moreover, it results from Table 2 that cell D exhibits considerable orthotropy: a high value of Young’s modulus in the direction of the Y axis, as well as a low value of Poisson’s ratio \( \vartheta_{yx} \). Greater values of elastic properties in comparison to paper cells are recorded for cell E. This is caused by a significant increase in cell wall thickness at a comparable scale of overall dimensions of the cell. Cells A through C were at comparable dimensions, \( L_x \) and \( S_y \) differ significantly in the cell wall angle. Selected cells were used in further modeling.
Table 2. Elastic Properties Provided by Mathematical Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>$E_x$ [MPa]</th>
<th>$E_y$ [MPa]</th>
<th>$\vartheta_{xy}$</th>
<th>$\vartheta_{yx}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>47.906</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-4.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Elementary core cells: Hexagonal cell, the reference cell with relative density $\rho_A = 0.0249$; A through D: paper cells; E: WoodEpox® cell

As shown, the inclination angle $\varphi_A$ differed within range 1° to 25°, cell length $l_A$, $h_A$ respectively within range 5 to 20 mm. The relative density of the paper cells was a fixed value $\rho_A = 0.0249$, whereas relative density of the WoodEpox® $\rho_A = 0.1547$.

Numerical Models

Numerical computations were performed using the Finite Element Method (FEM) applying the Abaqus v6.13 program (Dassault Systemes Simulia Corp., Waltham, MA, USA) and the resources of the EAGLE computational cluster, the Poznań Supercomputing and Networking Center, within the framework of the computational grant, “Properties of furniture panels with the synclastic surface and an auxetic core”. The computational model is presented in Fig. 3. The HEX (hexahedral elements) grid was applied along with elastic-plastic strains for selected materials (Table 1). The models were characterized by the mean number of nodes ranging from 0.6 million to 1.4 million and the mean number of elements ranging from 0.4 million to 1.2 million. The C3D6 (wedge) and C3D8R (tetrahedral) solid elements were used.

Calculations were made for loads in the direction of the X axis, and next in the Y axis for recording respective strains. For the paper models, variable loads were assumed ranging from 0 N to 0.1 N at an increment of 0.02 N for the direction of the X axis and from 0 N to 0.3 N at an increment of 0.05 N for the direction of the Y axis. For the
WoodEpox ® model it was from 0 N to 10 N at an increment of 2 N and from 0 N to 35 N at an increment of 5 N. Results of calculations are given in Table 3.

As shown in Table 3, cores showed negative Poisson's ratios. The highest Young's moduli, $E_y = 4.97$ MPa and $E_x = 0.15$ MPa, were found for the core with cell E. It also showed the lowest value of Poisson's ratio, $\nu_{yx} = -5.23$. Among the cores with paper cells, the highest value of the modulus, $E_y = 0.0875$ MPa, was found for the core with cell D. At the same time, it is a model with the greatest orthotropy, since the modulus of elasticity in the direction was $E_x = 0.0076$ MPa. The core with cell D also showed a low value of Poisson's ratio $\nu_{yx} = -2.54$, while $\nu_{xy} = -0.35$. Cores with cells A through C are characterized with evident orthotropy of elastic properties. In the case of C type cells, the values of Young's moduli and Poisson's ratios were very similar. This results in the core with mechanical properties close to the isotropic structure. Furthermore, a smaller angle in the wall led to a greater increase in Young's modulus $E_y$ (MPa), while the difference between Poisson's ratios $\nu_{xy}$ and $\nu_{yx}$ increased as well.

**Experimental Tests**
In the next stage of the study, molds facilitating technical manufacture of paper cores were designed. These molds in the form of 3D solids (Fig. 4.) were designed in the Autodesk Inventor Professional® environment (Autodesk, San Rafael, CA, USA) and finished models were exported to the STL format. They were printed on a Stratasys Fortus 400mc small printer (Stratasys, Eden Prairie, MN, USA) using FDM Nylon-12™ filament (Stratasys, Minnesota, USA).
Sheets of paper were embossed and cut into strips of 10 mm in width using a Kongsberg-X plotter (Esko, Ghent, Belgium). The next step was to glue single strips into sets using the PVAC Woodmax FF 12.47 D2 adhesive (Synthos, Oświęcim, Poland). Such prepared sets were pulled onto the mold. The core shape was fixed using a SLW 15 laboratory dryer (Pol-Eko-Aparatura, Warsaw, Poland) at a temperature of 180 ± 1°C for 300 s. In case of the WoodEpox®, panels of 10 ± 0.2 mm in thickness were manufactured. Panels were sanded on a Felder FW 1102 perform wide belt sander (Felder Group, Żory, Poland) and milled on a Kongsberg-X milling cutter using an HM straight shank cutter of 3 mm in diameter (CMT, Poznań, Poland). Five cores each were manufactured to determine properties in planes XY and YX. Figure 5 presents the manufactured cores.

Cores were subjected to uniaxial compression (Fig. 6). The testing stand was composed of a support beam, on which the sample and platens were placed. A ZEMIC H3-C3-25kg-3B sensor (Serial No. P2Z122353) (Zemic, Hanzhong, China) was placed between the platens, recording the force exerted onto the tested element accurate to 0.001 N. The stand was lighted with two lamps of 630 lumens to ensure proper photographic recording of the test.
Fig. 6. The testing stand: 1) pressing screw, 2) sensor platten, 3) ZEMIC H3-C3-25kg-3B sensor, 4) sample platten, 5) tested sample, and 6) support

Samples were tested following the analogical method, which was applied in numerical calculations. For each applied load a monochromatic image was taken using an Olympus OM-D camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Next strains were measured in the core by analyzing the images using the National Instruments IMAQ Vision Builder 6.1 linear analysis software (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA) (Fig. 7).

The edge detection method was applied in digital image analysis, and respective Poisson’s ratios and Young’s moduli were calculated from the dependence shown below,

\[ \vartheta_{yx} = \frac{DX \cdot Y}{X \cdot dY} \] \hspace{1cm} (18) \]
\[ \vartheta_{xy} = \frac{DY \cdot X}{Y \cdot dX} \] \hspace{1cm} (19)

where \( dX \) (mm) and \( dY \) (mm) are displacements in directions \( X \) and \( Y \), \( X \) (mm) is width, \( Y \) (mm) is length of the core,

\[ E_y = \frac{F_{y \cdot Y}}{H \cdot X \cdot dY} \] \hspace{1cm} (20) \]
\[ E_x = \frac{F_{x \cdot X}}{H \cdot Y \cdot dX} \] \hspace{1cm} (21)

where \( F_{x,y} \) (N) are loads for directions \( X \) and \( Y \), \( H \) (mm) is height of the core, \( dX \) (mm) and \( dY \) (mm) are displacements in directions \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively.
Fig. 7. The method to measure strain: detection of gauge points

Based on the image analysis elastic constants of the tested cores were determined, as presented in Table 4.

Fig. 8. Images of elementary cells in cores: A through D: paper cores; E: WoodEpox® core

During the paper expanding process, the cells of the core are expanding differently. The width of the adhesive stream is not repeatable, which has a significant impact on the length of the free and common cell wall. This affects the inclination angles as shown in Fig. 9. Measured angles of inclination differ from each other, $\phi_{A1} \neq \phi_{A2} \neq \phi_{A3} \neq \phi_{A4}$. 
Scanned images of real cores allowed to remodel cores for finite element method. With the analytical approach, real cell geometric dimensions were used to recalculate the elastic properties, with no further corrections to the cell geometry.

**Table 4. Elastic Properties of the Core from the Experimental Sample**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>$E_x$ [MPa]</th>
<th>$E_y$ [MPa]</th>
<th>$\vartheta_{xy}$</th>
<th>$\vartheta_{yx}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
<td>0.0227</td>
<td>-0.7092</td>
<td>-0.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>0.0413</td>
<td>-0.9842</td>
<td>-1.0446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.0114</td>
<td>0.0213</td>
<td>-0.7072</td>
<td>-0.7222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>-0.3049</td>
<td>-2.7411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.1201</td>
<td>5.4655</td>
<td>-0.1915</td>
<td>-5.9104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows that the greatest values of Young's modulus, $E_y = 5.47$ MPa and $E_x = 0.12$ MPa, were recorded for the core with the E type cells. This core was also characterized by the lowest Poisson's ratio $\vartheta_{yx} = -5.91$, while $\vartheta_{xy} = -0.19$. Among paper cores, the greatest value of the modulus $E_y = 0.0915$ MPa was recorded for the core with the D type cells, with $E_x = 0.0083$ MPa. During tests of this core, it was also most prone to buckling due to its slenderness. Among cores manufactured from paper, it showed a low value of Poisson's ratio by $\vartheta_{yx} = -2.74$ and $\vartheta_{xy} = -0.30$. When analyzing properties of cores with cell types A through C it needs to be stressed that similar to the previous ones, they exhibited orthotropic properties. Only the core with type C cells showed comparable values of $\vartheta_{xy}$ and $\vartheta_{yx}$ and slightly varied values of moduli $E_x = 0.0213$ MPa, $E_y = 0.0114$ MPa.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Digital image analysis for the geometry of elementary core cells was used to determine their actual dimensions with imperfections. Table 5 presents major parameters of geometry for actual cells and idealized (theoretical) cells. The main imperfections in geometry are caused by changes in linear dimensions of wall length and angles, while in the case of paper cores, it was also wall shape. Core type E exhibited the smallest differences in dimensions, as it has the most accurate manufacturing technology. Changes in geometry resulted from the uneven structure of the material and technological feasibility of its manufacture. Dimensions of cells with imperfections were used in the repeated mathematical modeling of elastic properties of the core using equations 8 through 12. Figure 10 presents a comparison of values for Young’s moduli $E_x$ (MPa), $E_y$ (MPa) of theoretical cells A, B, C, D, and E, as well as Young’s moduli $E_x^R$ (MPa), $E_y^R$ (MPa) of analogous actual cells. In turn, Fig. 11 presents a comparison of Poisson’s ratios $\nu_{xy}$, $\nu_{yx}$ of theoretical cells A, B, C, D, and E, as well as Poisson’s ratios $\nu_{xy}^R$, $\nu_{yx}^R$ of analogous actual cells.

Table 5. Dimensions of Actual (R) and Theoretical Cells (T)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Dimension</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$l_A$ [mm]</td>
<td>19.96</td>
<td>16.23</td>
<td>19.86</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>19.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_A$ [mm]</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>17.97</td>
<td>19.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_A$ [°]</td>
<td>-13.9</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>-16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$ [mm]</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>10.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10. Analytical values of Young’s moduli for theoretical and actual models
As shown in Figs. 10 and 11, cell geometry strongly affects its elastic properties. A particularly important role is played by the effect of the cell wall angle $f_A$, while as a result of the manufacturing technology of paper cores it is susceptible to changes. For paper cores with cells A through C, the obtained interior angles of cells were much more obtuse than theoretically assumed, while for cell D the angle was less obtuse than it had been assumed. For cell E, no marked change was observed in Poisson's ratio ($6\%$ to $7\%$). However, Young's modulus $E_x^R$ (MPa) of that cell decreased 400-fold in relation to the idealized models $E_x$ (MPa), while in the case of modulus $E_y^R$ (MPa) it was over 100-fold. The greatest changes in values of Poisson's ratio were observed for type C cells. In that case the index $\gamma_{yx}^R$ of the physical model increased over 17-fold in relation to the idealized model $\gamma_{yx}$. This was caused by a change in angle $f_A$ of the cell wall. For cells A and B, the change in Poisson's ratio was much smaller. In the case of cell A, an almost 7-fold increase in $\gamma_{yx}^R$ compared to $\gamma_{yx}$ was observed, while in the case of cell B it was almost 3-fold. In turn, for cell D the value of $\theta_{yx}^R$ decreased 9-fold in relation to $\theta_{yx}$. Analogously, for cells A through C values of $\theta_{xy}^R$ decreased in relation to $\theta_{xy}$. Values of Young's moduli $E_x$ (MPa) for cells A through C turned out to be as much as 11-fold greater in relation to $E_x^R$ (MPa). Cell D exhibited strong orthotropy, and the respective differences exceeded 800%. For cells A through C, values of $E_y$ (MPa) were 2- to 4-fold greater in comparison to the results of mathematical analysis based on actual dimensions.

Figure 12 compares Young's moduli $E_x$ (MPa), $E_y$ (MPa) for cells A, B, C, D, and E that was established based on numerical calculations (FEM) and experimental tests (EXP), while Fig. 13 gives analogous values of Poisson's ratio $\theta_{xy}, \theta_{yx}$. 

Fig. 11. Analytical values of Poisson's ratio for theoretical and actual models
When comparing results of FEM analyses and experimental tests of paper cores, the greatest differences were recorded for modulus $E_x$ (MPa) of type B core. The result of numerical simulations was by 14% lower than that obtained in experimental tests. In turn, for calculations of modulus $E_y$ (MPa), a considerable difference was recorded for type C core. In that case the results were by 7% lower than the value of the laboratory measurement. For the WoodEpox® core, numerical analysis made it possible to estimate the modulus $E_x$ (MPa) with a value by 22% lower in comparison to the experimental results. In turn, the value of modulus $E_y$ (MPa) was by approx. 9% lower. The other results of numerical calculations for paper cores in comparison to the experimental results differed by max. 9%. This may be considered a satisfactory agreement. When analyzing the quality of calculations for Poisson’s ratio the greatest difference in relation to laboratory tests was...
found for Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{xy}$ for core B. Obtained values were by approx. 21% lower in comparison to those recorded in the experiment. For type D core the value of $\nu_{yx}$ was by 8% lower in relation to the experimental results, while for core E values from numerical simulations of $\nu_{xy}$ and $\nu_{yx}$ were by 8% to 11% lower. For the other cell types the differences in values of Poisson’s ratios were calculated numerically in relation to the results recorded in the experiment and they did not exceed 13%. This may also be considered a satisfactory consistency with the experimental findings resulting from an accurate representation of geometry and material properties in numerical models.

In turn, evident and marked differences were found between the results of experimental tests and analytical calculations. The mathematical simulation shows significant sensitivity to imperfections of cell geometry. When considering the idealized mathematical model, free from imperfections, the results of calculations for paper cores are much lower than the recorded experimental results. Differences exceeded several fold between the results of the laboratory tests. The greatest difference, over 30-fold, was recorded for the simulation of Poisson's ratio $\nu_{yx}$ for the core with type C cells. In turn, smaller, although still considerable differences were recorded for the simulation of elastic properties of type E core. Although the simulation of values of Young's moduli $E_x$ (MPa) and $E_y$ (MPa) is far from satisfactory, it needs to be stressed that Poisson's ratio was determined with a 16% to 25% difference in values. This results from a highly precise processing of core E and its shape being almost identical to that assumed analytically.

As indicated above, there were significant differences between experimental research and analytical modeling. Taking into consideration the proximity of the FEM analysis to the experiment, in most cases not exceeding 9% of the error, it should be noted that there was no unambiguous tendency for the results to overestimate or underestimate with use of the FEM analysis. Therefore, it should be pointed out that the results obtained from the FEM analysis are also significantly different from the analytical approach - several to several hundred times.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Cell geometry has a considerable effect on elastic properties of cell cores. The change in the cell wall angle is particularly significant.
2. The WoodEpox® biocomposite is a suitable material for the manufacture of light layered composites because the imperfections were slight enough.
3. Geometrical imperfections of paper cores have a considerable effect on the results of analytical calculations. Idealized analytical models indicate very large differences in comparison to experimental tests or numerical simulations.
4. Implementation of geometrical imperfections in modeling of CAD models used for FEM method, shows that results differ significantly from analytical approach.
5. Based on numerical calculations a satisfactory consistency of the results was obtained in relation to the data from experimental tests. This similarity is a result of the representation of geometrical imperfections in numerical modeling.
6. Investigated cores show orthotropic properties, which justifies their application in semi-finished elements or elements with a highly precise character of loads.
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