# The Feasibility of Shortening the Pretreatment Time for Improvement of the Biogas Production Rate from Rice Straw with Three Chemical Agents

Jing Du,<sup>a,b,1</sup> Yu-ting Qian,<sup>a,1</sup> Yong-lan Xi,<sup>a</sup> Hong-mei Jin,<sup>a</sup> Xiang-ping Kong,<sup>a</sup> Ning Zhu,<sup>a</sup> Xi-wu Lv,<sup>b</sup> Ying-peng Zhang,<sup>a</sup> and Xiao-mei Ye<sup>a,\*</sup>

Solid-state chemical pretreatment at room temperature was adopted to evaluate the methane production potential of rice straw. Dried rice straw was homogenized with distilled water at a 1:3 ratio and pretreated for 6 h, 24 h, 72 h, 120 h, and 168 h. The effects of the pretreatment time with three chemicals (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O) on the methane yield were investigated. The results showed that the anaerobic digestion performance was improved for solid-state chemical pretreatment of room temperature-treated rice straw. The biogas yields from the treatments with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 168 h, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> for 72 h, and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O for 120 h were 386.6 mL/g VS, 413.5 mL/g VS, and 390.5 mL/g VS, which were 35.8%, 45.2%, and 37.2% higher than that from the untreated rice straw, respectively. Therefore, it is feasible to shorten the pretreatment time of rice straw for these three chemical solutions.

Keywords: Room temperature; Solid state; Chemical pretreatment; Straw; Methane production

Contact information: a: Institute of Circular Agriculture Research Center, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences; Nanjing, Jiangsu 210014, China; East China Scientific Observing and Experimental Station of Development and Utilization of Rural Renewable Energy, Ministry of Agriculture, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210014, China; Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Solid Organic Waste Resource Utilization, Nanjing 210014, China; b: College of Energy and Environment in Southeast University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210096, China; 1: these authors contributed to this work equally; \* Corresponding author: yexiaomei610@126.com

## INTRODUCTION

Pretreatment of rice straw can be achieved through a variety of physical, chemical, and biological methods to remove some lignin and hemicellulose, which can increase the contact degree of enzymes for the hydrolysis of rice straw (Liu *et al.* 2005). The common pretreatment methods include physical, chemical, and biochemistry methods, as well as the combination of these methods. Among them, the physical method has the advantages of less pollution and simple operation, but its cost is high. The biological method is conducted under mild conditions, which leads to negligible pollution and a low cost, but this method needs a long pretreatment time and has a low efficiency. The chemical method has the advantages of convenience, rapidity, a low cost, simple operation, remarkable treatment effect, and short treatment time (Zheng *et al.* 2014; Li *et al.* 2015; Liu *et al.* 2015).

The majority of chemical pretreatment methods are known as liquid chemical treatments (He *et al.* 2008). This kind of treatment can lead to corrosion issues in equipment and needs a high volume of water to clean the raw materials after pretreatment or a lot of acid or chemicals for neutralization. The soluble organic matter in straw decreases through cleaning with water, which affects the subsequent biogas production. Additionally, when neutralizing with acid or chemicals, a large number of inhibitors, such as sodium and

chloride ions, are produced and this affects the growth of microorganisms during biogas production through anaerobic fermentation (Song *et al.* 2014; Li *et al.* 2016).

Many studies have shown that chemical pretreatment can cause the dissolution of hemicellulose and partial lignin, and it has a positive impact on cellulose degradation (Xu et al. 2010; Karuna et al. 2014; Sawatdeenarunat et al. 2015). Although chemical pretreatment has some disadvantages such as corrosion equipment, secondary pollution and so on, it has the advantages of convenience, a simple operation, low energy consumption, low investment, a short treatment time, low cost, and it can be performed at room temperature without the restriction of environmental conditions. Therefore, it is more suitable for the actual production of straw biogas (Khor et al. 2015). There are numerous chemical treatment solutions, which can be divided into acid and alkali treatments. Alkali pretreatment can be advantageous because of its low price and easy operation. Gao (2004) illustrated that the highest biogas yield can be achieved with sodium hydroxide pretreatment for 3 d. The biogas yield from rice straw was the highest after 30 d of treatment with NaOH (Qin et al. 2011), while treatment with calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>) for 7 d is considered more effective (Song et al. 2014). Pang et al. (2005) showed that ammonia can be used to treat corn straw for 30 d and increases the biogas yield by 15%. Hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) is also known for its de-lignifying ability and oxidative effect (Silverstein et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2014). However, the pretreatment time ranges from 3 d to 30 d, and an extended treatment period is unfavorable for the application of pretreatment technology. Additionally, most alkali pretreatments currently use a large amount of chemical solution and water to soak the substrates, which necessitates the recycling of chemicals, disposal of waste solution, and sometimes high temperatures, which could result in a high facility investment, high treatment cost, and environmental pollution (Zhang and Zhang 1999).

In this study,  $H_2O_2$ ,  $Ca(OH)_2$ , and  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$  were applied as chemical reagents for rice straw pretreatment in the solid state at ambient temperature. A limited amount of water was used to keep the rice straw saturated, but no extra water was present inside of the material. This is why the process is called solid-state chemical pretreatment. It has a number of advantages over conventional chemical pretreatments, such as less water used, no waste solution generated, no waste solution treatment or disposal needed, and no energy input required (He *et al.* 2008). The effects of the solid-state chemical pretreatment at room temperature on the physicochemical properties of the rice straw and biogas production were systematically investigated to determine the optimum pretreatment times for solid-state chemical pretreatment at room temperature. The experimental conditions for the three chemical agents and their optimum concentration levels were selected based on the literature.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Substrates

The air-dried rice straw was collected from an experimental field in Nanjing, China. The straw was cut into approximately 5-mm-sized particles using a grinder (Hummer 900, Taisete, Tianjing, China). After being air-dried, the straw particles were stored at 4 °C $\pm$ 0.5 °C until further use. The total solid (TS) content, volatile solid (VS) content, and

carbon/nitrogen (C/N) ratio of the rice straw in this study were  $91.56\% \pm 0.07\%$ ,  $84.45\% \pm 0.50\%$  (related to the dry mass), and 68.40%, respectively.

Inoculums of anaerobically digested sewage sludge were taken from a wastewater treatment plant in Yangzi Petrochemical Co., Ltd (Nanjing, China). The amount of glucose that was fed into the sludge was 1.5 g/L per day at 35 °C  $\pm$ 1 °C for 1 month, and then the feeding of glucose was stopped. When no biogas production was observed for 1 week, the seed culture was thoroughly mixed and filtered through a screen with a pore size of 833 µm (20 mesh). This was performed to ensure the removal of easily degradable organic matter still present in the inoculums and remove dissolved methane. The pH value, TS content, and VS content of the mesophilic inoculums used were 7.62±0.07, 4.88%±0.01%, and 65.06%±0.09% (related to dry mass), respectively.

#### Pretreatment method

To enhance the anaerobic digestibility of the straw, a solid-state chemical pretreatment methodology was adopted. The three chemicals  $H_2O_2$ ,  $Ca(OH)_2$ , and  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$  were selected and had concentration levels of 3%, 8%, and 5%, respectively, based on the quality. The dried rice straw was homogenized with distilled water at a 1:3 ratio with selected pretreatment times of 6 h, 24 h, 72 h, 120 h, and 168 h, which were recommended by previous research (Song *et al.* 2012; Song *et al.* 2014; Li *et al.* 2015; Liu *et al.* 2015). Additionally, an un-pretreated straw (CK) group was set up with three parallel treatments and analyzed.

The typical procedure of the pretreatment test conducted at room temperature was as follows. The loading was 80 g of raw rice straw and the moisture content was adjusted up to 75% by adding chemical pretreatment agents to a plastic cup. The material was stirred to evenly mix it. After treatment, the rice straw was removed and cooled naturally. The moisture content of the straw was measured for each group after mixing.

#### Digestion tests

The anaerobic digesters used were a series of 1000-mL wide-mouthed bottles with 800 mL of working volume (Fig. 1).



**Fig. 1.** Anaerobic fermentation devices: 1 – sampling orifice; 2 – fermentation flask; 3 – gassampling flask; 4 – vent; 5 – airway; 6 – biogas-collecting flask; 7 – aqueduct; 8 – water-collecting flask; and 9 – constant temperature trough

For each test, the digestion solution, which consisted of 48 g of pretreated straw materials (based on dry matter), 500 g of inoculum, and a certain amount of deionized water as the balance, was subjected to anaerobic digestion. Before that, the pH value of the digestion solution was adjusted to 7.5 and the remaining air in the digestion solution was

removed by nitrogen purging to provide a suitable environment for anaerobic digestion. An untreated sample of rice straw was simultaneously tested as the CK group. All of the digesters were placed in a constant-temperature incubator at 37 °C  $\pm$  1 °C. Anaerobic digestion was terminated when the increase in the cumulative biogas yield was less than 2% for the next sampling within the following 3 d to 5 d, and the cumulative biogas yield was treated as the final biogas yield. The produced biogas was collected *via* a water displacement method and sampled with gas bags by gas chromatography (GC) analysis. Detailed explanations of the anaerobic digesters and digestion testing procedures can be found elsewhere (Li *et al.* 2015).

## **Analytical Methods**

The daily biogas production was directly determined by the volume of displaced water in the graduated cylinder after the mixture was stirred manually. The methane concentration of the biogas was analyzed using GC (GC 9890A, Renhua, Nanjing, China) with a TDC-01 column ( $\phi$  4mm×1 m)(Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and using hydrogen as the carrier gas. The injector, oven, and detector temperatures were 100 °C, 150 °C, and 120 °C, respectively. The carrier gas flow rate was 50 mL/min, and the injection volume of the samples was 0.5 mL (Qian et al. 2016). The detection of TS and VS was done in accordance with the standard methods of Eaton et al. (2005). The total carbon and total nitrogen were analyzed by a CHN Vario EL analyzer (Perkin Elmer, Foster, USA). The pH value was precisely measured from the liquid samples with a digital pH meter (FE20K, Mettler-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland) (Laser et al. 2002). The cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin contents were determined with sequential fiber analysis using the method of Goehring and Van Soest with a FIWE Cellulose Analyzer (Velp Scientifica Company, Rome, Italy) (Van Soest et al. 1991). The cold-water, hot-water, 1% NaOH and benzenealcohol extractives contents were determined were determined with NREL of the U.S. Department of Energy (Sluiter et al. 2005)

## **Statistical Analysis**

All of the analytical results were conducted in triplicate. The values of the different parameters were expressed as the mean and standard deviation. The standard deviations and fitting curve were analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2016 for Windows (Redmond, WA, USA) and Origin 9.0 for Windows (Northampton, MA, USA), respectively. Stepwise regression was used to determine the critical factors impacting the residual statistical analysis (SAs) in the liquid digestates. Multiple means were compared with a least significant difference test at a P value of 0.05 and 0.01. These statistical analysis were performed using the software SPSS (v. 21.0, IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Characteristics of the Biogas Production

Volumetric biogas production rate

The volumetric biogas production rate is an important parameter in biogas fermentation. With the same reactor volume, more methane can be produced if the volumetric gas production rate is high, which means that a high biogas production can be achieved by adopting a smaller fermentation system and it saves on the construction area and investment cost for a biogas plant (Han *et al.* 2011).

Figure 2 shows the volume biogas production rates for the different chemical treatment solutions. The volumetric biogas production rates of the rice straw pretreated by the three chemical treatment solutions with different pretreatment times were similar, except for the CK group. The results showed that the volumetric biogas production reached a maximum value on the third day, but the peak value was different among the different pretreatment times for each treatment agent. The peak pretreatment times for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and NH<sub>3</sub>  $\cdot$  H<sub>2</sub>O were 168 h, 72 h, and 72 h, and the peak values were 1.26 L/(L  $\cdot$  d), 1.26 L/(L·d), and 1.29 L/(L·d), respectively. After maintaining a short period of highvolume biogas production, the rates decreased gradually and then gradually increased to a second peak biogas production on the eighth day. After that, all of the production rates of the treatment groups decreased slowly, and the only peak biogas production value of 0.98  $L/(L \cdot d)$  was reached on the fourth day of fermentation with the CK group. According to the results for the volume biogas production, the average volumetric biogas production rates from straw fermentation were the highest with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at 168 h, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> at 72 h, and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O at 120 h, and the values were 0.65 L/(L·d), 0.70 L/(L·d), and 0.66 L/(L·d), respectively. The results showed that pretreatment with the three chemical treatments could improve the volumetric biogas production rate from anaerobic fermentation of rice straw, and the average volumetric biogas production rates with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 168 h, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> for 72 h, and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O for 120 h were 35.4%, 45.8%, and 37.5% higher than that of the CK group, respectively. Also, the rate of the group treated with Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> for 72 h was the best among the three chemical treatments.



**Fig. 2.** Volumetric biogas production rates for the treatments with (a)  $Ca(OH)_2$ , (b)  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$ , and (c)  $H_2O_2$ 

## Biogas yield

The biogas yield of the raw material is the biogas produced in the fermentation process from the unit weight of the TS, VS, or organic matter of the fermentation material (Feng 2008). The variation in the biogas produced from the VS with the different chemical treatment solutions is shown in Fig. 3.



**Fig. 3.** Changes in the cumulative biogas yield during anaerobic digestion production rate and methane production rate for the treatments with (a)  $Ca(OH)_2$ , (b)  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$ , and (c)  $H_2O_2$ ; the  $Ca(OH)_2$  treatment for 72 h was the best of the three chemical treatments.

The overall trend of biogas produced from the VS was the rapid growth in the early stage, which slowed afterwards. Then, the biogas yield gradually reached a stable value. Compared with the CK group, the biogas yield from VS in the straw increased obviously after pretreatment with the three chemical treatment solutions. However, the variation trend in the biogas yield from VS was different from the chemical treatment solutions following the increased pretreatment time, among which the treatments with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 168 h, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> for 72 h, and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O for 120 h were the highest. The values were 386.6 mL/g VS, 413.5 mL/g VS, and 390.5 mL/g VS, which were increases of 35.8%, 45.2%, and 37.2% compared with the CK group, respectively. There was no significant difference between the treatments with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 168 h and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O for120 h (P> 0.05), and there was a significant difference between those two treatments and the treatment with Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> for 72 h (P< 0.01). The methanogenic rate of the three optimum chemical treatments was 182.6 mL/g VS to 198.0 mL/g VS, which was 31.7% to 42.8% higher than that from the CK group at the end of the experiment (Table 1). These results were similar to those of Song

et al. (2012). Rice straw pretreated with these chemical solutions can improve biogas production.

Table 1. Biogas Production	and Methane	Content from	the Different	Treatments
during Anaerobic Digestion				

Pretreatment	Pretreatment Biogas Yield (mL/gVS)		Methane Yield (mL/gVS)			
СК	284.70±3.20 C	46.05±1.91 a	138.65±1.44 C			
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 168h	386.57±2.75 Ba	46.14±1.24 a	182.57±1.57 Ba			
Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub> –72h	413.46±2.52 A	46.63±2.62 a	198.03±1.18 A			
NH₃∙H₂O–120h	390.52±3.25 Ba	46.54±1.38 a	185.2±2.31 Ba			
Note: The same column data marked with the same letters within the same factors indicate no significant difference ( $P$ > 0.05), and data marked with different letters have a significant difference ( $P$ < 0.05)						

#### Methane content

The change in the methane content in biogas can reflect the quality of biogas production from the anaerobic fermentation of rice straw after pretreatment. Figure 4 shows that the change trend of the treated groups was similar.





It is worth mentioning that the significant difference in the average methane content was investigated between the three chemical treatment groups and CK group during 30 d of fermentation. There was no significant difference between the different treatments (P>0.05), which indicated that the three chemical treatments used had little effect on the biogas content produced through anaerobic fermentation of rice straw.

The methane content increased rapidly at the beginning of the fermentation process, continued to increase after a small decrease, then gradually decreased, and finally stabilized at approximately 50%. There was no significant difference in the methane content for the different pretreatment times, but the time to reach the peak methane content was different compared with the CK group. The methane contents of the three chemical treatment solutions peaked after 12 d, among which the treatments with  $H_2O_2$  for 168 h, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> for 72 h, and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O for 120 h resulted in the highest contents at 60.7%, 62.2%, and 64.4%, respectively. The peak value the CK group reached after 20 d of fermentation was 57.1%.

#### Changes in the Physical-chemical Properties of the Straw

Changes in the lignocellulose composition

The straw structure contains a large amount of lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose, which are crosslinked with each other and makes it difficult to degrade the straw. This special and complex structure restricts the efficiency of anaerobic fermentation of straw to produce biogas (Zhao 2011). Therefore, it is of great practical use to study various methods to pretreat raw materials to improve the biogas yield effectively (Zhao *et al.* 2017).

The effects of the three chemical treatments on the straw fiber components were different (Table 2). This was caused by the difference in the sensitivity of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin to pretreatment (Chen *et al.* 2009). With the prolongation of the pretreatment time, the hemicellulose and lignin contents decreased after treatment with  $H_2O_2$  and Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, while the cellulose content increased. Also, the hemicellulose content decreased. The lignin content remained unchanged, and the cellulose content increased slightly after treatment with  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$ .

The hemicellulose and lignin contents decreased by 14.7% to 41.8% and 9.2% to 26.8% compared with the CK group, respectively. The hemicellulose and lignin contents in the Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> treatment group decreased by 31.8% to 47.0% and 18.0% to 41.8%, respectively. The hemicellulose content in the NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O-pretreated groups decreased by 0.32% to 33.9%. This result was different from that of immersion in 4% NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O for 30 d (Yang *et al.* 2003), which was similar to the results of Zhao *et al.* (2015) and Song (2013). This was probably because the impregnation and osmotic effect of the reagent is better than that of the soaking pretreatment.

In short, the lignocellulose components were partially removed with the chemical treatments, and the encapsulated cellulose was released so that the anaerobic microorganisms could gain access to more cellulose. As a result, the anaerobic digestibility of the rice straw was improved.

#### Changes in the straw extractives

By analyzing the contents of the extractives with different solvents, it could become clear whether pretreatment can produce more substances that are beneficial to anaerobic digestion, and the extractives contents from different solvents can be analyzed (He *et al.* 2008). The substances that dissolve in water from fiber raw materials are tannins, pigments, carbohydrates, plant tools, cyclic polyols, and some inorganic salts.

Table 2. Changes in the Lignocellulose Components Before and After	
Pretreatment with Straw	

Treatment		Hemicellulose (%)	Cellulose (%)	Lignin (%)	
	СК	41.23±0.33 A	22.58±0.18 F	15.44±0.26 A	
	6 h	35.17±0.19 B	30.40±0.17 D	14.02±0.09 B	
	24 h	28.72±0.36 Cc	32.23±0.11 C	13.10±0.18 CDb	
370 H2U2	72 h	28.89±0.13 Cc	34.93±0.19 B	12.75±0.11 Db	
	120 h	23.98±0.15 D	38.45±0.15 A	11.31±0.10 E	
	168 h	29.25±0.31 Cc	29.48±0.13 E	13.50±0.05 Ca	
	СК	41.23±0.33 A	22.58±0.18 F	15.44±0.26 A	
	6 h	28.10±0.13 B	29.36±0.03 E	12.66±0.06 B	
8% Ca(OH)a	24 h	24.54±0.07 Cb	32.77±0.06 D	10.86±0.12 C	
	72 h	21.86±0.07 E	34.45±0.17 C	10.02±0.09 D	
	120 h	23.24±0.03 D	35.94±0.03 A	9.32±0.07 Ea	
	168 h	25.07±0.15 Ca	35.55±0.07 B	8.99±0.11 Eb	
	СК	41.23±0.33 Aa	22.58±0.18 Dc	15.44±0.26 BCbc	
5% NH₃∙H₂O	6 h	41.10±0.09 Aa	22.55±0.04 Dc	15.19±0.20 Cc	
	24 h	37.12±0.10 B	23.21±0.08 C	15.34±0.07 BCc	
	72 h	32.17±0.10 C	24.27±0.06 Bb	15.90±0.18 ABba	
	120 h	30.41±0.05 D	24.63±0.12 Ba	15.79±0.12 ABCab	
	168 h	27.27±0.12 E	26.07±0.10 A	16.15±0.12 Aa	

Note: The same column data marked with the same letters within the same factors indicate no significant difference (P> 0.05), and data marked with different letters have a significant difference (P< 0.05)

Most of these water-soluble substances can be exploited by microorganisms, and hot solutions can dissolve furfural acid and decayed raw material components because of action from light, heat, oxidation, and bacterial spoilage, in addition to extracting water extract and some lignin, pentose, poly-hexose, and resin acid from the raw materials. A solution of benzene-alcohol can dissolve resins, waxes, fats, tannins, pigments, some carbohydrates, and trace amounts of lignin. The cell wall components in rice straw, such as resins, waxes, and fats, are difficult to deconstruct by microorganisms (Yang 2001).

The contents of the cold-water, hot-water, and 1% NaOH extractives increased by various degrees with the prolongation of the pretreatment time compared with the CK group (Table 3). Among them, the contents of the cold-water, hot-water, and 1% NaOH extractives increased by 43.1% to 92.1%, 25.2% to 59.9%, and 44.4% to 55.4% in the  $H_2O_2$  treatment group, respectively, increased by 116.5% to 243.7%, 88.9% to 159.2%, and 21.8% to 34.6% in the Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> treatment group, respectively, and increased by 12.5% to 56.9%, 43.1% to 70.6%, and 24.1% to 36.9% in the NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O treatment group, respectively. Generally speaking, the chemical structure of the water extract was simple and the molecular weight was small compared with the cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin components. Therefore, it is easily used by anaerobic microorganisms, while the 1% NaOH extractives can dissolve some substances that are not conducive to anaerobic fermentation, and the lignocellulose component was fully exposed and degraded by fermentation microorganisms. Therefore, the increase in the cold-water, hot-water, and 1% NaOH extractives was beneficial to improving the biodegradability of the rice straw.

At the same time, the benzene-alcohol extractives content in the rice straw after pretreatment with  $H_2O_2$ , Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O decreased by 36.2% to 57.5%, 20.7% to 58.4%, and 39.6% to 54.6%, respectively, compared with the CK group. The benzenealcohol extracts content decreased, which indicated that the cell wall structure of the rice straw could not only be destroyed by the three chemical pretreatments and lignocellulose was degraded into small molecules, but also some of the straw resin, wax, fat, and other components that are not conducive to the biological utilization of straw can be effectively removed. This is beneficial to the subsequent anaerobic digestion process. This result was similar to that of He *et al.* (2008).

Treatment		Cold-water extractives (%)	Hot-water extractives (%)	1% NaOH extractives (%)	Benzene- alcohol extractives (%)	
	CK	6.47±0.09 E	10.06±0.15 D	27.38±0.08 D	10.87±0.51 A	
	6h	9.26±0.11 D	12.59±0.17 C	39.54±0.14 C	6.94±0.15 Ba	
20/ 14.0	24h	9.67±0.06 C	13.17±0.16 B	40.96±0.10 B	6.80±0.18 Ba	
3% <b>⊓</b> 2 <b>∪</b> 2	72h	10.41±0.13 B	15.60±0.23 Ab	42.51±0.12 Aa	6.00±0.03 Cb	
	120h	12.43±0.14 Aa	15.55±0.14 Ab	42.55±0.14 Aa	6.24±0.08 Cb	
	168h	12.19±0.14 Aa	16.09±0.15 Aa	42.32±0.03 Aa	4.62±0.13 D	
	CK	6.47±0.09 F	10.06±0.15 F	27.38±0.08 E	10.87±0.51 A	
	6h	14.01±0.06 E	19.00±0.17 E	33.35±0.02 D	5.18±0.11 Db	
	24h	16.76±0.18 D	19.49±0.05 D	34.56±0.19 C	8.62±0.14 B	
0 % Ca(OH)2	72h	18.51±0.05 C	19.89±0.05 C	36.17±0.11 Ba	4.52±0.10 D	
	120h	19.09±0.07 B	21.92±0.06 B	36.86±0.05 A	5.54±0.14 Da	
	168h	22.24±0.09 A	26.07±0.14 A	36.12±0.07 Ba	6.04±0.20 C	
5% NH₃∙H₂O	CK	6.47±0.09 E	10.06±0.15 E	27.38±0.08 E	10.87±0.51 A	
	6h	7.28±0.06 Da	14.65±0.11 Da	37.48±0.11 Aa	6.48±0.03 Ba	
	24h	7.46±0.08 Da	15.89±0.11 B	35.78±0.05 C	6.56±0.07 Ba	
	72h	9.30±0.12 B	15.30±0.05 C	36.49±0.09 B	5.50±0.09 Cb	
	120h	10.15±0.07 A	17.16±0.12 A	37.22±0.05 Ab	5.24±0.14 CDc	
	168h	8.60±0.10 C	14.40±0.08 Da	33.97±0.07 D	4.94±0.16 Dd	

Tahla 3	Changes in	tha	Extractives	Refore and	∆ftor	Protroatmont	of the	Straw
I able 5.	Changes in	แษ		Delute allu	AILEI	FIEllealineil		Sliaw

Note: The same column data marked with the same letters within the same factors indicate no significant difference (P> 0.05), and data marked with different letters have a significant difference (P< 0.05)

## Discussion

McInerney *et al.* (1979) proposed the theory of three stages of anaerobic fermentation. First, the complex insoluble macromolecules are hydrolyzed into soluble small molecule organics by the hydrolytic bacteria, then it is permeated through the cells to decompose into volatile organic acids, alcohols, and aldehydes. Finally, hydrogen and oxygen are produced. Volatile acids are mainly produced in the acid production stage, including acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid, which account for 80% and the largest proportion is acetic acid (Isci and Demirer 2007; Song 2007). Finally, the methanogenic stage is decomposed by methanogens to form methane and carbon dioxide, and hydrogen can also be used to reduce carbon dioxide to form methane or transform formic acid to methane.

**Table 4**. Correlation Analysis of the Physical-chemical Indicators of the Rice Straw Obtained Before and After

 Pretreatment with Different Reagents

Physical-chemical Indices	Cold-water extractives	Hot-water extractives	1% NaOH extractives	Benzene- alcohol extractives	Hemicellulose	Cellulose	Lignin
Cold-water extractives	1.000						
Hot-water extractives	0.917**	1.000					
1% NaOH extractives	0.042	0.021	1.000				
Benzene-alcohol extractives	-0.282	-0.410	-0.492	1.000			
Hemicellulose	-0.787**	-0.666**	-0.298	0.492	1.000		
Cellulose	0.727**	0.525*	0.495	-0.218	-0.811**	1.000	
Lignin	-0.919**	-0.760**	-0.193	0.124	0.740**	-0.879**	1.000
Note: * significant correlation (P<0.05); ** highly significant correlation (P< 0.01)							

For plant materials, such as crop stalk, their biodegradability depends on the extent to which cellulose and hemicellulose are wrapped in lignin. Cellulose and hemicellulose are biodegradable, while lignin is difficult to degrade. When lignin is wrapped on the surface of cellulose and hemicellulose, it is difficult for the enzymes to hydrolyze the cellulose and hemicellulose. Therefore, the hydrolysis stage is the rate limiting step of the process in anaerobic biological treatment of biomass with a high fiber content (Kübler *et al.* 2000; Pavlostathis *et al.* 2007). Therefore, the purpose of straw pretreatment is to remove lignin and hemicellulose, reduce the cellulose crystallinity, increase cellulose accessibility, and improve the enzymatic hydrolysis of rice straw (Mata-Alvarez *et al.* 2000; Hendriks and Zeeman 2009).

The contents of the cold-water and hot-water extractives negatively correlated with the hemicellulose and lignin (P < 0.01), which indicated that the increase in the water extractive was mainly because of the degradation of hemicellulose and lignin in the rice straw. The increase in the lignin content was mainly because of the concentration effect caused by the decrease in the cellulose and hemicellulose contents, which was related to a highly significant negative correlation between the cellulose content, and hemicellulose and lignin contents in Table 4.

A solid state NaOH pre-treatment method was developed (Pang *et al.* 2008), but it could not be commercialized because it needs 30 days just as pretreatment time which is not feasible on a commercial scale and the wet state NaOH pretreatment is better because of its lesser treatment time (3 days) and due to more water availability, solubilization and swelling of the lignin is very fast (Zheng *et al.* 2009). However, the methods that were less than the 7-day pretreatment cycle were screened out in this study. Although processing cycles than the wet state pretreatment is long, but it can avoid the occurrence of secondary pollution. Therefore, the solid-state pretreatment method in this study is more adaptable to the requirements of environmental protection and high processing cycle occasions with low requirements.

# CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The chemicals H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O were found to differently enhance the lignocellulosic digestibility and biogas production of rice straw. The biogas yields from the treatments with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 168 h, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> for 72 h, and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O for 120 h were 386.6 mL/g VS, 413.5 mL/g VS, and 390.5 mL/g VS, which were 35.8%, 45.2%, and 37.2% higher than the CK group, respectively.
- 2. The cold-water, hot-water, and 1%NaOH extractive contents increased by various degrees with the prolongation of the pretreatment time compared with the CK group, but the benzene-alcohol extractives content gradually decreased.
- 3. To shorten pretreatment time for three kinds of chemical solutions is feasibility.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the National Key Technology Research and Development Program of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (No. 2017YFD0800802), and the Jiangsu Provincial Academy of Agricultural Sciences Fund (No. 6111629).

# **REFERENCES CITED**

- Chen, S.-x., Yong, Q., Xu, Y., Zhu, J.-j., and Yu, S.-y. (2009). "Effect of steam-explosion pretreatment on chemical components and cellulosic structure of corn stalk," *Chemistry and Industry of Forest Products* 29(S1), 33-38.
- Eaton, A. D., Clesceri, L. S., Rice, E. W., Greenberg, A. E., and Franson, M. A. H.
  (2005). *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, American Public Health Association, Washington, DC.
- Feng, X. (2008). Research of the Organic Matter of High Concentration in Anaerobic Decomposition, Master's Thesis, University of Xi Hua, Chengdu, China.
- Gao, Z. (2004). *An Experimental Study on Effective Anaerobic Digestion of Corn Stalk*, Master's Thesis, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing, China.
- Han, J., Xiang, X., Cheng, H., and Liu, L. (2011). "Effects of aerobic fermentation pretreatment on dry anaerobic fermentation gas production and its energy loss," *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering* 27(12), 246-249. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-6819.2011.12.046
- He, Y., Pang, Y., Liu, Y., Li, X., and Wang, K. (2008). "Physicochemical characterization of rice straw pretreated with sodium hydroxide in the solid state for enhancing biogas production," *Energ. Fuel.* 22(4), 2775-2781. DOI: 10.1021/ef8000967
- Hendriks, A. T. W. M., and Zeeman, G. (2009). "Pretreatments to enhance the digestibility of lignocellulosic biomass," *Bioresour. Technol.* 100(1), 10-18. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2008.05.027
- Isci, A., and Demirer, G. N. (2007). "Biogas production potential from cotton wastes," *Renew. Energ.* 32(5), 750-757.DOI:10.1016/j.renene.2006.03.018
- Karuna, N., Zhang, L., Walton, J. H., Couturier, M., Oztop, M. H., Master, E. R., McCarthy, M. J., and Jeoh, T. (2014). "The impact of alkali pretreatment and postpretreatment conditioning on the surface properties of rice straw affecting cellulose accessibility to cellulases," *Bioresour. Technol.* 167, 232-240. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2014.05.122
- Khor, W.C., Rabaey, K., and Vervaeren, H. (2015). "Low temperature calcium hydroxide treatment enhances anaerobic methane production from (extruded) biomass," *Bioresour. Technol.* 176, 181-188. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2014.11.037
- Kübler, H., Hoppenheidt, K., Hirsch, P., Kottmair, A., Nimmrichter, R., Nordsieck, H., Mücke, W., and Swerev, M. (2000). "Full scale co-digestion of organic waste," *Water Sci. Technol.* 41(3), 195-202.
- Laser, M., Schulman, D., Allen, S. G., Lichwa, J., Antal Jr., M. J., and Lynd, L. R. (2002). "A comparison of liquid hot water and steam pretreatments of sugar cane bagasse for bioconversion to ethanol," *Bioresour. Technol.* 81(1), 33-44. DOI: 10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00103-1
- Li, C., Zhang, G., Zhang, Z., Ma, D., and Xu, G. (2016). "Alkaline thermal pretreatment at mild temperatures for biogas production from anaerobic digestion of antibiotic mycelial residue," *Bioresour. Technol.* 208, 49-57. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2016.02.064
- Li, L., Chen, C., Zhang, R., He, Y., Wang, W., and Liu, G. (2015). "Pretreatment of corn stover for methane production with the combination of potassium hydroxide and calcium hydroxide," *Energ. Fuel.* 29(9), 5841-5846. DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.5b01170

- Liu, N., and Shi, S.-l. (2005). "Research progress of converting lignocellulose to produce fuel ethanol," *Modern Chemical Industry* 3, 19-24.
- Liu, X., Zicari, S. M., Liu, G., Li, Y., and Zhang, R. (2015). "Improving the bioenergy production from wheat straw with alkaline pretreatment," *Biosyst. Eng.* 140, 59-66. DOI: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2015.09.006
- Mata-Alvarez, J., Macé, S., and Llabrés, P. (2000). "Anaerobic digestion of organic solid wastes. An overview of research achievements and perspectives," *Bioresour*. *Technol*. 74(1), 3-16. DOI: 10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00023-7
- McInerney, M. J., Bryant, M. P., and Pfennig, N. (1979). "Anaerobic bacterium that degrades fatty acids in syntrophic association with methanogens," *Arch. Microbiol.* 122(2), 129-135. DOI: 10.1007/BF00411351
- Pang, Y.-z., Li, X.-j., and Luo, Q.-m. (2005). "Effect of temperature and chemical pretreatment on anaerobic biogasification of cornstalk," *Chin. J. Bioprocess Eng.* 3(1), 37-41.
- Pavlostathis, S. G., Miller, T. L., and Wolin, M. J. (2007). "Kinetics of insoluble cellulose fermentation by cultures of *Ruminococcus albus*," *Appl. Environ Microb*. 54(11), 2660-2663.
- Qian, Y., Du, J., Chen, G., Huang, H., Jin, H., Xi, Y., Xu, Y., and Chang, Z. (2016).
  "Optimization of conditions for promoting biogas production with hydrothermal pretreatment for straw," *China Environmental Science* 36(12), 3703-3710.
- Qin, G., Liu, R., and Sun, C. (2011). "Effects of different concentrations of NaOH pretreatment on anaerobic digestion of rice straw for biogas production," *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering* 27(S1), 59-63. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-6819.2011.z1.012
- Sawatdeenarunat, C., Surendra, K. C., Takara, D., Oechsner, H., and Khanal, S. K. (2015). "Anaerobic digestion of lignocellulosic biomass: Challenges and opportunities," *Bioresour. Technol.* 178, 178-186. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.103
- Silverstein, R. A., Chen, Y., Sharma-Shivappa, R. R., Boyette, M. D., and Osborne, J. (2007). "A comparison of chemical pretreatment methods for improving saccharification of cotton stalks," *Bioresour. Technol.* 98(16), 3000-3011. DOI:10.1016/j.biortech.2006.10.022
- Sluiter, A., Ruiz, R., Scarlata, C., Sluiter, J., and Templeton, D. (2005). "Determination of extractives in biomass," National Renewable Energy Laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy (NREL).
- Song, H. (2007). *Practical Technology of Biogas in Rural Areas*, Chemical Industry Press, Beijing, China.
- Song, Z., Yang, G., Zhang, T., Feng, Y., Ren, G., and Han, X. (2012). "Effect of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> pretreatment on biogas production of rice straw fermentation," *Transactions* of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering 28(19), 207-213. DOI:10.3969/j.issn.1002-6819.2012.19.028
- Song, Z. (2013). Optimization of Straw Pretreatment for Anaerobic Fermentation and Analysis of Economic Practicability, Ph.D. Dissertation, Northwest A&F University, Xianyang, China.
- Song, Z., Sun, X., Yang, G., Yan, X., Yuan, Y., Li, D., Li, X., and Liu, X. (2014). "Effect of NaOH pretreatment on methane yield of corn straw at different temperatures by anaerobic digestion," *CIESC Journal* 65(5), 1876-1882. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.0438-1157.2014.05.045

- Van Soest, P. J., Robertson, J. B., and Lewis, B. A. (1991). "Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition," *J. Dairy Sci.* 74, 3583-3597. DOI: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78551-2
- Xu, J., Cheng, J. J., Sharma-Shivappa, R. R., and Burns, J. C. (2010). "Lime pretreatment of switchgrass at mild temperatures for ethanol production," *Bioresour. Technol.* 101(8), 2900-2903.DOI:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.12.015
- Yang, S. (2001). Plant Fiber Chemistry, China Light Industry Press, Beijing, China.
- Yang, D., Li, X., Gao, Z., and Wang, Y. (2003). "Improving biogas production of corn stalk through chemical and biological pretreatment: A preliminary comparison study," *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering* 19(5), 209-213.
- Zhang, R., and Zhang, Z. (1999). "Biogasification of rice straw with anaerobic-phased solids digester system," *Bioresour. Technol.* 68(3), 235-245. DOI: 10.1016/S0960-8524(98)00154-0
- Zhao, L. (2011). Study on the Characteristic of Corn Stalk Dry Anaerobic Fermentation Based on Bio-pretreatment and the Utilization of Residue Media, Master's Thesis, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang, China.
- Zhao, C., Shao, Q., Li, B., and Ding, W. (2014). "Comparison of hydrogen peroxide and ammonia pretreatment of corn stover: Solid recovery, composition changes, and enzymatic hydrolysis," *Energ. Fuel*.28(10), 6392-6397. DOI: 10.1021/ef5013837
- Zhao, L., Li, S., Wang, C., Zhang, M., Gu, S., and Liu, Q. (2017). "Effects of different pretreatments on fiber component characteristics of corn straw," *Journal of Shenyang Agricultural University* 48(2), 244-249.
- Zhao, C., Cui, X., Liu, G., Zhang, R., and Chen, C. (2015). "Recent progress in study on technology for pretreating corn stalk to improve biogas production capacity of anaerobic digesters," *Journal of Ecology and Rural Environment* 31(5), 640-646.
- Zheng, Y., Zhao, J., Xu, F., and Li, Y. (2014). "Pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass for enhanced biogas production," *Prog. Energ. Combust.* 42, 35-53. DOI: 10.1016/j.pecs.2014.01.001

Article submitted: January 18, 2019; Peer review completed: March 18, 2019; Revised version received and accepted: March 23, 2019; Published: March 26, 2019. DOI: 10.15376/biores.14.2.3808-3822