Synthesis of Maleic Acid from Biomass-Derived Furfural in the Presence of KBr/Graphitic Carbon Nitride (g-C_3N_4) Catalyst and Hydrogen Peroxide
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A one-step, liquid-phase hydrogen peroxide reaction system, which was heterogeneously catalyzed by KBr-doped graphitic carbon nitride (KBr/g-C_3N_4), was developed for the conversion of furfural to maleic acid (MA). At first, a 68.0% MA yield was achieved in a homogeneous reaction system catalyzed by KBr-KOH. Next, a series of K-doped g-C_3N_4 catalysts with various potassium salts (KBr, KCl, KNO_3, and KOH) was synthesized and tested for the conversion of furfural to MA, 2-buten-1,4-olide (FRO), and succinic acid (SA). When comparing the various K-doped g-C_3N_4 catalysts, KBr/g-C_3N_4 enhanced MA selectivity, which resulted in complete furfural conversion and a 70.4% MA yield (at 100 °C for 180 min). Furthermore, a synergistic interaction was observed between KBr and the g-C_3N_4 support, which could explain why KBr/g-C_3N_4 had the highest MA selectivity.
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INTRODUCTION

Maleic acid (MA), as an important chemical intermediate; it is widely used by chemical manufacturers to produce resins, lubricant additives, surface coatings, vinyl co-polymers, and agricultural chemicals (Roa Engel et al. 2008). It has an industrial demand of up to 1,800,000 tons per year (Wojcieszak et al. 2015). Maleic acid is industrially produced from the aerobic oxidation of butane, benzene, and butadiene, which are derived from petroleum oil, which has environmental issues (Guo and Yin 2011; Araji et al. 2017). In this regard, an alternative method was developed to achieve a simple and efficient reaction route for MA production. Furfural is an organic intermediate that is derived from biomass sources, such as corncob, corn stover, wheat bran, oat, straw, and sawdust (Xu et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2016). The wide and renewable availability of furfural makes it a great resource for selectively producing MA (Du et al. 2011; Li et al. 2016).

Currently, the production of MA from furfural has been reported using various gas-phase and liquid-phase catalytic oxidation systems. In the field of catalytic aerobic oxidation of furfural, Guo and Yin (2011) reported that phosphomolybdic acid catalyst had 50.4% furfural conversion and 34.5% MA yield when used at optimum reaction conditions; the authors used an aqueous/organic bi-phasic medium, where the oxidation occurred in
the aqueous phase, whereas the organic phase contained the furfural and gradually released it to the aqueous phase as it was consumed. Li et al. (2016a) developed a heterogeneous catalytic system that used Mo-V metal oxides as a catalyst to achieve a 65% MA yield when using an acetic acid medium and an oxygen atmosphere at 120 °C for 12 h. These investigators demonstrated that the reaction medium played a crucial role with respect to the performance of the catalyst. Alonso-Fagundez et al. (2012) observed a maximum 70% maleic anhydride yield from furfural using selective gas-phase oxidation at 320 °C with a VOx/Al2O3 catalyst. Other researchers have investigated the production of MA from furfural using hydrogen peroxide in a liquid-phase medium. However, it is a very complicated to produce MA from furfural with H2O2 as an oxidant because of the presence of two oxidizable functional groups of furfural (C=C and C=O groups) (Kul’nevich and Badovskaya 1975). Choudhary et al. (2013) studied the catalytic aerobic oxidation of furfural to succinic acid (SA) and MA using Amberlyst-15 resin as a catalyst, which demonstrated that sulfonic acid groups, as well as sulfonyl groups, in the resin can affect the catalytic activity. Li et al. (2016b) established a simplified reaction network to analyze the solvent effect; furthermore, they optimized the product yield by changing the catalyst/furfural molar ratio, furfural concentration, and reaction temperature. The authors proposed a possible reaction pathway whereby the formic acid reacted with hydrogen peroxide to produce performic acid, which acted as the oxidant in the bi-phasic reaction medium. Based on the result of Li et al. (2016b), an enhanced reaction system was proposed by Li et al. (2017), who observed 95% MA yield when using formic acid as the catalyst, H2O2 as the oxidant, and furfural as the substrate (Li et al. 2017). However, the extra water added into the above system reduced the MA yield considerably. For example, when a 1:3 formic acid-to-water ratio was used, the MA yield was only 13%. Araji et al. (2017) reported the successful furfural conversion to maleic and fumaric acid (> 90% yield) when using betaine hydrochloride as the catalyst. In addition to furfural as the substrate for MA and maleic anhydride production, some investigators have examined glucose as a substrate for succinic acid production (Podolean et al. 2016; Rizescu et al. 2017).

In addition, there has been some discussion about the possible mechanism of furfural oxidation to MA. Some studies have demonstrated that furoic acid (FA) is an important intermediate in the furfural oxidation reaction; furoic acid can undergo a decarboxylation reaction to form furan, which can then be oxidized by H2O2 to generate C4 products (Badovskaya et al. 2014). However, Danon et al. (2013) proposed that 2-formyloxyfuran is the crucial intermediate. Xiang et al. (2016) investigated the oxidation of furfural with H2O2 and H2O2-Mg(OH)2 systems; the authors proposed that the addition of Mg(OH)2 improves the selectivity of 2-buten-1,4-olide (44.8%) and succinic acid (38.0%) yields with the complete conversion of the furfural substrate. Furthermore, the oxidation of the ring of furfural is suppressed by synergistic interactions between Mg2+ and HO· ions, where HO· helps to ionize H2O2 to form HO2·; the generated HO2· attacks the carbonyl group of the furan ring instead of the carbon-carbon double bond. Alonso-Fagundez et al. (2014) used titanium silicalite (TS-1) as a catalyst and H2O2 as an oxidant to achieve 80% MA yield. These authors proposed an epoxidation mechanism for the furan ring with the subsequent release of formic acid. In fact, a detailed reaction mechanism requires further efforts, which will be a direction for future studies.

Based on a large number of related reports on the synthesis of MA, the major drawbacks of homogeneous system are the required product-catalyst separation, low MA
selectivity, and difficulty in recycling. And inspired by the function of HO• postulated in the report of Xiang et al. (2016), a new catalytic reaction system using KBr and HO• as a combined catalyst system was explored for the oxidation of furfural to MA. Furthermore, it is known that homogeneous catalysts are difficult to recover. Thus, a novel heterogeneous catalyst composed of the Brønsted base graphitic carbon nitride (g-C3N4) (Lin et al. 2016) with KBr was designed and subsequently used to convert furfural into MA. A comprehensive study was carried out to explain the remarkable catalytic activity for MA production of the KBr/ g-C3N4 catalyst.

In this study, to intensify the production of MA, a novel KBr/g-C3N4 catalyst was employed, and the results indicated that the presence of KBr and the support of g-C3N4 are beneficial for selective synthesis of MA. A catalytic system (water and H2O2) was applied as solvent that embodied the characteristic of green chemistry. Furthermore, the effects of reaction conditions including reaction temperature, water contents, retention time, catalyst species, and structure of substrate were investigated.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

KBr, KNO3, KCl, KOH, NaOH, NaBr, H2O2, and urea were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Maleic acid, 2-buten-1,4-olide (2(5H)-Furanone, FRO), succinic acid, furfural, 5-hydroxymethyl furfural, and 2-furoic acid were obtained from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). All chemicals were used as received without further purification.

Catalyst Preparation

Graphitic carbon nitride (g-C3N4) was prepared by the method of Zhang et al. (2012) with slight modifications. Urea powder (10 g) was added to a crucible. Next, the crucible was covered and placed in a muffle furnace. The furnace was heated to 550 °C from room temperature at a speed of 5 °C /min. The furnace temperature was kept at 550 °C for 4 h. Afterwards, the covered crucible was removed from the furnace and cooled to room temperature.

The KBr/g-C3N4 was prepared in a similar manner as the above g-C3N4. KBr (0.5 g), urea (10 g), and distilled water (30 mL) were mixed at room temperature; the solution was dried in an oven at 80 °C overnight. Afterwards, the dried mixture was calcined in a covered crucible in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 4 h, which was heated from room temperature at a rate of 5 °C /min. Afterwards, the crucible was removed from the furnace and cooled to room temperature.

The regeneration of the spent KBr/g-C3N4 catalyst was accomplished by mixing the recovered KBr/g-C3N4 (1 g) with KBr (50 mg) and deionized water (30 mL) at room temperature. This mixture was dried and calcined using the same method as described for the original KBr/g-C3N4 catalyst.

TiO2 and CeO2 were doped with K in accordance with the method of Wang et al. (2017) and Takase et al. (2014) with some modifications. A solution was made by mixing KBr (0.1 g), TiO2 (2 g), and deionized water (30 mL) at 600 rpm for 24 h; the resulting solution was dried in an oven at 80 °C. Afterwards, the dried solid was calcined at 550 °C.

using the same method described for the KBr/g-C$_3$N$_4$ catalyst. The calcined catalyst was ground and stored in a drying vessel until needed. The K-doped CeO$_2$ catalyst was made using the same procedure as that for the K-doped TiO$_2$ catalyst.

**Experimental Method**

The catalytic reaction of furfural was used to test the catalytic ability of laboratory-made catalysts. Typically, the experiments were performed in a sealed thick-walled glass tube (15 mL). To this tube was added furfural (96 mg), catalyst (50 mg), H$_2$O$_2$ (1 mL), and distilled water (2 mL). Then, the tube was heated to a given reaction temperature and maintained at that temperature for a specified time in a preheated oil bath. The glass tube was then cooled to room temperature when the reaction was complete. Finally, the resulting products were separated from the solid catalyst by filtration and stored at 4 °C prior to analysis.

To evaluate the catalytic activity of potassium cations, the hot-filtration experiment was carried out and as follows: 100 mg KBr/g-C$_3$N$_4$ and 4 mL distilled water were heated at 100 °C for 3 h. The catalyst and filtrates are separated by filtration. Then 2 mL filtrate, 1 mmol furfural and 1 mL H$_2$O$_2$ were added into thick-walled glass tube and reacted at 100 °C for 3 h. Furthermore, the above catalyst was dried at oven and also used to analyze the catalytic activity: 50 mg catalyst, 2 mL distilled water, 1 mmol furfural and 1 mL H$_2$O$_2$ were heated at 100 °C for 3 h.

**Characterization of Catalyst**

The morphologies and structural features of the catalysts were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (JEM-2010Plus TEM, JEOL USA; Peabody, MA, USA) and by TEM-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (TEM-EDS). X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to determine the crystallinity of the samples with Cu Kα radiation. The alkali measurement of K-doped g-C$_3$N$_4$ was performed using CO$_2$-temperature programmed desorption (CO$_2$-TPD) (Quantachrome Instruments; Boynton Beach, FL, USA). Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 8700 instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific; Waltham, MA, USA); samples were pressed with KBr into disks, which were then scanned. BET surface areas of samples were measured by N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isothermal (-196 °C) on Tristar II 3020M (Micromeritics Instruments, American).

**Analysis of Products**

The high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis of the reaction products was performed with a Waters HPLC 515 pump (Waters; Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a Waters 2414 refractive index detector and Bio-Rad Aminex HPX-87H column. The analysis methods are used according to the work of Choudhary et al. (2013) with some modifications. The mobile phase was an aqueous 5 mM H$_2$SO$_4$ solution; an elution rate of 0.6 mL min$^{-1}$ (60 °C) was used. The conversion and yield were calculated using a calibration curve. An HPLC retention time (Fig. S1, Supplementary Information (SI), see Appendix) of 8.053 min was observed for maleic acid (MA), 11.139 min was observed for succinic acid (SA), 12.192 min was observed for furfural, and 26.467 min was observed for 2-buten-1,4-olide (FRO). The yields of MA, FRO and furfural were calculated according to Fig. S2 (SI) and the following equations:
where the “product” is either MA, SA or FRO.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Catalyst Characterization**

A series of K-doped g-C₃N₄ specimens was prepared with various potassium salts and urea. The FTIR spectra (Fig. 1) of the g-C₃N₄ and K-doped g-C₃N₄ exhibited the same peak at 2177 cm⁻¹, which is attributed to the cyano (-C≡N) group, which arose from the incomplete conversion of urea to g-C₃N₄ during calcination (Gao et al. 2013). Furthermore, the peak at 810 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the bending vibration of s-triazine rings. The broad absorption band around 3000 to 3300 cm⁻¹ originated from stretching vibrations of N-H and O-H bonds (Yang et al. 2017).

![FTIR spectra of pure g-C₃N₄ and K-doped g-C₃N₄ with various potassium salts](image)

The XRD pattern (Fig. 2) was used to characterize the solid phase structure of pure g-C₃N₄, KOH/g-C₃N₄, KCl/g-C₃N₄, and KBr/g-C₃N₄. The peak at 27.4° in the diffraction patterns can be attributed to the (002) crystalline plane. This peak originates from the periodic interlayer stacking of conjugated aromatic structures along the c-axis, which is typical for graphitic layered structures. The intensity of the (002) peaks for the K-doped samples was less pronounced than it was for the pure g-C₃N₄. Furthermore, the (002) peak of K-doped g-C₃N₄ displayed a small 2θ shift. Pure KBr exhibits several well-defined peaks at 23°, 27°, 39°, 46° and 58°, which indicate the crystalline nature of KBr. For KBr/g-C₃N₄, the XRD patterns display a combination of the two sets of diffraction data for both g-C₃N₄ and KBr. The new peak in the XRD results of KBr/g-C₃N₄ are mainly caused by KBr. When KBr was doped with g-C₃N₄, the peaks of KBr appeared and the (002) peak of g-C₃N₄ became sharp. The KCl/g-C₃N₄ and KBr/g-C₃N₄ followed similar trends: with the addition of KBr and KCl, the characteristic peaks of g-C₃N₄ became weaker, and the
intensities of KX (X=Br, Cl) peaks became more prominent. The diffraction peaks at various 2θ values for the K-doped samples were indexed to the crystalline planes of the corresponding pure potassium salt (Zhang et al. 2015).

Figure 3 shows the TEM images of samples, which reveal the typical wrinkle structure for g-C₃N₄ and KBr/g-C₃N₄. Furthermore, as shown in Fig. S3 (SI), C and N elements can be observed in the g-C₃N₄, while KBr/g-C₃N₄ presented C, N, K, Br, and O elements. The presence of O indicates the incomplete conversion of urea to g-C₃N₄ during calcination. These observations correspond to the findings from XRD characterization. Meanwhile, the EDS mapping analysis of KBr/g-C₃N₄ indicates that K and Br element are uniformly distributed within the g-C₃N₄ support. Moreover, the alkalinity of the KBr/g-C₃N₄ catalyst (1.61 mmol/g) was almost the same as that of the original g-C₃N₄ (1.67 mmol/g) (Table S1, SI).

Furthermore, the specific surface areas of pure g-C₃N₄ and KBr doped g-C₃N₄ were also investigated. As shown in Table S1, the specific surface area of pure g-C₃N₄ was determined to be 220.1 m²/g, which is much higher than that KBr doped g-C₃N₄ (13.2 m²/g). The pure urea precursor can generate gas easy during heating treatment which can result in large specific areas (Cui et al. 2017). With the adding of KBr, the lower surface areas may imply that the KBr has been loaded into the support of g-C₃N₄.

![Fig. 2. XRD of pure g-C₃N₄, KOH/g-C₃N₄, KCl, KCl/g-C₃N₄, KBr, and KBr/g-C₃N₄](image-url)
Production of MA, FRO, and SA from Furfural Catalyzed by Various Catalysts

The effects of different catalysts for the conversion of furfural to MA, FRO, and SA are listed in Table 1. It is known that furfural oxidation by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ to MA is very complicated and generates other side products, such as FRO, 2-furoic acid (FA), SA, and formic acid (Danon et al. 2013). When KBr was used as catalyst, complete furfural conversion and 31.0% MA yield could be detected. Moreover, there was no FRO or SA produced. In comparison with the KBr, a 37.1% MA yield, 23.3% FRO yield, and a 20.6% SA were observed with KOH (Table 1, entry 2) as the catalyst; whereas the yields of MA, FRO and SA were 12.2%, 25.2%, and 27.7% respectively by using KNO$_3$ (Table 1, entry 3) as the catalyst; and 23.0% MA yield, 3.6% FRO yield, and 8.4% SA were observed when using KCl (Table 1, entry 4) as the catalyst. The above results indicated that the presence of KBr may inhibit the reaction to FRO and SA.

Furthermore, when some KOH was added to the KBr catalytic system, the MA yield increased from 31.0% to 68.0% without any SA and FRO being produced (Entry 5 in Table 1). Possibly, this may be ascribed to the synergistic interaction between KBr and KOH. KOH can ionize $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ to form $\text{HOO}^\cdot$. Then, $\text{HOO}^\cdot$ can react with the carbonyl group of furfural through the nucleophilic addition reaction to yield 2-perfuroic acid. Conversely, SA and FRO were not observed in the reaction products when KBr was used; hence, KBr may suppresses the SA/FRO side reactions.

To investigate what ion has an effect on the oxidation of furfural to MA, various inorganic salts were also investigated. The results are shown and compared in Table 1 (Entries 6 to 8). 36.1% MA, 21.5% FRO, and 3.3% SA yield were achieved with NaOH as catalyst (entry 6). The MA yield was 32.3% when NaBr (50 mg) and NaOH (50 mg) were used as the catalyst, whereas 61.9% MA yields were observed with KBr (25 mg) and NaOH (25 mg) (Table 1, entry 7) as catalysts. Moreover, the ratios of KBr and NaOH were considered in Fig. S7 and KBr-NaOH (75 mg-25 mg) showed the best MA yield (66.2%), which indicated that the catalyst contents played a vital role in furfural oxidation and MA yield. Hence, the presence of KBr and OH$^\cdot$ were necessary to increase MA selectivity. Therefore, there is a synergism between KBr and HO$^\cdot$ that promotes MA formation. Based on these observations, the combination of KBr with g-C$_3$N$_4$ was designed, where the N atoms act as a Brønsted base (which was confirmed by TPD-CO$_2$ analysis; Table S1, S1). In previous reports, g-C$_3$N$_4$ was used in some alkali-catalyzed reactions where amino groups (including -NH$_2$ and -NH- groups) occurred at the edges of g-C$_3$N$_4$ (Su et al. 2010; Samanta and Srivastava 2017). The solid catalyst KBr/g-C$_3$N$_4$ was tested, and it resulted in
a 70.4% MA yield (Entry 9 in Table 1). At the same time, a series of K-doped g-C₃N₄ catalysts (made with KNO₃, KOH, and KCl) was prepared and used for the oxidation of furfural. The results (Entries 10 to 14 in Table 1) illustrate that the MA selectivities were lower, as MA, FRO, and SA are generated simultaneously. Specifically, a 16.2% MA yield, 30.6% FRO, and 44.1% SA were observed when using KOH/g-C₃N₄ (Table 1 entry 12) as the catalyst; whereas the yields were 21.1% MA, 26.2% FRO, and 22.4% SA by using KCl/g-C₃N₄ (Table 1 entry 13) as the catalyst; and the yields were 13.3% MA, 27.5% FRO, and 32.6% SA with KNO₃/g-C₃N₄ (Table 1 entry 14) as catalyst.

Based on the above results, a synergism between KBr and g-C₃N₄ support was observed, whereas other potassium salts, such as KCl, KOH, and KNO₃, did not enhance MA selectivity. Thus, a possible role of KBr/g-C₃N₄ is proposed for the conversion of furfural to MA (Fig. S6, SI). The g-C₃N₄ support can be used as a Brønsted base to ionize H₂O₂ to produce HOO⁻ in solution. Meanwhile, the K⁺ of KBr/g-C₃N₄ may interact with the O atom of the furfural carbonyl group to enhance the electropositivity of carbonyl carbon and the Br⁻ may damage the conjugation of the furan ring and carbonyl carbon group. This makes the carbonyl more susceptible to HOO⁻ attack to form 2-perfuroic acid (Zhang et al. 2011). 2-Perfuroic is unstable and can be converted to 2-formyloxyfuran and hydroxyfuran. The hydroxyfuran may underwent rearrangement to intermediate A with the presence of bromide anion and furan ring opening would be happened. Then intermediate A can be converted to intermediate B and C with the help of KBr/g-C₃N₄ and H₂O₂. Finally, the intermediate C could be oxidized further to maleic acid. Furthermore, the presence of KBr can also suppress the side reactions to form SA and FRO.

The influence of the g-C₃N₄ support on the reaction was compared with others such as KBr-doped CeO₂ and KBr-doped TiO₂ catalysts (Entries 15 and 16 in Table 1). These catalysts afforded 9.5% and 40.9% MA yields, respectively. The low selectivity of MA with KBr/CeO₂ as catalyst may be caused by production of by-products. According to the report of Knight et al. (1990), besides the desired C4 products (MA, SA, and FRO), furfural oxidation can also produce many by-products such as acetic acid and humins. In the present experiment, the acetic acid was also detected, and it may have originated from the products via deep oxidation of C4 products. Thus, it is proposed that the production of by-products is the main reason for low product yield and low selectivity. This observation indicates that the catalyst support can affect the furfural oxidation reaction pathways. Furthermore, the KBr/g-C₃N₄ showed better activity than a mechanical mixing of KBr and g-C₃N₄ (Entry 9 in Table 1, 47.3% MA yield), which can also indicate the presence of synergistic effect between KBr species and g-C₃N₄ support.

To evaluate the catalytic activity of K and Br, the results of the leaching experiment were analyzed. When the filtrate (Table 1, entry 18) was used as catalyst and solvent, the yield of MA and SA were 25.5% and 18.8%, respectively. Comparing with the blank experiment (Table 1, entry 17), the MA yield increased and SA yield decreased, which may have been caused by a little leaching of K⁺ and Br⁻ after hot-filtration. Furthermore, the 63.2% MA yield could be obtained with catalyst after hot-filtration, which implied that the catalyst activity decreased, which may have been due to the leaching of K⁺ and Br⁻.
Table 1. Effect of the Different Catalysts on the Synthesis of MA, FRO, and SA from Furfural

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Furfural Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>FRO</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>KBr&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>31.65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>KOH&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>37.10</td>
<td>23.34</td>
<td>20.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>KNO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>25.23</td>
<td>27.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>KCl&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>22.99</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>KBr-KOH&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>68.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NaOH&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>36.14</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>KBr-NaOH&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>61.86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NaBr-NaOH&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>32.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>KBr-g-C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>47.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>g-C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>16.82</td>
<td>27.01</td>
<td>24.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>KBr/g-C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>70.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>KOH/g-C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>30.65</td>
<td>44.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>KCl/g-C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>21.12</td>
<td>26.19</td>
<td>22.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>KNO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;/g-C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td>27.48</td>
<td>32.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>KBr/CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>KBr/TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>40.91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>blank</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Filtrate&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>25.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>KBr/g-C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>63.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions:
<sup>a</sup>100 °C, 180 min, 2 mL water, 1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 1 mmol furfural, and 50 mg catalyst;
<sup>b</sup>100 °C, 180 min, 2 mL water, 1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 1 mmol furfural, 50 mg KBr, and 50 mg NaOH;
<sup>c</sup>100 °C, 180 min, 2 mL water, 1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and 20 mg KBr, and 25 mg NaOH;
<sup>d</sup>100 °C, 180 min, 2 mL water, 1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 1 mmol furfural, 50 mg NaBr, and 50 mg NaOH; and
<sup>e</sup>100 °C, 180 min, 2 mL water, 1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 1 mmol furfural, 50 mg KBr, and 50 mg g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>.
<sup>f</sup>hot-filtration experiment.

Effect of Reactant on Producing MA, SA, and FRO

To investigate the effect of the reactant on the KBr/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> reaction system, three furan substrates were studied (Table 2). A 64.8% MA yield was obtained when using 2-furoic acid as the starting substrate (Entry 4 in Table 2). The 19.1% SA yield could be obtained with FRO as substrate (Entry 3 in Table 2). According to the report of Xiang <i>et al.</i> (2016), FRO may have undergone isomerization to afford hydroxyfuran and then rearrangement to 2(3H)-furanone, which can be oxidized to SA in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. When the substrate was 5-HMF (Entry 2 in Table 2), the MA yield decreased to 1.3%, while a 44.9% SA yield was obtained. Based on the analysis, this reaction system may be suitable for furfural and 2-furoic acid substrates. Furthermore, using KBr (Entry 5 in Table 2) and KOH (Entry 6 in Table 2), the MA yields were 24.6% and 23.9%, respectively. Similarly, improved MA yields were obtained using 2-furoic acid with the KBr/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> catalyst. Hence, additional work focused on furfural as the starting substrate to study how other reaction conditions affected MA production.
Table 2. The Effect of Substrate on Chemical Yields of MA, SA, and FRO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Yield of products (%)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>FRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Furfural</td>
<td>69.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5-HMF</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>44.94</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FRO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2-Furoic acid</td>
<td>64.76</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2-Furoic acid</td>
<td>24.58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2-Furoic acid</td>
<td>23.87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions:
entry 1 to 4: 50 mg KBr/g-C₃N₄;
entry 5: 50 mg KBr;
entry 6: 50 mg KOH;
All reactions were conducted at 100 °C for 180 min with 1 mmol substrate and 1 mL H₂O₂.

Effect of Water Contents, Reaction Temperature, and Reaction Time on MA Production

KBr-doped g-C₃N₄ was the most efficient catalyst for furfural oxidation to MA (Entry 11 in Table 1). Hence, KBr/g-C₃N₄ was used to investigate the effect other critical reaction parameters, such as water content, reaction temperature, and retention time. Controlling the amount of water in the reaction medium was critical in the resulting MA yield (Li et al. 2017). As can be seen in Fig. 4A, the MA yield increased to 70.0% when the water in the reaction system was increased to 2 mL (i.e., 0.67 (v/v) water in the medium). The MA yield decreased slightly when the reaction medium contained 0.75 to 0.80 (v/v) water. However, the MA yield decreased appreciably when the reaction medium had less than 0.60 (v/v) water or greater than 0.80% (v/v) water. In these cases, when the molar ratio of H₂O₂ to furfural was held constant, the reason for the decreasing MA yield may be the adsorption of additional water molecules onto the catalyst, which prevented the furfural from contacting the catalyst surface.

The effect of reaction temperature is shown in Fig. 4B. At 100 °C, the MA yield was higher than they yields obtained when the reactions were performed at 60 to 90 °C. As the reaction temperature increased, more gas bubbles were observed in the sealed glass tubes, which indicated that higher reaction temperatures accelerated H₂O₂ decomposition. When the temperature was further increased to 110 °C, the MA yield decreased. Hence, 100 °C was determined to be the optimal reaction temperature.

In the case of furfural conversion, the reaction time had the greatest effect with respect to MA yield (Fig. 4C). The catalytic results show that MA yield increased from 27.3% to 70.4% as the reaction time increased from 30 to 180 min; the MA yields remained constant for 180 to 210 min. Hence, the amount of MA produced was stable in the catalytic system after 180 min of reaction time.
Fig. 4. A) Effect of water in the reaction medium on MA production (v/v ratio); B) effect of reaction temperature on MA production; and C) effect of reaction time on MA production (reaction conditions (unless otherwise specified): 1 mmol (96 mg) furfural, 1 mL H₂O₂, 2 mL water (0.67 (v/v)), and 50 mg KBr/g-C₃N₄ catalyst at 100 °C for 180 min)

**Recyclability of the Composite Catalyst**

The reusability of the catalyst is an important aspect for its industrial use. The KBr/g-C₃N₄ catalyst was selected to evaluate whether it could be recycled and reused. After every reaction cycle, the catalyst was separated from the liquid products by filtration and washed several times with distilled water. The washed catalyst was then dried in an
oven at 80 °C before reuse in the next cycle. The MA yield decreased slightly after two cycles (Fig. 5). The MA yield dramatically decreased after three cycles, which was postulated to be due to the loss of KBr from the g-C₃N₄ support. The SA and FRO yields increased at the same time as the MA yield decreased. It was hypothesized that the appearance of SA and FRO was due to the influence of leaching of KBr and the presence of g-C₃N₄, which was demonstrated by the result (Entries 10 and 11 in Table 1). The spent KBr/g-C₃N₄ was characterized by XRD (Fig. S4, SI) and TEM-EDS (Fig. S5, SI). As shown in Fig. S4, compared with fresh KBr/g-C₃N₄, the intensity of the corresponding peaks in spent KBr/g-C₃N₄ disappeared, which can be attributed to the leaching of K or Br in the catalyst during reaction. In addition, TEM-EDS (Fig. S5 and Table S2) was used to examine the degradation of the spent catalyst. From the TEM-EDS analysis, the amount of K⁺ slightly decreased, whereas Br⁻ was rarely detected. Next, the spent catalyst was regenerated by calcination; however, regeneration by calcination did not restore the catalyst’s performance. The yield of MA increased from 22.8% to 64.7% after regeneration by adding KBr into the used catalyst and calcination, which indicated the catalytic deactivation was primarily due to the leaching of Br⁻.

![Fig. 5. Reusability of KBr/C₃N₄ catalyst (reaction conditions: 1 mmol (96 mg) furfural, 1 mL H₂O₂, 2 mL water, and 50 mg KBr/g-C₃N₄ at 100 °C for 3 h)](image)

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. A simple and efficient catalytic system was developed for the oxidation of furfural to maleic acid (MA) using H₂O₂ as the oxidant, water as part of the reaction medium, and KBr-KOH or KBr/g-C₃N₄ as the catalyst.

2. The synergistic interaction of KBr and KOH was crucial for higher MA yield in the homogeneous system, whereas the KBr/g-C₃N₄ can be used as a heterogeneous catalyst. Under mild reaction conditions, the optimum MA yields were 68.0% and
70.0% when catalyzed by KBr-KOH and KBr/g-C₃N₄, respectively. Meanwhile, the reaction temperature, the water content in the reaction medium, and the reaction time were the key reaction conditions for enhancing MA yield.

3. When comparing KBr to KCl, KNO₃, and KOH on the g-C₃N₄ support, there was a synergism between KBr and g-C₃N₄. The g-C₃N₄ support can be used as a Brønsted base to ionize H₂O₂ to HOO⁻ in the aqueous reaction medium. The presence of KBr is postulated to suppress the side reaction products of 2-buten-1,4-olide (FRO) and succinic acid (SA) while enhancing MA yield.

4. The recovered KBr/g-C₃N₄ catalyst can be recycled for at least three cycles before the MA yield is impaired. The KBr/g-C₃N₄ catalyst can be regenerated.
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SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Table S1. Element Composition of g-C₃N₄ and KBr/g-C₃N₄

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Atomic ratio (%)</th>
<th>Basicity (mmol/g)</th>
<th>S_BET (m²/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C₃N₄</td>
<td>50.08</td>
<td>49.92</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KBr/g-C₃N₄</td>
<td>48.92</td>
<td>40.58</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2. Element Composition of Fresh KBr/g-C₃N₄, Spent KBr/g-C₃N₄ and Regenerated KBr/g-C₃N₄ by TEM-EDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Wt. (%)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a KBr/g-C₃N₄</td>
<td>57.13</td>
<td>25.77</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b S-KBr/g-C₃N₄</td>
<td>70.58</td>
<td>29.04</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c R-KBr/g-C₃N₄</td>
<td>41.81</td>
<td>43.54</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aFresh KBr/g-C₃N₄; bused KBr/g-C₃N₄; and cregenerated KBr/g-C₃N₄

Fig. S1. The HPLC chromatogram of the reaction mixture from furfural oxidation. Retention time: maleic acid, 8.053 min; SA, 11.139 min; FRO, 26.467 min
Fig. S2. Standard curve of products and substrate: (a) MA, (b) SA, (c) FRO, and (d) furfural

Fig. S3. Element map images of (a) g-C$_3$N$_4$ and (b) KBr/g-C$_3$N$_4$
Fig. S4. XRD spectra of fresh KBr/g-C₃N₄, spent KBr/g-C₃N₄ and regenerated KBr/g-C₃N₄
**Fig. S5.** TEM-EDS spectrum of (a) fresh KBr/g-C₃N₄, (b) spent KBr/g-C₃N₄, and (c) regenerated KBr/g-C₃N₄
Fig. S6. Proposed reaction pathway and the role of KBr/g-C_{3}N_{4} for furfural oxidation to MA

Fig. S7. Effect of KBr/NaOH contents on the oxidation of furfural to MA
Reaction conditions: 1 mmol furfural, 1 mL H_{2}O_{2}, 2 mL DIW, 100 °C, 3 h.