Kinetic Analysis of the Pyrolysis of Apricot Stone and its Main Components via Distributed Activation Energy Mode
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The kinetics of pyrolysis of apricot stone and its main components, i.e., lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose, were investigated via distributed activation energy mode. Experiments were done in a thermogravimetric analyzer at heating rates of 10, 20, 30, and 40 K·min⁻¹ under nitrogen. The activation energy distribution peaks for the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose were centered at 246, 318, 364, and 170 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively. The activation energy distribution for the apricot stone slightly changed; lignin exhibited the widest distribution; and cellulose exhibited the highest activation energy at a conversion degree (α) of less than 0.75. At low pyrolysis temperatures (400 K to 600 K), the pyrolysis of hemicellulose was the main pyrolysis reaction. The apparent activation energy for the apricot stone mainly depended on the pyrolysis of hemicellulose and a small amount of lignin, and the activation energy was low in the early stage of pyrolysis. With the continuous increase in the pyrolysis temperatures (600 K to 660 K), the thermal weight loss of cellulose and lignin was intense. The apparent activation energy for the apricot stone mainly resulted from the pyrolysis of cellulose and lignin, and a higher activation energy was observed in the later stage of pyrolysis.
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INTRODUCTION

With the gradual substitution of conventional fossils, increased importance has been placed on the production of carbon-neutral, low-emission fuels from biomass renewable resources, which is a significant feedstock for the renewable production of fuels and chemicals (Gaurav et al. 2017; Akhtar et al. 2018). As the only renewable carbonaceous resource, biomass demonstrates the potential to produce heat, electricity, fuel, chemicals, and other products, and the utilization of biomass resources has attracted increasing attention (Tan et al. 2019; Usman et al. 2019).

Thermochemical conversion technology is regarded as a key technology that enables the conversion of biomass into high value-added chemicals and fuels. The main thermochemical processes include pyrolysis (Xu et al. 2019), combustion (Dorez et al. 2014), gasification (Li et al. 2018), liquefaction (Wang et al. 2018), and carbonization (Li et al. 2008). Among these thermochemical pathways, pyrolysis, which involves the thermal decomposition of organics in the absence of oxygen, has been extensively developed as a promising platform to produce fuels and chemicals from various types of biomass (Cai et
al. 2014). Lignocellulosic biomass is mainly composed of lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose (Wang et al. 2016c). Lignin is typically composed of methoxylated phenylpropane units, which are polymerized by monolignols (p-hydroxyphenyl, guaiacyl, and syringyl units), and different ether bonds and carbon–carbon bonds (Jiang et al. 2010). In contrast to lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose exhibit relatively simple structures, only containing sugar units (such as pentoses and hexoses) and glycosidic bonds (Shen et al. 2010a).

Due to the complexity of the biomass pyrolysis reaction, it is extremely difficult to describe the entire reaction process and its interaction from the perspective of a microscopic process (Ranzi et al. 2008; Chen et al. 2014). To obtain relatively simple models to guide the process design, the laws of pyrolysis are typically explained by epigenetic characteristics. Pyrolysis kinetics is an important method used by graduate students to examine the pyrolysis characteristics and mechanism of solid substances such as biomass (Hu et al. 2016), coal (Bhagavatula et al. 2016; Coimbra et al. 2019), and other solid combustibles (Bartocci et al. 2019; Song et al. 2019). Thermal analysis serves as a high-precision analysis method for examining reaction kinetics, reflecting the rules and characteristics of pyrolysis from the perspective of solid biofuels (Ma et al. 2015; Carvalho and Tannous 2017; Zhou et al. 2018). The Kissinger–Akahira–Sunose method (Slopiecka et al. 2012; Ali et al. 2018), Flynn–Wall–Ozawa method (Damartzis et al. 2011; Carvalho and Tannous 2017), Kissinger method (Viottoa et al. 2018), and distributed activation energy mode (DAEM) method (Zhang et al. 2014; De Caprariis et al. 2015) are widely employed for the kinetics analysis of the pyrolysis of biomass.

Apricot (Prunus armeniaca L.) is an important econo-ecological tree species in semi-arid areas of northern China, and the deep processing of its fruits affords its stone as the waste product, with an annual output of 400,000 to 500,000 tons. Apricot stone is an important raw material for preparing activated carbon (Jia et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2015; Marzbali et al. 2016), which is mainly composed of lignin, cellulose, hemicellulose, and other organic macromolecular components (Ali et al. 2011; Corbett et al. 2015). The chemical structures of these three components are different. The pyrolysis of apricot stone can be considered as the comprehensive performance of the pyrolysis reactions of the three components, and the pyrolysis process is relatively complex (Yang et al. 2007; Demiral and Kul 2014). Therefore, in the basic research of biomass pyrolysis, it is crucial to conduct in-depth research on the pyrolysis mechanism of raw materials and major components (Yan et al. 2019). Many previous studies on biomass components have been based on different model compounds, lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose isolated from biomass are closer to the natural form. In this study, the heat reaction of an apricot stone, as well as its components of lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose, were examined by the DAEM, and the relationship between the pyrolysis activation energy and conversion degree was discussed. The results provided basic data and a theoretical basis for the efficient utilization of apricot stone biomass and research of the thermal reaction mechanism.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Apricot stone raw material

Apricot stone was collected from the Hebei Province (China), milled, and screened to obtain a particle size of 100-mesh. Proximate analysis was tested according to ASTM
D1102-84 (2013), ASTM E872-82 (2013), ASTM E871-82 (2013). The ultimate analysis was performed under the C, H, N, and S models using an elemental analyzer (Vario EL III; Elementar, Hanau, Germany), and oxygen was estimated by the difference method: O (%) = 100% – C (%) – H (%) – N (%) – S (%) – Ash (%). Table 1 summarizes the results obtained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of the Apricot Stone (Dry Basis)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pretreated raw material of the apricot stone was extracted using a benzene–ethanol mixture (2/1, v/v) for 6 h. Next, this extracted material was subjected to vacuum drying in an oven at 50 °C for 12 h, followed by grinding until all of the raw material was screened through a 140-mesh to extract lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose.

Extraction of lignin

A 72% sulfuric acid solution was added to the pretreated raw material and it was stirred sufficiently at 20 °C for 2.5 h. The solution was filtered, and the filtrate liquor was boiled and subjected to reflux conditions with distilled water for 4 h. The liquor was left to stand for 30 min before filtering, and the filtered residue was repeatedly washed with hot water until a neutral pH was attained. The sample obtained after drying was the apricot stone lignin according to TAPPI T222 (2002), also referred to as Klason lignin (30.42% yield).

Extraction of cellulose

First, 260 mL of distilled water, 3 g of sodium chlorite, and 2 mL of acetic acid were added into 8 g of the pretreated raw material and subjected to oscillation twice at 75 °C for 1 h. After the reaction was completed, the reaction was immediately placed in ice water, followed by filtration. Then, the residue was washed with distilled water until a neutral pH was attained. Next, the residue was washed thrice with acetone, air-dried in a fume hood, and dried in a drying oven at 50 °C for 12 h. The sample obtained was apricot stone holocellulose.

First, 24 wt% of KOH and 2 wt% of H$_3$BO$_3$ at a solid–liquid ratio of 1:20 were added into 10 g of holocellulose, followed by vibration in a constant-temperature water bath at 20 °C for 2 h. After the reaction was completed, it was filtered, and the residue was washed with distilled water until a neutral pH was attained (Stefanidis et al. 2014). The sample obtained after freeze-drying the residue was apricot stone cellulose (24.6% yield).

Extraction of hemicellulose

First, a 17 wt% NaOH solution was used to extract apricot stone holocellulose at 20 °C for 2 h before filtration. The filtered liquor was neutralized by 6 mol·L$^{-1}$ acetic acid until its pH was 5.5, followed by the addition of a 95 wt% ethanol solution to allow for the complete precipitation of hemicellulose, followed by centrifugation, filtration, and freeze-
drying (Stefanidis et al. 2014). The sample obtained after freeze-drying the residue was apricot stone hemicellulose (29.4% yield).

**Methods**

The thermal properties of the apricot stone and its main components were examined using a thermal gravimetric analyzer (TGA Q5000; TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). All samples were individually heated from room temperature to 1073 K at different heating rates of 10, 20, 30, and 40 K·min⁻¹. The weight of each experimental sample was 15 mg, the carrier gas was high-purity nitrogen, and the flow rate was 70 mL·min⁻¹.

**Kinetic Studies**

The DAEM was first proposed in 1942 (Vand 1943), and was gradually applied for the pyrolysis of fossil fuels. The DAEM is applied based on the hypothesis of an infinite parallel reaction and activation energy distribution. It has been widely applied to the kinetics analysis of some complex reaction systems (Lin et al. 2018; Ren et al. 2018). Biomass pyrolysis comprises multiple reactions. Let the total volatilization of the i of the reaction be \( V_i^* \) and the volatilization amount up to time \( t \) be \( V_i \). According to the two assumptions of the DAEM model, the kinetics equation of reaction i is expressed as follows, where \( k \) represents kinetic constant (mol·s⁻¹), \( A \) represents the pre-exponential factor, \( R \) represents universal gas constant (8.314 J·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹), and \( T \) is absolute temperature (K):

\[
\frac{dV_i}{dt} = k_i(V_i^* - V_i) \quad (1)
\]

\[
k_i = A_i e^{-E_i/RT} \quad (2)
\]

By integrating both sides of the transformation Eq. 1,

\[
\frac{V_i}{V_i^*} = \exp[-\int_0^1 A_i e^{-E_i/RT}] \quad (3)
\]

when the reaction number of the system is 1, the above model is a first-order model reaction. In fact, the composition of biomass, such as an apricot stone, is extremely complex, and many reactions are possible during pyrolysis. The corresponding reaction quantities in the DAEM model can be expressed by differential calculus; namely, \( dV \) replaces \( V_i \), \( dV^* \) replaces \( V_i^* \), and \( dV^* \) depends on the activation energy distribution:

\[
dV^* = V^* g(E)dE \quad (4)
\]

According to the above equation, the distributed activation energy function satisfies

\[
\int_0^\infty g(E)dE = 0 \quad (5)
\]

The differential transformation of Eq. 4 is carried out, and Eqs. 6 and 7 are as follows:

\[
dV = dV^* (1 - e^{-\int_0^E A_i e^{-E_i/RT}}) \quad (6)
\]

\[
\frac{V_i}{V_i^*} = \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-\int_0^E A_i e^{-E_i/RT}}\right) g(E)dE \quad (7)
\]
Non-isothermal thermal analysis is adopted, and Eq. 8 is characterized by the mass fraction of the residual matter analyzed by TGA and the mass fraction of the residual matter at the end of pyrolysis, in which \( w \) represents the initial mass (mass\%), and \( w_f \) represents the final residual mass (mass\%):

\[
\frac{V^*-V}{V^*} = \frac{w-w_f}{1-w_f}
\]  

(8)

The Miura integral method was employed to examine the activation energy distribution characteristics of the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose. According to the Miura integral principle, the Arrhenius equation is expressed as follows:

\[
\ln\left(\frac{\beta}{T^2}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{kR}{E}\right) - \ln\left\{\ln\left(1 - \frac{V}{V^*}\right)\right\} - \frac{E}{RT}
\]  

(9)

The TGA method was employed to measure the relationship between the conversion degree \( \alpha \) (\( V/V^* \)) and \( T \) at different heating rates \( \beta \) (i.e., 10, 20, 30, and 40 K·min\(^{-1}\)), and, by using the TGA curve data, \( \ln(\beta/T^2) \) was plotted as a function of \( 1/T \). The Arrhenius line was plotted using the same \( \alpha \) value at different heating rates through the Origin software (Origin, OriginLab, 8.5, Massachusetts, USA); according to the slope of the line, the activation energy \( E \) under the conversion rate can be calculated (Wang et al. 2012).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

TGA of the Apricot Stone

The TG and DTG curves of the apricot stone exhibited the same change trends at different heating rates (Fig. 1). The main pyrolysis temperature range was 475 K to 775 K, and the weight loss was \( \sim 76\% \). The heating rates were 10, 20, 30, and 40 K·min\(^{-1}\), and the pyrolysis temperature was 1075 K; the pyrolysis yields of solid products were 18.4\%, 18.2\%, 18.2\%, and 17.1\%, respectively.

With the increase in the heating rate, the TG curves shifted to the right, indicating that instantaneous pyrolysis weightlessness decreased at the same pyrolysis temperature. It was mainly affected by the heat and mass transfer. From the DTG curves of the apricot stone, the pyrolysis interval consisted of two peaks. The temperatures associated with the first peak were 551.5 K, 564.2 K, 571.1 K, and 573.1 K, and their corresponding weight loss rates were \(-5.26\) wt\%·min\(^{-1}\), \(-10.69\) wt\%·min\(^{-1}\), \(-15.85\) wt\%·min\(^{-1}\), and \(-20.22\) wt\%·min\(^{-1}\) at heating rates of 10 K·min\(^{-1}\), 20 K·min\(^{-1}\), 30 K·min\(^{-1}\), and 40 K·min\(^{-1}\), respectively. The temperatures associated with the second peak were 620.3 K, 630.1 K, 635.5 K, and 638.1 K, respectively, and their corresponding weight loss rates were \(-7.50\) wt\%·min\(^{-1}\), \(-14.57\) wt\%·min\(^{-1}\), \(-21.54\) wt\%·min\(^{-1}\), and \(-27.76\) wt\%·min\(^{-1}\) at heating rates of 10 K·min\(^{-1}\), 20 K·min\(^{-1}\), 30 K·min\(^{-1}\), and 40 K·min\(^{-1}\), respectively.

As the heating rate increased, the temperature of the two positions of peaks increased, and the maximum weight loss rate increased dramatically (Ahmad et al. 2018; Saikia et al. 2018).
Fig. 1. TG (a) and DTG (b) curves of the apricot stone

TGA of Apricot Stone Lignin

The TG and DTG curves of the apricot stone lignin exhibited the same change trends at different heating rates (Fig. 2). The main pyrolysis temperature range was relatively wide (500 K to 1000 K), and the weight loss was 60% to 66%. The heating rates were 10 K·min⁻¹, 20 K·min⁻¹, 30 K·min⁻¹, and 40 K·min⁻¹; the pyrolysis temperature was 1075 K, and the pyrolysis yields of solid products were 38.5%, 37.0%, 35.7%, and 32.2%, respectively. The peak temperatures corresponding to the DTG curve moved to the high-temperature direction; the maximum weightlessness temperatures were 624.9 K, 641.5 K, 654.2 K, and 661.1 K, and the maximum weightlessness rates were −5.33 wt%·min⁻¹, −9.59 wt%·min⁻¹, −14.18 wt%·min⁻¹, and −20.75 wt%·min⁻¹, respectively. The maximum weightlessness temperatures of the apricot stone lignin were greater than those of the
apricot stone, while the maximum weightlessness rates were lower (Shen et al. 2010b; Stefanidis et al. 2014).

Fig. 2. TG (a) and DTG (b) curves of the apricot stone lignin

**TGA of Apricot Stone Cellulose**

A narrow pyrolysis temperature range for apricot stone cellulose (520 K to 775 K) was observed (Fig. 3). With the increase in the heating rate, due to the effect of heat-transfer hysteresis, the positions of the TG curve and maximum weight loss rate shifted to the high-temperature side. With the increase in the heating rate from 10 K·min$^{-1}$ to 40 K·min$^{-1}$, the maximum weight loss temperature gradually increased from 623.17 K to 648.39 K, and the weight loss rate increased from $-14.04$ wt%·min$^{-1}$ to $-41.11$ wt%·min$^{-1}$. The macromolecule chain of apricot stone cellulose was neat, which meant that the polymer chains break first (Soni et al. 2015). The dissociation energy of glycosides that were
between the adjacent ring bonds was similar, and as the initial pyrolysis temperature of weightlessness gradually increased, the DTG curve exhibits sharp weightlessness peaks (Burhenne et al. 2013).

![DTG curves of apricot stone cellulose](image)

**Fig. 3.** TG (a) and DTG (b) curves of apricot stone cellulose

**TGA of Apricot Stone Hemicellulose**

The pyrolysis temperature range of apricot stone hemicellulose was 475 K to 700 K (Fig. 4). With the increase in the heating rate, the starting and ending temperatures of each stage slightly shifted to the high-temperature side, and the main reaction interval also slightly increased because of the presence of side chains in the molecular structure of apricot stone hemicellulose, which were easily broken at lower temperature. After the decomposition of the side chain, the main reaction tended to be polymer-chain depolymerization and intramolecular dehydration condensation. The heating rates were 10
K·min⁻¹, 20 K·min⁻¹, 30 K·min⁻¹, and 40 K·min⁻¹, and the weight loss rate extremum positions were 568.7 K, 581.7 K, 587.9 K, and 592.6 K, respectively, in the DTG curve. These temperatures were the minimum temperatures of the maximum weight loss temperature for the pyrolysis of apricot stone and its components in different heating rates, suggesting that apricot stone hemicellulose was the most unstable component (Wang et al. 2016b).

Fig. 4. TG (a) and DTG (b) curves of apricot stone hemicelluloses
Kinetics Analysis

Arrhenius line

The DAEM method was employed to understand the relationship between $T$ and $\alpha$ at different temperature increase rates during pyrolysis. According to the TG curve data, $\ln(\beta/T^2)$ was plotted as a function of $1/T$, and the Arrhenius line was fitted with the same $\alpha$ value at different heating rates. According to the slope of the line fitting equation, the activation energy ($E$) that corresponded to the conversion rate was obtained. Figure 5 shows the Arrhenius plots of the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose at heating rates of 10 K·min$^{-1}$, 20 K·min$^{-1}$, 30 K·min$^{-1}$, and 40 K·min$^{-1}$ as a function of $\alpha$. 

![Arrhenius plots of apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose](image)
The $\alpha$ values used for kinetics analysis were in the range of 0.10 to 0.75. Table 2 summarizes the kinetics parameters for the pyrolysis decomposition of apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose at distinct heating rates. The $R^2$ data for various pyrolysis decomposition were in the range of 0.9080 to 0.9992, indicating that the mechanism models selected for kinetics analysis were reliable.
Ma severities: milled wood lignin (MWL), alkali lignin (AL), Klason lignin (KL), and 317.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ components. At an α greater than 0.6, the activation energy suddenly increased, reaching 245 kJ·mol⁻¹ near 245 kJ·mol⁻¹.

In the DAEM, the activation energy values obtained for the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose were a function of α (Fig. 6). From the change in the activation energy for the pyrolysis of the apricot stone, the activation energy did not linearly increase with the progress of pyrolysis, but it increased from 209 kJ·mol⁻¹ to 245 kJ·mol⁻¹, representing an increase to a stable change trend (Shen et al. 2011). At an α value less than 0.40, as α increased, the activation energy increased from 209 kJ·mol⁻¹ to the maximum of 245.9 kJ·mol⁻¹. When α was between 0.40 and 0.60, E slightly fluctuated up and down near 245 kJ·mol⁻¹. At an α greater than 0.6, the activation energy slightly decreased.

From Fig. 6, the activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone lignin gradually increased with the progress of the reaction. At an α less than 0.55, the activation energy increased from 77.4 kJ·mol⁻¹ to 142.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ with the increase of α. At this stage, the activation energy for apricot stone lignin was less than those for the apricot stone and other components. At an α greater than 0.6, the activation energy suddenly increased, reaching 317.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ at an α of 0.75 (Wang et al. 2016a). Ma et al. (2018) studied pyrolysis behavior of four types of lignin which were isolated from palm kernel shell with different severities: milled wood lignin (MWL), alkali lignin (AL), Klason lignin (KL), and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apricot stone</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-25188.08</td>
<td>0.9781</td>
<td>Apricot stone lignin</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-9314.56</td>
<td>0.9882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-26107.14</td>
<td>0.9772</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-13299.47</td>
<td>0.9535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-26498.21</td>
<td>0.9720</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-12098.98</td>
<td>0.9751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-26737.91</td>
<td>0.9747</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-12394.21</td>
<td>0.9871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-27274.99</td>
<td>0.9744</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-13783.37</td>
<td>0.9802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-28568.38</td>
<td>0.9741</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-13822.36</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-29571.23</td>
<td>0.9652</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-14439.05</td>
<td>0.9947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-29474.40</td>
<td>0.9729</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-14766.21</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-29560.85</td>
<td>0.9707</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-15477.92</td>
<td>0.9922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-29199.44</td>
<td>0.9722</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-17190.33</td>
<td>0.9991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-29379.67</td>
<td>0.9768</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-19497.03</td>
<td>0.9902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-29141.24</td>
<td>0.9825</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-20933.2</td>
<td>0.9963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-28837.70</td>
<td>0.9863</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-31224.58</td>
<td>0.9821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-28699.90</td>
<td>0.9826</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-38189.44</td>
<td>0.9987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pyrolysis Activation Energy**

Pyrolysis Activation Energy

In the DAEM, the activation energy values obtained for the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose were a function of α (Fig. 6). From the change in the activation energy for the pyrolysis of the apricot stone, the activation energy did not linearly increase with the progress of pyrolysis, but it increased from 209 kJ·mol⁻¹ to 245 kJ·mol⁻¹, representing an increase to a stable change trend (Shen et al. 2011). At an α value less than 0.40, as α increased, the activation energy increased from 209 kJ·mol⁻¹ to the maximum of 245.9 kJ·mol⁻¹. When α was between 0.40 and 0.60, E slightly fluctuated up and down near 245 kJ·mol⁻¹. At an α greater than 0.6, the activation energy slightly decreased.

From Fig. 6, the activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone lignin gradually increased with the progress of the reaction. At an α less than 0.55, the activation energy increased from 77.4 kJ·mol⁻¹ to 142.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ with the increase of α. At this stage, the activation energy for apricot stone lignin was less than those for the apricot stone and other components. At an α greater than 0.6, the activation energy suddenly increased, reaching 317.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ at an α of 0.75 (Wang et al. 2016a). Ma et al. (2018) studied pyrolysis behavior of four types of lignin which were isolated from palm kernel shell with different severities: milled wood lignin (MWL), alkali lignin (AL), Klason lignin (KL), and

organosolv ethanol lignin (OEL). As the conversion rate increased from 0.1 to 0.8, the activation energy estimated from DAEM model of KL gradually increased from 170.8 to 580.5 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\).

![Fig. 6. Relationship of \(\alpha\) as a function of \(E\) as estimated by the distributed activation energy for the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose](image)

As shown in the Fig. 6, the activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone cellulose increased and then decreased with the pyrolysis reaction (Wang et al. 2017). At an \(\alpha\) less than 0.45, the activation energy decreased from 196.0 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) to 144.4 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) as \(\alpha\) increased. At an \(\alpha\) greater than 0.45, the activation energy increased from 149.5 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) to the maximum of 364.4 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\). At an \(\alpha\) greater than 0.6, the activation energy suddenly increased, which was similar to the change trend observed for lignin. The activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone cellulose at an \(\alpha\) of 0.75 was greater than those observed for the apricot stone and other components. Cai et al. (2013) investigated the kinetic data of xylan and cellulose fitted to a distributed activation energy model (DAEM) where the activation energies for the pyrolysis of each reactant followed a Gaussian distribution. The activation energy distribution peaks were centered at 178.3 and 210.0 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) for xylan and cellulose respectively.

The activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone hemicellulose exhibited a steady downward trend. At an \(\alpha\) of less than 0.20, the activation energy decreased from 171 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) to 148 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) with the increase of \(\alpha\). At an \(\alpha\) between 0.20 and 0.35, the activation energy increased from 148 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) to 155 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\). At an \(\alpha\) greater than 0.6, the activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone hemicellulose was less than those for the apricot stone and other components and then decreased to the lowest value of 120.2 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) at an \(\alpha\) of 0.75. Wang et al. (2015) investigated the distribution of activation energy for pyrolysis of hemicellulose polymers isolated from two agricultural straw samples by using a DAEM with a single Gaussian function, and obtained a mean activation energy of 150 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\).
From the relationship between the activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone and its components and the reaction conversion rate, \( a \) or \( E \) corresponded to the pyrolysis temperature. At low pyrolysis temperatures (400 K to 600 K), the pyrolysis of hemicellulose was the main pyrolysis reaction. With the continuous increase in the pyrolysis temperature (600 K to 660 K), cellulose in the raw material underwent considerable pyrolysis, and its activation energy was relatively high. At the start of pyrolysis, the activation energy for lignin was low, with the widest range of the pyrolysis temperature. In the early stage of pyrolysis, the apparent activation energy for the apricot stone mainly depended on the pyrolysis of hemicellulose and lignin; hence, the activation energy was low. At the later stage of pyrolysis, the apparent activation energy for the apricot stone mainly resulted from the pyrolysis of cellulose and lignin; hence, the activation energy was higher. Ren et al. (2018) studied the thermal oxidative degradation kinetics of peanut shell (PS) and sunflower shell (SS) by using distributed activation energy model (DAEM). The activation energy ranges calculated by the DAEM for the thermal oxidative degradation of PS and SS were 88.9 to 145.3 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) and 94.9 to 169.2 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\), respectively.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. The kinetics of the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose pyrolysis were analyzed from thermogravimetric data by the distributed activation energy mode. The correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) indicated that the mechanism models selected for the kinetics analysis of the apricot stone and its components were reliable.

2. The activation energy for the pyrolysis of the apricot stone was in the range from 209 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) to 245 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\). Lignin exhibited the widest range of activation energy values from 77.4 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) to 317.5 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\). The activation energy for cellulose first decreased from 196.0 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) to 144.4 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) and increased to the maximum of 364.4 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\). The activation energy of hemicellulose exhibited a steady downward trend from 171 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) to 120.2 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\).
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