Kinetic Analysis of the Pyrolysis of Apricot Stone and its Main Components *via* Distributed Activation Energy Mode

Huanhuan Ma, Yimeng Zhang, Liangcai Wang, Zhengxiang Zhu, Yu Chen, Huilin Wang, Congjing Deng,* and Jianbin Zhou *

The kinetics of pyrolysis of apricot stone and its main components, *i.e.*, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose, were investigated via distributed activation energy mode. Experiments were done in a thermogravimetric analyzer at heating rates of 10, 20, 30, and 40 K·min⁻¹ under nitrogen. The activation energy distribution peaks for the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose were centered at 246, 318, 364, and 170 kJ·mol-1, respectively. The activation energy distribution for the apricot stone slightly changed; lignin exhibited the widest distribution; and cellulose exhibited the highest activation energy at a conversion degree (α) of less than 0.75. At low pyrolysis temperatures (400 K to 600 K), the pyrolysis of hemicellulose was the main pyrolysis reaction. The apparent activation energy for the apricot stone mainly depended on the pyrolysis of hemicellulose and a small amount of lignin, and the activation energy was low in the early stage of pyrolysis. With the continuous increase in the pyrolysis temperatures (600 K to 660 K), the thermal weight loss of cellulose and lignin was intense. The apparent activation energy for the apricot stone mainly resulted from the pyrolysis of cellulose and lignin, and a higher activation energy was observed in the later stage of pyrolysis.

Keywords: Apricot stone; Pyrolysis; Kinetics analysis; Distributed activation energy mode; Conversion degree

Contact information: a: Materials Science and Engineering College, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China; b: Planning and Design Institute of Forest Products Industry, State Forestry and Grassland Administration, Beijing 100010, China;

* Corresponding authors: 15801407563@163.com; zhoujianbin@njfu.edu.cn

INTRODUCTION

With the gradual substitution of conventional fossils, increased importance has been placed on the production of carbon-neutral, low-emission fuels from biomass renewable resources, which is a significant feedstock for the renewable production of fuels and chemicals (Gaurav *et al.* 2017; Akhtar *et al.* 2018). As the only renewable carbonaceous resource, biomass demonstrates the potential to produce heat, electricity, fuel, chemicals, and other products, and the utilization of biomass resources has attracted increasing attention (Tan *et al.* 2019; Usman *et al.* 2019).

Thermochemical conversion technology is regarded as a key technology that enables the conversion of biomass into high value-added chemicals and fuels. The main thermochemical processes include pyrolysis (Xu *et al.* 2019), combustion (Dorez *et al.* 2014), gasification (Li *et al.* 2018), liquefaction (Wang *et al.* 2018), and carbonization (Li *et al.* 2008). Among these thermochemical pathways, pyrolysis, which involves the thermal decomposition of organics in the absence of oxygen, has been extensively developed as a promising platform to produce fuels and chemicals from various types of biomass (Cai *et al.* 2018).

al. 2014). Lignocellulosic biomass is mainly composed of lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose (Wang *et al.* 2016c). Lignin is typically composed of methoxylated phenylpropane units, which are polymerized by monolignols (p-hydroxyphenyl, guaiacyl, and syringyl units), and different ether bonds and carbon–carbon bonds (Jiang *et al.* 2010). In contrast to lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose exhibit relatively simple structures, only containing sugar units (such as pentoses and hexoses) and glycosidic bonds (Shen *et al.* 2010a).

Due to the complexity of the biomass pyrolysis reaction, it is extremely difficult to describe the entire reaction process and its interaction from the perspective of a microscopic process (Ranzi *et al.* 2008; Chen *et al.* 2014). To obtain relatively simple models to guide the process design, the laws of pyrolysis are typically explained by epigenetic characteristics. Pyrolysis kinetics is an important method used by graduate students to examine the pyrolysis characteristics and mechanism of solid substances such as biomass (Hu *et al.* 2016), coal (Bhagavatula *et al.* 2016; Coimbra *et al.* 2019), and other solid combustibles (Bartocci *et al.* 2019; Song *et al.* 2019). Thermal analysis serves as a high-precision analysis method for examining reaction kinetics, reflecting the rules and characteristics of pyrolysis from the perspective of solid biofuels (Ma *et al.* 2015; Carvalho and Tannous 2017; Zhou *et al.* 2018). The Kissinger–Akahira–Sunose method (Slopiecka *et al.* 2012; Ali *et al.* 2018), Flynn–Wall–Ozawa method (Damartzis *et al.* 2011; Carvalho and Tannous 2017), Kissinger method (Viottoa *et al.* 2018), and distributed activation energy mode (DAEM) method (Zhang *et al.* 2014; De Caprariis *et al.* 2015) are widely employed for the kinetics analysis of the pyrolysis of biomass.

Apricot (Prunus armeniaca L.) is an important econo-ecological tree species in semi-arid areas of northern China, and the deep processing of its fruits affords its stone as the waste product, with an annual output of 400,000 to 500,000 tons. Apricot stone is an important raw material for preparing activated carbon (Jia et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2015; Marzbali et al. 2016), which is mainly composed of lignin, cellulose, hemicellulose, and other organic macromolecular components (Ali et al. 2011; Corbett et al. 2015). The chemical structures of these three components are different. The pyrolysis of apricot stone can be considered as the comprehensive performance of the pyrolysis reactions of the three components, and the pyrolysis process is relatively complex (Yang et al. 2007; Demiral and Kul 2014). Therefore, in the basic research of biomass pyrolysis, it is crucial to conduct in-depth research on the pyrolysis mechanism of raw materials and major components (Yan et al. 2019). Many previous studies on biomass components have been based on different model compounds, lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose isolated from biomass are closer to the natural form. In this study, the heat reaction of an apricot stone, as well as its components of lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose, were examined by the DAEM, and the relationship between the pyrolysis activation energy and conversion degree was discussed. The results provided basic data and a theoretical basis for the efficient utilization of apricot stone biomass and research of the thermal reaction mechanism.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Apricot stone raw material

Apricot stone was collected from the Hebei Province (China), milled, and screened to obtain a particle size of 100-mesh. Proximate analysis was tested according to ASTM

D1102-84 (2013), ASTM E872-82 (2013), ASTM E871-82 (2013). The ultimate analysis was performed under the C, H, N, and S models using an elemental analyzer (Vario EL III; Elementar, Hanau, Germany), and oxygen was estimated by the difference method: O (%) = 100% - C (%) - H (%) - N (%) - S (%) - Ash (%). Table 1 summarizes the results obtained.

Proximate Analysis (wt%)		Ultimate Analysis (wt%)		
Fixed carbon	17.41	Carbon (C)	50.66	
Ash	0.64	Nitrogen (N)	0.12	
Volatile matter	81.85	Hydrogen (H)	5.45	
Moisture	7.76	Sulfur (S)	0.08	
		Oxygen (O)	43.05	

Table 1. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of the Apricot Stone (Dry Basis)

The pretreated raw material of the apricot stone was extracted using a benzene– ethanol mixture (2/1, v/v) for 6 h. Next, this extracted material was subjected to vacuum drying in an oven at 50 °C for 12 h, followed by grinding until all of the raw material was screened through a 140-mesh to extract lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose.

Extraction of lignin

A 72% sulfuric acid solution was added to the pretreated raw material and it was stirred sufficiently at 20 °C for 2.5 h. The solution was filtered, and the filtrate liquor was boiled and subjected to reflux conditions with distilled water for 4 h. The liquor was left to stand for 30 min before filtering, and the filtered residue was repeatedly washed with hot water until a neutral pH was attained. The sample obtained after drying was the apricot stone lignin according to TAPPI T222 (2002), also referred to as Klason lignin (30.42% yield).

Extraction of cellulose

First, 260 mL of distilled water, 3 g of sodium chlorite, and 2 mL of acetic acid were added into 8 g of the pretreated raw material and subjected to oscillation twice at 75 °C for 1 h. After the reaction was completed, the reaction was immediately placed in ice water, followed by filtration. Then, the residue was washed with distilled water until a neutral pH was attained. Next, the residue was washed thrice with acetone, air-dried in a fume hood, and dried in a drying oven at 50 °C for 12 h. The sample obtained was apricot stone holocellulose.

First, 24 wt% of KOH and 2 wt% of H₃BO₃ at a solid–liquid ratio of 1:20 were added into 10 g of holocellulose, followed by vibration in a constant-temperature water bath at 20 °C for 2 h. After the reaction was completed, it was filtered, and the residue was washed with distilled water until a neutral pH was attained (Stefanidis *et al.* 2014). The sample obtained after freeze-drying the residue was apricot stone cellulose (24.6% yield).

Extraction of hemicellulose

First, a 17 wt% NaOH solution was used to extract apricot stone holocellulose at 20 °C for 2 h before filtration. The filtered liquor was neutralized by 6 mol· L^{-1} acetic acid until its pH was 5.5, followed by the addition of a 95 wt% ethanol solution to allow for the complete precipitation of hemicellulose, followed by centrifugation, filtration, and freeze-

drying (Stefanidis *et al.* 2014). The sample obtained after freeze-drying the residue was apricot stone hemicellulose (29.4% yield).

Methods

The thermal properties of the apricot stone and its main components were examined using a thermal gravimetric analyzer (TGA Q5000; TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). All samples were individually heated from room temperature to 1073 K at different heating rates of 10, 20, 30, and 40 K \cdot min⁻¹. The weight of each experimental sample was 15 mg, the carrier gas was high-purity nitrogen, and the flow rate was 70 mL \cdot min⁻¹.

Kinetic Studies

The DAEM was first proposed in 1942 (Vand 1943), and was gradually applied for the pyrolysis of fossil fuels. The DAEM is applied based on the hypothesis of an infinite parallel reaction and activation energy distribution. It has been widely applied to the kinetics analysis of some complex reaction systems (Lin *et al.* 2018; Ren *et al.* 2018). Biomass pyrolysis comprises multiple reactions. Let the total volatilization of the i of the reaction be V_i^* and the volatilization amount up to time *t* be V_i . According to the two assumptions of the DAEM model, the kinetics equation of reaction i is expressed as follows, where *k* represents kinetic constant (mol·s⁻¹), *A* represents the pre-exponential factor, *R* represents universal gas constant (8.314 J·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹), and *T* is absolute temperature (K):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = k_i (V_i^* - V_i) \tag{1}$$

$$k_i = A_i e^{-E_i/RT} \tag{2}$$

By integrating both sides of the transformation Eq. 1,

$$\frac{V_i}{V_i^*} = \exp[-\int_0^1 A_i e^{-\frac{E_i}{RT}}]$$
(3)

when the reaction number of the system is 1, the above model is a first-order model reaction. In fact, the composition of biomass, such as an apricot stone, is extremely complex, and many reactions are possible during pyrolysis. The corresponding reaction quantities in the DAEM model can be expressed by differential calculus; namely, d_V replaces V_i , dV_* replaces V_i^* , and dV^* depends on the activation energy distribution:

$$dV^* = V^*g(E)dE \tag{4}$$

According to the above equation, the distributed activation energy function satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty g(E) \mathrm{d}E = 0 \tag{5}$$

The differential transformation of Eq. 4 is carried out, and Eqs. 6 and 7 are as follows:

$$dV = dV^* (1 - e^{-\int_0^t A_i e^{-\frac{E_i}{RT}}})$$
(6)

$$\frac{V_i}{V_i^*} = \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-\int_0^t A_i e^{-\frac{E_i}{RT}}}\right) g(E) \mathrm{d}E \tag{7}$$

Non-isothermal thermal analysis is adopted, and Eq. 8 is characterized by the mass fraction of the residual matter analyzed by TGA and the mass fraction of the residual matter at the end of pyrolysis, in which *w* represents the initial mass (mass%), and w_f represents the final residual mass (mass%):

$$\frac{V^* - V}{V^*} = \frac{w - w_f}{1 - w_f} \tag{8}$$

The Miura integral method was employed to examine the activation energy distribution characteristics of the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose. According to the Miura integral principle, the Arrhenius equation is expressed as follows:

$$\ln\left(\frac{\beta}{T^2}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{kR}{E}\right) - \ln\left\{-\ln\left(1 - \frac{V_i}{V_i^*}\right)\right\} - \frac{E}{RT}$$
(9)

The TGA method was employed to measure the relationship between the conversion degree α (V/V*) and T at different heating rates β (*i.e.*, 10, 20, 30, and 40 K·min⁻¹), and, by using the TGA curve data, $\ln(\beta/T^2)$ was plotted as a function of 1/T. The Arrhenius line was plotted using the same α value at different heating rates through the Origin software (Origin, OriginLab, 8.5, Massachusetts, USA); according to the slope of the line, the activation energy *E* under the conversion rate can be calculated (Wang *et al.* 2012).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

TGA of the Apricot Stone

The TG and DTG curves of the apricot stone exhibited the same change trends at different heating rates (Fig. 1). The main pyrolysis temperature range was 475 K to 775 K, and the weight loss was ~76%. The heating rates were 10, 20, 30, and 40 K \cdot min⁻¹ and the pyrolysis temperature was 1075 K; the pyrolysis yields of solid products were 18.4%, 18.2%, 18.2%, and 17.1%, respectively.

With the increase in the heating rate, the TG curves shifted to the right, indicating that instantaneous pyrolysis weightlessness decreased at the same pyrolysis temperature. It was mainly affected by the heat and mass transfer. From the DTG curves of the apricot stone, the pyrolysis interval consisted of two peaks. The temperatures associated with the first peak were 551.5 K, 564.2 K, 571.1 K, and 573.1 K, and their corresponding weight loss rates were -5.26 wt%·min⁻¹, -10.69 wt%·min⁻¹, -15.85 wt%·min⁻¹, and -20.22 wt%·min⁻¹ at heating rates of 10 K·min⁻¹, 20 K·min⁻¹, 30 K·min⁻¹, and 40 K·min⁻¹, respectively. The temperatures associated with the second peak were 620.3 K, 630.1 K, 635.5 K, and 638.1 K, respectively, and their corresponding weight loss rates were -7.50 wt%·min⁻¹, -14.57 wt%·min⁻¹, -21.54 wt%·min⁻¹, and -27.76 wt%·min⁻¹ at heating rates of 10 K·min⁻¹, and 40 K·min⁻¹, and 40 K·min⁻¹.

As the heating rate increased, the temperature of the two positions of peaks increased, and the maximum weight loss rate increased dramatically (Ahmad *et al.* 2018; Saikia *et al.* 2018).



Fig. 1. TG (a) and DTG (b) curves of the apricot stone

TGA of Apricot Stone Lignin

The TG and DTG curves of the apricot stone lignin exhibited the same change trends at different heating rates (Fig. 2). The main pyrolysis temperature range was relatively wide (500 K to 1000 K), and the weight loss was 60% to 66%. The heating rates were 10 K·min⁻¹, 20 K·min⁻¹, 30 K·min⁻¹, and 40 K·min⁻¹; the pyrolysis temperature was 1075 K, and the pyrolysis yields of solid products were 38.5%, 37.0%, 35.7%, and 32.2%, respectively. The peak temperatures corresponding to the DTG curve moved to the high-temperature direction; the maximum weightlessness temperatures were 624.9 K, 641.5 K, 654.2 K, and 661.1 K, and the maximum weightlessness rates were -5.33 wt%·min⁻¹, -9.59 wt%·min⁻¹, -14.18 wt%·min⁻¹, and -20.75 wt%·min⁻¹, respectively. The maximum weightlessness temperatures of the apricot stone lignin were greater than those of the

apricot stone, while the maximum weightlessness rates were lower (Shen et al. 2010b; Stefanidis et al. 2014).



Fig. 2. TG (a) and DTG (b) curves of the apricot stone lignin

TGA of Apricot Stone Cellulose

A narrow pyrolysis temperature range for apricot stone cellulose (520 K to 775 K) was observed (Fig. 3). With the increase in the heating rate, due to the effect of heat-transfer hysteresis, the positions of the TG curve and maximum weight loss rate shifted to the high-temperature side. With the increase in the heating rate from 10 K·min⁻¹ to 40 K·min⁻¹, the maximum weight loss temperature gradually increased from 623.17 K to 648.39 K, and the weight loss rate increased from -14.04 wt%·min⁻¹ to -41.11 wt%·min⁻¹. The macromolecule chain of apricot stone cellulose was neat, which meant that the polymer chains break first (Soni *et al.* 2015). The dissociation energy of glycosides that were

between the adjacent ring bonds was similar, and as the initial pyrolysis temperature of weightlessness gradually increased, the DTG curve exhibits sharp weightlessness peaks (Burhenne *et al.* 2013).



Fig. 3. TG (a) and DTG (b) curves of apricot stone cellulose

TGA of Apricot Stone Hemicellulose

The pyrolysis temperature range of apricot stone hemicellulose was 475 K to 700 K (Fig. 4). With the increase in the heating rate, the starting and ending temperatures of each stage slightly shifted to the high-temperature side, and the main reaction interval also slightly increased because of the presence of side chains in the molecular structure of apricot stone hemicellulose, which were easily broken at lower temperature. After the decomposition of the side chain, the main reaction tended to be polymer-chain depolymerization and intramolecular dehydration condensation. The heating rates were 10

 $K \cdot min^{-1}$, 20 $K \cdot min^{-1}$, 30 $K \cdot min^{-1}$, and 40 $K \cdot min^{-1}$, and the weight loss rate extremum positions were 568.7 K, 581.7 K, 587.9 K, and 592.6 K, respectively, in the DTG curve. These temperatures were the minimum temperatures of the maximum weight loss temperature for the pyrolysis of apricot stone and its components in different heating rates, suggesting that apricot stone hemicellulose was the most unstable component (Wang *et al.* 2016b).



Fig. 4. TG (a) and DTG (b) curves of apricot stone hemicelluloses

Kinetics Analysis

Arrhenius line

The DAEM method was employed to understand the relationship between *T* and α at different temperature increase rates during pyrolysis. According to the TG curve data, ln (β/T^2) was plotted as a function of 1/T, and the Arrhenius line was fitted with the same α value at different heating rates. According to the slope of the line fitting equation, the activation energy (*E*) that corresponded to the conversion rate was obtained. Figure 5 shows the Arrhenius plots of the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose at heating rates of 10 K·min⁻¹, 20 K·min⁻¹, 30 K·min⁻¹, and 40 K·min⁻¹ as a function of α .





Fig. 5. Arrhenius plots of $\ln(\beta/T^2)$ as a function of 1/T at a selected α for the apricot stone (a), lignin (b), cellulose (c), and hemicellulose (d)

The α values used for kinetics analysis were in the range of 0.10 to 0.75. Table 2 summarizes the kinetics parameters for the pyrolysis decomposition of apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose at distinct heating rates. The R² data for various pyrolysis decomposition were in the range of 0.9080 to 0.9992, indicating that the mechanism models selected for kinetics analysis were reliable.

Sample	α	Slope	R ²	Sample	α	Slope	R ²
Apricot	0.10	-25188.08	0.9781	Apricot stone	0.10	-9314.56	0.9882
stone	0.15	-26107.14	0.9772	lignin	0.15	-13299.47	0.9535
	0.20	-26498.21	0.9720		0.20	-12098.98	0.9751
	0.25	-26737.91	0.9747		0.25	-12394.21	0.9871
	0.30	-27274.99	0.9744		0.30	-13783.37	0.9802
	0.35	-28568.38	0.9741		0.35	-13822.36	0.9981
	0.40	-29571.23	0.9652		0.40	-14439.05	0.9947
	0.45	-29474.40	0.9729		0.45	-14766.21	0.9992
	0.50	-29560.85	0.9707		0.50	-15477.92	0.9922
	0.55	-29199.44	0.9722		0.55	-17190.33	0.9991
	0.60	-29379.67	0.9768		0.60	-19497.03	0.9902
	0.65	-29141.24	0.9825		0.65	-20933.2	0.9963
	0.70	-28837.70	0.9863		0.70	-31224.58	0.9821
	0.75	-28699.90	0.9826		0.75	-38189.44	0.9987
Sample	α	Slope	R ²	Sample	α	Slope	R ²
Apricot	0.10	-23578.29	0.9548	Apricot stone	0.10	-20437.28	0.9746
stone	0.15	-20697.97	0.9914	hemicellulose	0.15	-17847.21	0.9936
cellulose	0.20	-19085.91	0 9861		0.20	_17657 22	0 0020
			0.0001		0.20	-17057.22	0.3323
	0.25	-18814.12	0.9889		0.25	-17826.77	0.9929
	0.25 0.30	-18814.12 -17354.84	0.9889		0.25	-17826.77 -18523.23	0.9958
	0.25 0.30 0.35	-18814.12 -17354.84 -17910.25	0.9889 0.9868 0.9854		0.25 0.30 0.35	-17826.77 -18523.23 -18261.12	0.9958 0.9955 0.9978
	0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40	-18814.12 -17354.84 -17910.25 -17364.68	0.9889 0.9868 0.9854 0.9839		0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40	-17826.77 -18523.23 -18261.12 -17954.34	0.9955 0.9978 0.9981
	0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45	-18814.12 -17354.84 -17910.25 -17364.68 -17984.86	0.9889 0.9868 0.9854 0.9839 0.9849		0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45	-17826.77 -18523.23 -18261.12 -17954.34 -17989.85	0.9958 0.9955 0.9978 0.9981 0.9968
	0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50	-18814.12 -17354.84 -17910.25 -17364.68 -17984.86 -18094.87	0.9889 0.9868 0.9854 0.9839 0.9849 0.9772		0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50	-17826.77 -18523.23 -18261.12 -17954.34 -17989.85 -17689.76	0.9958 0.9955 0.9978 0.9981 0.9968 0.9956
	0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55	-18814.12 -17354.84 -17910.25 -17364.68 -17984.86 -18094.87 -17860.44	0.9889 0.9868 0.9854 0.9839 0.9849 0.9772 0.9750		0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55	-17826.77 -18523.23 -18261.12 -17954.34 -17989.85 -17689.76 -17331.22	0.9958 0.9955 0.9978 0.9981 0.9968 0.9956 0.9928
	0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55 0.60	-18814.12 -17354.84 -17910.25 -17364.68 -17984.86 -18094.87 -17860.44 -17842.76	0.9889 0.9868 0.9854 0.9839 0.9849 0.9772 0.9750 0.9486		0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55 0.60	-17826.77 -18523.23 -18261.12 -17954.34 -17989.85 -17689.76 -17331.22 -16922.87	0.9958 0.9955 0.9978 0.9981 0.9968 0.9956 0.9928 0.9843
	0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55 0.60 0.65	-18814.12 -17354.84 -17910.25 -17364.68 -17984.86 -18094.87 -17860.44 -17842.76 -20008.26	0.9889 0.9868 0.9854 0.9839 0.9849 0.9772 0.9750 0.9486 0.9352		0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55 0.60 0.65	-17826.77 -18523.23 -18261.12 -17954.34 -17989.85 -17689.76 -17331.22 -16922.87 -15916.19	0.9958 0.9955 0.9978 0.9981 0.9968 0.9956 0.9928 0.9843 0.9735
	0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55 0.60 0.65 0.70	-18814.12 -17354.84 -17910.25 -17364.68 -17984.86 -18094.87 -17860.44 -17842.76 -20008.26 -30778.61	0.9889 0.9868 0.9854 0.9839 0.9849 0.9772 0.9750 0.9486 0.9352 0.9461		0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55 0.60 0.65 0.70	-17826.77 -18523.23 -18261.12 -17954.34 -17989.85 -17689.76 -17331.22 -16922.87 -15916.19 -15229.85	0.9958 0.9955 0.9978 0.9981 0.9968 0.9956 0.9928 0.9843 0.9735 0.9531

Table 2. Slope and Correlation Coefficient R² Obtained by the DEAM of the Apricot Stone, Lignin, Cellulose, and Hemicellulose

Pyrolysis Activation Energy

In the DAEM, the activation energy values obtained for the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose were a function of α (Fig. 6). From the change in the activation energy for the pyrolysis of the apricot stone, the activation energy did not linearly increase with the progress of pyrolysis, but it increased from 209 kJ·mol⁻¹ to 245 kJ·mol⁻¹, representing an increase to a stable change trend (Shen *et al.* 2011). At an α value less than 0.40, as α increased, the activation energy increased from 209 kJ·mol⁻¹ to the maximum of 245.9 kJ·mol⁻¹. When α was between 0.40 and 0.60, *E* slightly fluctuated up and down near 245 kJ·mol⁻¹. At an α greater than 0.6, the activation energy slightly decreased.

From Fig. 6, the activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone lignin gradually increased with the progress of the reaction. At an α less than 0.55, the activation energy increased from 77.4 kJ·mol⁻¹ to 142.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ with the increase of α . At this stage, the activation energy for apricot stone lignin was less than those for the apricot stone and other components. At an α greater than 0.6, the activation energy suddenly increased, reaching 317.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ at an α of 0.75 (Wang *et al.* 2016a). Ma *et al.* (2018) studied pyrolysis behavior of four types of lignin which were isolated from palm kernel shell with different severities: milled wood lignin (MWL), alkali lignin (AL), Klason lignin (KL), and

organosolv ethanol lignin (OEL). As the conversion rate increased from 0.1 to 0.8, the activation energy estimated from DAEM model of KL gradually increased from 170.8 to $580.5 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$.



Fig. 6. Relationship of α as a function of *E* as estimated by the distributed activation energy for the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose

As shown in the Fig. 6, the activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone cellulose increased and then decreased with the pyrolysis reaction (Wang *et al.* 2017). At an α less than 0.45, the activation energy decreased from 196.0 kJ·mol⁻¹ to 144.4 kJ·mol⁻¹ as α increased. At an α greater than 0.45, the activation energy increased from 149.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ to the maximum of 364.4 kJ·mol⁻¹. At an α greater than 0.6, the activation energy suddenly increased, which was similar to the change trend observed for lignin. The activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone cellulose at an α of 0.75 was greater than those observed for the apricot stone and other components. Cai *et al.* (2013) investigated the kinetic data of xylan and cellulose fitted to a distributed activation energy model (DAEM) where the activation energy distribution peaks were centered at 178.3 and 210.0 kJ·mol⁻¹ for xylan and cellulose respectively.

The activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone hemicellulose exhibited a steady downward trend. At an α of less than 0.20, the activation energy decreased from 171 kJ·mol⁻¹ to 148 kJ·mol⁻¹ with the increase of α . At an α between 0.20 and 0.35, the activation energy increased from 148 kJ·mol⁻¹ to 155 kJ·mol⁻¹. At an α greater than 0.6, the activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone hemicellulose was less than those for the apricot stone and other components and then decreased to the lowest value of 120.2 kJ·mol⁻¹ at an α of 0.75. Wang *et al.* (2015) investigated the distribution of activation energy for pyrolysis of hemicellulose polymers isolated from two agricultural straw samples by using a DAEM with a single Gaussian function, and obtained a mean activation energy of 150 kJ·mol⁻¹.

From the relationship between the activation energy for the pyrolysis of apricot stone and its components and the reaction conversion rate, α or E corresponded to the pyrolysis temperature. At low pyrolysis temperatures (400 K to 600 K), the pyrolysis of hemicellulose was the main pyrolysis reaction. With the continuous increase in the pyrolysis temperature (600 K to 660 K), cellulose in the raw material underwent considerable pyrolysis, and its activation energy was relatively high. At the start of pyrolysis, the activation energy for lignin was low, with the widest range of the pyrolysis temperature. In the early stage of pyrolysis, the apparent activation energy for the apricot stone mainly depended on the pyrolysis of hemicellulose and lignin; hence, the activation energy was low. At the later stage of pyrolysis, the apparent activation energy for the apricot stone mainly resulted from the pyrolysis of cellulose and lignin; hence, the activation energy was higher. Ren et al. (2018) studied the thermal oxidative degradation kinetics of peanut shell (PS) and sunflower shell (SS) by using distributed activation energy model (DAEM). The activation energy ranges calculated by the DAEM for the thermal oxidative degradation of PS and SS were 88.9 to $145.3 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ and $94.9 \text{ to } 169.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The kinetics of the apricot stone, lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose pyrolysis were analyzed from thermogravimetric data by the distributed activation energy mode. The correlation coefficient R^2 indicated that the mechanism models selected for the kinetics analysis of the apricot stone and its components were reliable.
- 2. The activation energy for the pyrolysis of the apricot stone was in the range from 209 kJ⋅mol⁻¹ to 245 kJ⋅mol⁻¹. Lignin exhibited the widest range of activation energy values from 77.4 kJ⋅mol⁻¹ to 317.5 kJ⋅mol⁻¹. The activation energy for cellulose first decreased from 196.0 kJ⋅mol⁻¹ to 144.4 kJ⋅mol⁻¹ and increased to the maximum of 364.4 kJ⋅mol⁻¹. The activation energy of hemicellulose exhibited a steady downward trend from 171 kJ⋅mol⁻¹ to 120.2 kJ⋅mol⁻¹.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFE0201800) and the Priority Academic Program Development (PAPD) of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

REFERENCES CITED

Ahmad, M. S., Mehmood, M. A., Liu, C.-G., Tawab, A., Bai, F.-W., Sakdaronnarong, C., Xu, J., Rahimuddin, S. A., and Gull, M. (2018). "Bioenergy potential of *Wolffia arrhiza* appraised through pyrolysis, kinetics, thermodynamics parameters and TG-FTIR-MS study of the evolved gases," *Bioresource Technology* 253, 297-303. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.01.033

Akhtar, A., Krepl, V., and Ivanova, T. (2018). "A combined overview of combustion,

pyrolysis, and gasification of biomass," *Energy & Fuels* 32(7), 7294-7318. DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b01678

- Ali, I., Naqvi, S. R., and Bahadar, A. (2018). "Kinetic analysis of *Botryococcus braunii* pyrolysis using model-free and model fitting methods," *Fuel* 214, 369-380. DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2017.11.046
- Ali, S., Masud, T., and Abbasi, K. S. (2011). "Physico-chemical characteristics of apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) grown in Northern areas of Pakistan," *Scientia Horticulturae* 130(2), 386-392. DOI: 10.1016/j.scienta.2011.05.040
- ASTM D1102-84 (2013). "Standard test method for ash in wood," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, USA.
- ASTM E871-82 (2013). "Standard test method for moisture analysis of particulate wood fuels," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, USA.
- ASTM E872-82(2013). "Standard test method for volatile matter in the analysis of particulate wood fuels," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, USA.
- Bartocci, P., Tschentscher, R., Stensrød, R. E., Barbanera, M., and Fantozzi, F. (2019). "Kinetic analysis of digestate slow pyrolysis with the application of the master-plots method and independent parallel reactions scheme," *Molecules*, 24 (9), Article Number 1657. DOI: 10.3390/molecules24091657
- Bhagavatula, A., Shah, N., and Honaker, R. (2016). "Estimating the pyrolysis kinetic parameters of coal, biomass, and their blends: A comparative study," *Energy & Fuels* 30(12), 10045-10054. DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.5b00692
- Burhenne, L., Messmer, J., Aicher, T., and Laborie, M.-P. (2013). "The effect of the biomass components lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose on TGA and fixed bed pyrolysis," *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 101, 177-184. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaap.2013.01.012
- Cai, J., Wu, W., and Liu, R. (2014). "An overview of distributed activation energy model and its application in the pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 36, 236-246. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2014.04.052
- Cai, J., Wu, W., Liu, R., and Huber, G. W. (2013). "A distributed activation energy model for the pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass," *Green Chemistry* 15(5), 1331-1340. DOI: 10.1039/c3gc36958g
- Chen, D., Zhou, J., and Zhang, Q. (2014). "Effects of heating rate on slow pyrolysis behavior, kinetic parameters and products properties of moso bamboo," *Bioresource Technology* 169, 313-319. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2014.07.009
- Coimbra, R. N., Escapa, C., and Otero, M. (2019). "Comparative thermogravimetric assessment on the combustion of coal, microalgae biomass and their blend," *Energies* 12(15), Article Number 2962. DOI: 10.3390/en12152962
- Corbett, D., Kohan, N., Machado, G., Jing, C., Nagardeolekar, A., and Bujanovic, B. (2015). "Chemical composition of apricot pit shells and effect of hot-water extraction," *Energies* 8(9), 9640-9654. DOI: 10.3390/en8099640
- Damartzis, T., Vamvuka, D., Sfakiotakis, S., and Zabaniotou, A. (2011). "Thermal degradation studies and kinetic modeling of cardoon (*Cynara cardunculus*) pyrolysis using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)," *Bioresource Technology* 102(10), 6230-6238. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2011.02.060
- De Caprariis, B., Santarelli, M. L., Scarsella, M., Herce, C., Verdone, N., and De Filippis, P. (2015). "Kinetic analysis of biomass pyrolysis using a double distributed activation energy model," *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry* 121(3), 1403-1410. DOI: 10.1007/s10973-015-4665-2

- Demiral, I., and Kul, Ş. Ç. (2014). "Pyrolysis of apricot kernel shell in a fixed-bed reactor: Characterization of bio-oil and char," *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 107, 17-24. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaap.2014.01.019
- Dorez, G., Ferry, L., Sonnier, R., Taguet, A., and Lopez-Cuesta, J.-M. (2014). "Effect of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin contents on pyrolysis and combustion of natural fibers," *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 107, 323-331. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaap.2014.03.017
- Gaurav, N., Sivasankari, S., Kiran, G. S., Ninawe, A., and Selvin, J. (2017). "Utilization of bioresources for sustainable biofuels: A review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 73, 205-214. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.070
- Hu, M., Chen, Z., Wang, S., Guo, D., Ma, C., Zhou, Y., Chen, J., Laghari, M., Fazal, S., Xiao, B., *et al.* (2016). "Thermogravimetric kinetics of lignocellulosic biomass slow pyrolysis using distributed activation energy model, Fraser–Suzuki deconvolution, and iso-conversional method," *Energy Conversion and Management* 118, 1-11. DOI: 10.1016/j.enconman.2016.03.058
- Jia, H., Wang, Y., and Xu, G. (2013). "Study on optimization of activated carbon preparation process based on apricot shell," *Advanced Materials Research* 785-786, 739-744. DOI: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.785-786.739
- Jiang, G., Nowakowski, D. J., and Bridgwater, A. V. (2010). "A systematic study of the kinetics of lignin pyrolysis," *Thermochimica Acta* 498(1-2), 61-66. DOI: 10.1016/j.tca.2009.10.003
- Li, W., Yang, K., Peng, J., Zhang, L., Guo, S., and Xia, H. (2008). "Effects of carbonization temperatures on characteristics of porosity in coconut shell chars and activated carbons derived from carbonized coconut shell chars," *Industrial Crops and Products* 28(2), 190-198. DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2008.02.012
- Li, Z., Han, C., and Gu, T. (2018). "Economics of biomass gasification: A review of the current status," *Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy* 13(2), 137-140. DOI: 10.1080/15567249.2017.1410593
- Lin, Y., Chen, Z., Dai, M., Fang, S., Liao, Y., Yu, Z., and Ma, X. (2018). "Co-pyrolysis kinetics of sewage sludge and bagasse using multiple normal distributed activation energy model (M-DAEM)," *Bioresource Technology* 259, 173-180. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.03.036
- Ma, Z., Chen, D., Gu, J., Bao, B., and Zhang, Q. (2015). "Determination of pyrolysis characteristics and kinetics of palm kernel shell using TGA–FTIR and model-free integral methods," *Energy Conversion and Management* 89, 251-259. DOI: 10.1016/j.enconman.2014.09.074
- Ma, Z., Wang, J., Zhou, H., Zhang, Y., Yang, Y., Liu, X., Ye, J., Chen, D., and Wang, S. (2018). "Relationship of thermal degradation behavior and chemical structure of lignin isolated from palm kernel shell under different process severities," *Fuel Processing Technology* 181, 142–156. DOI:10.1016/j.fuproc.2018.09.020
- Marzbali, M. H., Esmaieli, M., Abolghasemi, H., and Marzbali, M. H. (2016).
 "Tetracycline adsorption by H₃PO₄-activated carbon produced from apricot nut shells: A batch study," *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* 102, 700-709. DOI: 10.1016/j.psep.2016.05.025
- Ranzi, E., Cuoci, A., Faravelli, T., Frassoldati, A., Migliavacca, G., Pierucci, S., and Sommariva, S. (2008). "Chemical kinetics of biomass pyrolysis," *Energy & Fuels* 22(6), 4292-4300. DOI: 10.1021/ef800551t
- Ren, X., Chen, J., Li, G., Wang, Y., Lang, X., and Fan, S. (2018). "Thermal oxidative

degradation kinetics of agricultural residues using distributed activation energy model and global kinetic model," *Bioresource Technology* 261, 403-411. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.04.047

- Saikia, R., Baruah, B., Kalita, D., Pant, K. K., Gogoi, N., and Kataki, R. (2018). "Pyrolysis and kinetic analyses of a perennial grass (*Saccharum ravannae* L.) from north-east India: Optimization through response surface methodology and product characterization," *Bioresource Technology* 253, 304-314. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.01.054
- Shen, D. K., Gu, S., and Bridgwater, A. V. (2010a). "The thermal performance of the polysaccharides extracted from hardwood: Cellulose and hemicellulose," *Carbohydrate Polymers* 82(1), 39-45. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.04.018
- Shen, D. K., Gu, S., Luo, K. H., Wang, S. R., and Fang, M. X. (2010b). "The pyrolytic degradation of wood-derived lignin from pulping process," *Bioresource Technology* 101(15), 6136-6146. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2010.02.078
- Shen, D. K., Gu, S., Jin, B., and Fang, M. X. (2011). "Thermal degradation mechanisms of wood under inert and oxidative environments using DAEM methods," *Bioresource Technology* 102(2), 2047-2052. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2010.09.081
- Slopiecka, K., Bartocci, P., and Fantozzi, F. (2012). "Thermogravimetric analysis and kinetic study of poplar wood pyrolysis," *Applied Energy* 97, 491-497. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.12.056
- Song, E., Kim, D., Jeong, C.-J., and Kim, D.-Y. (2019). "A kinetic study on combustible coastal debris pyrolysis *via* thermogravimetric analysis," *Energies* 12(5), Article Number 836. DOI: 10.3390/en12050836
- Soni, B., Hassan, E. B., and Mahmoud, B. (2015). "Chemical isolation and characterization of different cellulose nanofibers from cotton stalks," *Carbohydrate Polymers* 134, 581-589. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.08.031
- Stefanidis, S. D., Kalogiannis, K. G., Iliopoulou, E. F., Michailof, C. M., Pilavachi, P. A., and Lappas, A. A. (2014). "A study of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis via the pyrolysis of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin," *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 105, 143-150. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaap.2013.10.013
- Tan, F. F., Tang, K. L., Zhang, P., Guo, Y.-J., Qu, M., and Li, Y. (2019). "Utilization of a hydrogen source from renewable lignocellulosic biomass for hydrogenation of nitroarenes," *ChemCatChem* 11(16), 4189-4195. DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201900087
- TAPPI T222 (2002). "Acid-insoluble lignin in wood and pulp," Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry, Atlanta, GA, USA.
- Usman, M., Chen, H., Chen, K., Ren, S., Clark, J. H., Fan, J., Luo, G., and Zhang, S. (2019). "Characterization and utilization of aqueous products from hydrothermal conversion of biomass for bio-oil and hydro-char production: A review," *Green Chemistry* 21(7), 1553-1572. DOI: 10.1039/C8GC03957G
- Vand, V. (1943). "A theory of the irreversible electrical resistance changes of metallic films evaporated in vacuum," *Proceedings of the Physical Society* 55(3), 222-246. DOI: 10.1088/0959-5309/55/3/308
- Viottoa, R. S., Maia, A. A. D., Yamaji, F. M., and De Morais, L. C. (2018).
 "Thermogravimetric investigation of spent shiitake substrate to solid biofuel," *Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering* 96(4), 845-854.
 DOI: 10.1002/cjce.23026
- Wang, J., Zhang, S., Guo, X., Dong, A., Chen, C., Xiong, S., Fang, Y., and Yin, W. (2012). "Thermal behaviors and kinetics of pingshuo coal/biomass blends during

copyrolysis and cocombustion," *Energy & Fuels* 26(12), 7120-7126. DOI: 10.1021/ef301473k

- Wang, S., Dai, G., Ru, B., Zhao, Y., Wang, X., Xiao, G., and Luo, Z. (2017). "Influence of torrefaction on the characteristics and pyrolysis behavior of cellulose," *Energy* 120, 864-871. DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2016.11.135
- Wang, S., Lin, H., Ru, B., Dai, G., Wang, X., Xiao, G., and Luo, Z. (2016a). "Kinetic modeling of biomass components pyrolysis using a sequential and coupling method," *Fuel* 185, 763-771. DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2016.08.037
- Wang, S., Lin, H., Zhang, L., Dai, G., Zhao, Y., Wang, X., and Ru, B. (2016b).
 "Structural characterization and pyrolysis behavior of cellulose and hemicellulose isolated from softwood *Pinus armandii* franch," *Energy & Fuels* 30(7), 5721-5728. DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b00650
- Wang, S., Ru, B., Lin, H., Dai, G., Wang, Y., and Luo, Z. (2016c). "Kinetic study on pyrolysis of biomass components: A critical review," *Current Organic Chemistry* 20(23), 2489-2513. DOI: 10.2174/1385272820666160525115832
- Wang, S., Ru, B., Dai, G., Sun, W., Qiu, K., and Zhou, J. (2015). "Pyrolysis mechanism study of minimally damaged hemicellulose polymers isolated from agricultural waste straw samples," *Bioresource Technology* 190, 211-218. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.04.098
- Wang, W., Li, X., Dan, Y., Cai, L., and Shi, S. Q. (2018). "Catalytic pyrolysis of larch sawdust for phenol-rich bio-oil using different catalysts," *Renewable Energy* 121, 146-152. DOI: 10.1016/j.renene.2018.01.018
- Xu, L., Guo, F., Wang, G., Giesy, J. P., Bai, Y., Wang, X., and Song, F. (2019).
 "Correlations between slow pyrolysis characteristics and organic carbon structure of aquatic plant biomass," *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 26(17), 17555-17566. DOI: 10.1007/s11356-019-04936-2
- Yan, Y., Meng, Y., Tang, L., Kostas, E. T., Lester, E. H., Wu, T., and Pang, C. H. (2019).
 "Ignition and kinetic studies: The influence of lignin on biomass combustion," *Energy* & *Fuels* 33(7), 6463-6472. DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.9b01089
- Yang, H., Yan, R., Chen, H., Lee, D. H., and Zheng, C. (2007). "Characteristics of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin pyrolysis," *Fuel* 86(12-13), 1781-1788. DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2006.12.013
- Yang, K., Gao, Q., Tan, Y., Tian, W., Zhu, L., and Yang, C. (2015). "Microporous carbon derived from Apricot shell as cathode material for lithium-sulfur battery," *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials* 204, 235-241. DOI: 10.1016/j.micromeso.2014.12.003
- Zhang, J., Chen, T., Wu, J., and Wu, J. (2014). "A novel Gaussian-DAEM-reaction model for the pyrolysis of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin," *RSC Advances* 4(34), 17513-17520. DOI: 10.1039/C4RA01445F
- Zhou, Z., Lei, F., Li, P., and Jiang, J. (2018). "Lignocellulosic biomass to biofuels and biochemicals: A comprehensive review with a focus on ethanol organosolv pretreatment technology," *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 115(11), 2683-2702. DOI: 10.1002/bit.26788

Article submitted: September 16, 2019; Peer review completed: November 17, 2019; Revised version received and accepted: December 16, 2019; Published: January 6, 2020. DOI: 10.15376/biores.15.1.1187-1204