Accommodating Log Dimensions and Geometry in Log Procurement Decisions for Spindleless Rotary Veneer Production
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Information to support efficient log procurement decisions based on log cost as well as volume and value of veneer produced from log volume is scarce. The objective of this study was to systematically investigate the effect of log dimensions and geometry (small-end diameter under bark (SEDUB), taper, sweep, and ovality) on the recovery of marketable veneer from log volume, and to produce a metric to support efficient log procurement decisions. The metric developed was the maximum that could be paid for mill-delivered logs of a specific log geometry (MDLC max) while attaining a target gross margin. In decreasing order of impact on the net recovery of marketable veneer from log volume, the log characteristics were sweep, SEDUB, taper, and ovality. In an Australian case study, log dimensions and geometry were found to substantially affect MDLC max. Relative to a 2.6-m cylindrical log, taper of 0.01 m/m of log length decreased MDLC max $10/m^3 and sweep of 0.01 m/m of log length decreased MDLC max $20/m^3. This metric is useful for supporting log procurement decisions of the timber industry.
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INTRODUCTION

Many countries are increasingly reliant on shorter rotation timber plantations or have large volumes of low-grade plantation or native forest logs that are destined for low-value products such as landscaping, woodchips, and bioenergy (Leggate et al. 2017). For example, in Australia, processing studies milling the traditional suite of sawnwood products from plantation eucalypt logs have typically yielded less than half of the product recovery achieved with eucalypt logs from mature native forests (Leggate et al. 2000; Washusen et al. 2009; Blakemore et al. 2010a,b; Washusen 2011; Washusen and Harwood 2011; McGavin and Leggate 2019). This represents a poor return on investment for forest growers and sawmillers. Although advances have been made in small log sawmilling, low volume recoveries remain and defects limit sawnwood grade quality. Rotary veneer processing enables a much higher volume recovery from small logs, and the manufacture of veneer-based products allows for randomisation of defects, such that defects are not concentrated at one location in the product and attainment of a marketable grade is possible (Leggate et al. 2017). A traditional spindle rotary veneer lathe allows recovery of veneer down to a residual cylindrical peeler core diameter of usually greater than 0.15 m. In
contrast, a spindleless rotary veneer lathe can recover veneer down to a residual core diameter of less than 0.05 m. The proliferation of spindleless rotary veneer processing plants, particularly in Asia, suggests that a higher return on investment can be achieved if small log resources are processed into veneer-based engineered wood products (Arnold et al. 2013; Leggate et al. 2017).

Knowledge of mechanical properties of veneer-based engineered wood products from small diameter trees is increasingly available for North American softwood species (Sorenson 1985; Spelter and Sleet 1989; Pease 1993; Dobner, Jr. et al. 2013; Wang and Dai 2013), temperate and subtropical eucalypt species (McGavin et al. 2014a, 2015a, b; Gilbert et al. 2017), and other species such as Asian rubber trees (Khoo et al. 2018) and European birch (Verkasalo 1997; Heräjärvi and Arponen 2008; Verkasalo and Heräjärvi 2009). All these studies have reported favourable veneer recovery rates and mechanical properties from small logs. Engineered wood products manufactured from veneers recovered from young hardwood plantation logs can exceed the mechanical properties of similar products manufactured from mature-age softwood (e.g., Pinus species) plantation logs (Gaunt et al. 2003; De Carvalho et al. 2004; Rahayu et al. 2015).

The value of logs at the lathe for production of veneer-based engineered wood products is determined by green veneer recovery and utility after drying the green veneer (Fahey and Williits 1991). Green veneer recovery from log volume is affected by the residual cylindrical peeler core diameter, as well as log dimensions and geometry. Log-end splitting and wood decay affect how closely the machine design residual core diameter (CD) can be reached (Hamilton et al. 2015). Small-end diameter under bark (SEDUB), taper (T), sweep (S), and ovality (O) (out-of-roundness) will affect the proportion of log volume lost when logs are rounded to a cylindrical peeler billet prior to rotary peeling (Luo et al. 2013; McGavin et al. 2014a, b). As log diameter decreases, the relative impact of log geometry on green veneer recovery increases. Thus, there has been a research focus on identifying which log traits most affect green veneer recovery from log volume (Luo et al. 2013; Peng et al. 2014; Hamilton et al. 2015). However, no consensus has arisen from this research, in part because analysis approaches have not separated log dimensions and geometry influences from the grade quality of wood recovered as a result of the deconstruction process.

Table 1 summarises net recovery (NR) of marketable veneer as a percentage of mill-delivered log volume (MDLV), and the proportion of marketable veneer recovered by veneer grade, from spindleless lathe research trials in Australia. The reported mean NRs combine the effects of waste due to log dimensions and geometry, internal defects (from imperfections inside the log), clipping, shrinkage during drying, grading, and trimming to final product dimensions. An estimate of marketable veneer recovery from peelable log volume (MVRPLV) is necessary to isolate the effect of log dimensions and geometry from other factors on the recovery of marketable veneer from log volume.

Veneer manufacturers need a simple metric to assess how log dimensions and geometry affect marketable veneer recovery and value so that efficient log procurement decisions can be made. Amishev and Murphy (2009) presented a general methodology for estimating break-even prices for Douglas-fir peeler logs that mills in Oregon, USA, could afford to pay based on acoustic assessment of veneer stiffness. Andersson et al. (2016) developed a mixed integer programming model that estimated the additional willingness of sawmills in Sweden to pay for logs of particular characteristics (length, SEDUB, knot type, and internode length). That study found that the additional costs associated with multiple log piles at the landing and separate transport of logs with different characteristics...
were not covered by increased willingness to pay. While a few studies have systematically examined how log diameter can affect the financial performance of veneer production (e.g., Dobner, Jr. et al. 2013), the authors are not aware of papers that have attempted to systematically quantify how taper, sweep, and ovality of logs can affect the financial performance of veneer manufacture.

**Table 1.** Veneer Recovery by Grade from Research Trials where Spindleless Lathe Technology was Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Resource Type</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Mean DBHOB (cm)$^2$</th>
<th>Mean Log SEDUB (cm)$^3$</th>
<th>NR (%)$^4$</th>
<th>Recovery By Veneer Grade (%)$^5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Corymbia citriodora</em> $^a$</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. citriodora</em> $^a$</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0 9 5 86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. citriodora</em> $^a$</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0 1 11 88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. citriodora</em> $^b$</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>10 to 12</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>48 0.3 1</td>
<td>16.4 82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eucalyptus cloeziana</em> $^b$</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>12 to 15</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>58 0.2 4.8</td>
<td>27.1 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. dunnii</em> $^b$</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>55 0 0</td>
<td>7.7 91.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. pellita</em> $^b$</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>55 0 1.5</td>
<td>10.4 86.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. nitens</em> $^b$</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>20 to 22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>55 0.4 9.1</td>
<td>13.7 76.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. globulus</em> $^b$</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>13 to 16</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>50 0 0.9</td>
<td>2.3 96.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ Resource type, where N is native forest and P is plantation forest;  
$^2$ Mean diameter at breast height over bark;  
$^3$ Mean SEDUB of docked logs for veneering. Note that many trees produced more than one docked log for veneering.  
$^4$ Net recovery of marketable veneer (% of MDLV);  
$^5$ Graded in accordance with AS/NZS 2269.0:2012 (2012);  
Sources: $^a$McGavin and Leggate (2019); $^b$McGavin et al. (2014a)

The objectives of this study were to quantitatively and systematically investigate the effect of log dimensions and geometry (SEDUB, T, S, and O) on the recovery of marketable veneer from log volume for spindleless rotary veneer production and to produce a metric that will support log procurement decisions. The metric is the maximum that can be paid for mill-delivered logs of a particular log geometry while attaining a target gross margin (GM) that covers variable and fixed costs of veneering, including an acceptable return on invested capital. Gross margin is defined as the market value of marketable dry-graded veneer minus the log cost. This paper describes a case study to support hardwood log procurement in Australia, and all dollar figures are reported in Australian dollars unless otherwise specified. In August 2019, the monetary conversion rate was $1 = US $0.70 (Reserve Bank of Australia 2019). Model parameters can easily be adjusted to accommodate alternative log resource type, business structure, and market scenarios.
EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and Methods
The analysis was performed in four steps, namely:

1. Fit a regression model to predict total veneering time by rounded log diameter.
2. Determine net recovery of marketable veneer by log dimensions and geometry.
3. Predict the value of marketable veneer produced/h by rounded log diameter.
4. Calculate the maximum mill-delivered log cost for logs of alternative dimensions and geometries to achieve a target gross margin.

Veneering time
A time-and-motion study was performed during regular operations at a hardwood veneer manufacturing facility for a typical batch of 164 Eucalyptus species logs from native forests in subtropical eastern Australia. The logs had been steam-heated and docked to 2.6-m length billets before they were loaded into the rounding-debarking lathe. This lathe removed geometrical irregularities such as sweep, taper, and ovality. Following rounding, the cylindrical billets ranged from 16 cm to 46 cm in diameter. The constraint on veneer production per unit of time was observed to be the spindleless rotary veneer lathe, not the rounder-debarking lathe. Therefore, measurement effort for the time-and-motion study was focused at the veneer lathe.

The rate at which the lathe can peel veneer is a function of the time spent loading logs into the lathe (LT) and the veneer peeling time (PT). Several variables not related to log dimensions and geometry, including machine operator skill, can affect the time spent loading logs into the lathe. However, log diameter is positively related to log loading time, because at the completion of peeling a log, the log drive rollers are closed at the peeler core position. The peeler core is a residual cylindrical core from the log centre, from which no veneer can be recovered. Lathe design often sets the minimum residual peeler core diameter. A minimum peeler core diameter of 4.5 cm was adopted in this study. The log drive rollers then need to retract from the closed position to provide a sufficient opening to allow the next log to enter the lathe in preparation for peeling. The larger the diameter of the next log, the further the log drive rollers need to retract. The time required to retract the log drive rollers is greater than the time required for the log loader to position the next log ready for loading into the lathe, because the latter task is typically performed while peeling the log already in the lathe. Log loading time data was collected for the 164 logs, measured as the time from when peeling of one log stopped to when peeling of the next log commenced.

Theoretically, PT in s is a function of the rounded log diameter (RLD) of the cylindrical billets, lathe peeling speed (PS), veneer thickness (VT), and the core diameter (CD) as shown in Eq. 1:

$$PT = \pi \times \left[ \left(\frac{RLD}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{CD}{2}\right)^2 \right] \div \left( \frac{VT \times PS}{2} \right)$$

(1)

The PT was determined for the RLD for each of the 164 observed logs. The levels adopted and units for other variables in Eq. 1 are reported in Table 2. Total veneering time in s (TST) for each of the 164 logs was then estimated as the sum of observed LT and the calculated PT, as shown in Eq. 2:

$$TVT = LT + PT$$

(2)
Table 2. Log Geometry Characteristics Assessed and Rotary Spindleless Lathe Veneering Assumptions Adopted for Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Acronym or Symbol</th>
<th>Levels Assessed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log Geometry Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log length (m)</td>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small-end diameter under bark (m)</td>
<td>SEDUB</td>
<td>Range from 0.16 m to 0.6 m in 0.02 m increments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taper (m/m)</td>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>0, 0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweep (m/m)</td>
<td>( S )</td>
<td>0, 0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovality (%)</td>
<td>( OV )</td>
<td>0, 5, 10, 15, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindleless Lathe Veneering Assumptions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating speed for spindleless lathe (lm/s)</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>0.667 (Equivalent to 40 lm/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeler core diameter (m)</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeler core volume for a 2.6 m log (m³)</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.004135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veneer thickness (green) (m)</td>
<td>VT</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable veneer recovery from peelable log volume (%)</td>
<td>MVRPLV</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corp., version Microsoft Office Professional Plus 2016, Redmond, WA, USA) was used to fit a simple linear regression model to the TVT data to predict TVT as a function of RLD (in cm). The model’s fit to the data was tested with the F-test of overall significance, and the student t-test tested the significance of the slope of the regression. A measure of how well observed outcomes are replicated by the model is provided by the coefficient of determination. The TVT regression model assumed a lathe utilisation rate of 100%. That is, logs were continuously being loaded and peeled in the lathe, and there were no stoppages due to issues such as log jams, waste removal, green veneer removal, or lathe knife sharpening. This is impossible in practice; however, utilization rates can vary substantially depending on many factors, including labour skill and level of processing automation. Results from this analysis were presented on the basis of 100% utilization, because it facilitated fractional adjustment of estimates to an alternative utilization rate. This was demonstrated in the discussion.

**Net recovery of marketable veneer**

Determining NR required information about the peelable log volume (PLV) that could potentially be recovered from MDLV. To facilitate a systematic analysis of the effect of log dimensions and geometry on NR, PLV in m³, in a cylindrical billet rounded from logs with taper (PLV\(_{\text{taper}}\)), sweep (PLV\(_{\text{sweep}}\)), and ovality (PLV\(_{\text{ovality}}\)) characteristics summarised in Table 2, was estimated for logs with SEDUB ranging from 0.16 m to 0.6 m in 0.02 m increments using the following equations,

\[
\text{PLV}_{\text{taper}} = L \times \pi \times \left(\frac{\text{SEDUB}}{2}\right)^2 - \text{CV}
\]
\[ \text{PLV}_{\text{sweep}} = L \times \pi \times \left( \frac{\text{SEDUB} - S \times L}{2} \right)^2 - CV \]  

\[ \text{PLV}_{\text{ovality}} = L \times \pi \times \left( \frac{\text{SEDUB} - \left( \frac{\text{OV} \times \text{SEDUB}}{2} \right)}{2} \right)^2 - CV \]

where \( L \) is log length (m), \( \pi \) is the mathematical constant number \( \pi \), \( CV \) is the peeler core volume (m\(^3\)) where no veneer can be recovered, SEDUB is the small end-diameter under bark (m) as measured by a diameter tape around the circumference of the log, \( S \) is sweep in m/m of log length, and \( OV \) is ovality as a percentage (%) entered in the equation as a fraction. The variable \( OV \) was defined in Eq. 6,

\[ OV = \frac{(L_{\text{SEDUB}} - S_{\text{SEDUB}})}{S_{\text{SEDUB}}} \]

where \( L_{\text{SEDUB}} \) is the largest small-end diameter under bark (m), as measured across the face of the small-end of the log, and \( S_{\text{SEDUB}} \) is the smallest small-end diameter under bark (m), as measured across the face of the small-end of the log.

The variable MDLV, from which the PLV was obtained after rounding, was calculated as follows for logs with taper (MDLV\(_{\text{taper}}\)), sweep (MDLV\(_{\text{sweep}}\)), and ovality (MDLV\(_{\text{ovality}}\)) characteristics reported in Table 2 as well as SEDUB ranging from 0.16 m to 0.6 m in 0.02 m increments,

\[ \text{MDLV}_{\text{taper}} = L \times \frac{\pi}{4} \times \left( \frac{2 \times \text{SEDUB} + T \times L}{2} \right)^2 \]  

\[ \text{MDLV}_{\text{sweep}} = L \times \pi \times \left( \frac{\text{SEDUB}}{2} \right)^2 \]  

\[ \text{MDLV}_{\text{ovality}} = L \times \frac{\pi}{4} \times \left( \frac{L_{\text{SEDUB}} + S_{\text{SEDUB}}}{2} \right)^2 \]

where \( T \) is log taper in log length (m/m) and all other variables have been defined above.

Due to defects in the veneer sheets (from imperfections inside the log), shrinkage of veneer during drying, and trimming veneer to marketable dimensions, there were losses when processing green peeled veneer into recovered volume that met grade quality and was therefore marketable veneer. Hence, marketable veneer volume was less than PLV. The NR for logs ranging in SEDUB from 0.16 m to 0.6 m in 0.02 m increments is calculated as,

\[ \text{NR} = \left( \frac{\text{PLV}_{\text{MDLV}}}{MVR_{\text{PLV}}} \right) \times \text{MVR}_{\text{PLV}} \]

where PLV and MDLV are estimated using Eq. 3 to Eq. 9 for logs of alternative dimensions and geometry defined in Table 2, and MVRPLV is marketable veneer recovery from peelable log volume (%).

There is limited available empirical data with which to estimate MVRPLV. This study assumed that green veneer was clipped to the desired sheet width, dried, and then visually graded in accordance with AS/NZS 2269.0:2012 (AS/NZS 2269.0:2012 2012) to produce marketable veneer that met D-grade criteria or better. The analysis assumed grade recovery per unit of green veneer was consistent regardless of log geometry. The manager of the facility at which the time-and-motion study was performed asserted 60% as an average, and that level was adopted in this analysis.
Volume and value of recoverable veneer

Marketable veneer volume produced/h of peeling time (MVV) from logs ranging in SEDUB from 0.16 m to 0.6 m in 0.02 m increments was calculated as follows,

\[ MVV = \frac{3600}{TVT} \times PLV \times MVRPLV \]  

(11)

where 3600 is 1 h of time expressed in s, TVT is the total veneering time in s for a log of a particular RLD as predicted with the regression model, PLV is peelable log volume (m³) from the rounded log estimated using Eq. 3, 4, or 5, as appropriate, and MVRPLV was 60%.

Commercial dry-graded veneer values are challenging to determine, as veneer producers are typically manufacturing engineered wood products with the veneer, and the costs of production and final market prices for these products vary substantially. Information provided by industry key informants indicates that 3.0 mm (reduced from 3.2 mm green veneer through drying) D-grade plantation softwood veneer in Australia has a wholesale value of approximately $400/m³ (personal communication with Simon Dorries, Chief Executive Officer of Responsible Wood, May 2019). Dorries (2019) asserted that C-grade veneer is approximately 1.2 times D-grade, B-grade is 1.7 times D-grade, and A-grade is 3 times D-grade. In the absence of wholesale market information for hardwood veneer in Australia, this study has adopted plantation softwood prices. The relative values for C, B, and A-grade veneers equate to $480/m³, $680/m³, and $1200/m³, respectively.

Veneer grade recoveries were assumed to be approximately the middle of the ranges reported in Table 1: A-grade at 0%, B-grade at 5%, C-grade at 15%, and D-grade at 80%. Marketable veneer value or revenue (R)/h of operation was estimated with Eq. 12,

\[ R = \sum_{g=A}^{D} MVV \times GR_g \times P_g \]  

(12)

where \( GR_g \) is the veneer recovery by grade, \( g \) (%), and \( P_g \) is the market price for veneer grade, \( g \) ($).

Maximum mill-delivered log cost to achieve a target gross margin

The gross margin from sale of veneer produced from logs of particular log dimensions and geometries was defined as the value of marketable veneer produced minus the log cost. A useful metric to accommodate the impact of log dimensions and geometry on the financial performance of veneer manufacture and support log procurement decisions is the maximum that could be paid for mill-delivered logs of particular dimensions and geometry while achieving a target gross margin. Because log dimensions and geometry are expected to affect marketable veneer output per unit time, and a large proportion of operating costs (e.g., labour) vary with time, adopting a target gross margin/h (GM/h_target) is appropriate. All non-log veneer manufacturing costs, including the desired profit margin, need to be covered by GM/h_target. With a target gross margin determined, the maximum that can be paid for mill-delivered logs of a particular log dimension and geometry, MDLC_max (in $/m³ of log), is estimated as follows,

\[ MDLC_{\text{max}} = R - \frac{\text{GM h}_{\text{target}}}{\text{MDLV}\times\frac{3600}{TVT}} \]  

(13)

where the denominator in the second term calculates the volume of mill-delivered logs of a particular log dimension and geometry processed/h. The variable TVT is for the RLD billet that will be produced from the log, as determined by the TVT regression model.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Veneering time

The mean rounded log diameter was 28 cm, with 48% of the 164 observed logs in the range between 25 cm to 34 cm, 34% of the logs in the range between 16 cm and 24 cm, and 18% in the range between 35 cm and 46 cm. Observed LT ranged from 7.3 s to 21.3 s, and RLD explained 23% of the variation in LT. The PT for the observed logs (calculated with Eq. 1) was added to LT to estimate TVT, which was plotted in Fig. 1, and ranged from 19.2 to 92.8 s. A statistically significant regression equation was found (F(1,162) = 3686.46, p < 0.001; t = 60.72, p < 0.001), with an R² of 0.958. Total veneering time was equal to [−25.641 + 2.423 × RLD], when RLD was measured in cm.

Net recovery of marketable veneer

The NR from logs with SEDUB ranging from 16 cm to 60 cm was presented for logs with varying taper in Fig. 2, with varying sweep in Fig. 3, and with varying ovality in Fig. 4. The NR of cylindrical logs was asymptotic with MVRPLV. For a 2.6-m-long, 30-cm SEDUB log with 0.01 m/m taper, setting MVRPLV to 60% resulted in NR of 54% (calculated with Eq. 2, Eq. 5, and Eq. 10). This was comparable with NR estimates in Table 1, where mean taper and sweep across the studies were 0.0075 m/m and 0.005 m/m, respectively.

The results highlighted the positive relationship between log SEDUB and NR, and the negative relationship between NR and log taper, sweep, and ovality. For example, 49% of log volume will be converted into marketable veneer from a 30-cm SEDUB log with 0.02 m/m taper, relative to 59% from a cylindrical log with the same SEDUB. This meant that 20% less marketable veneer was produced from the log with taper. Sweep had the greatest impact on NR, and ovality had the least impact.

Fig. 1. TVT by RLD for 3.2-mm veneer peeled at 40 lm/min; observed values of TVT and the simple linear regression model are plotted
Fig. 2. Net recovery of marketable veneer by SEDUB and T

Fig. 3. Net recovery of marketable veneer by SEDUB and S
Volume and value of marketable veneer

The MVV and R are presented in Fig. 5 for RLD ranging from 16 cm to 60 cm and a 100% lathe utilisation rate. Values were extrapolated with the TVT regression model for logs exceeding 46 cm RLD (the largest observed log). Given the recovery of veneer by grade and the veneer prices by grade adopted for this study, the average value of marketable veneer ($\frac{R}{MVV}$) was $426/m^3$. The MVV and R for alternative lathe utilisation rates could be estimated by multiplying the y-axes by the appropriate utilisation rate. The slightly U-shaped relationship of volume and value with RLD arose because of the short loading time for small rounded logs. As RLD increased, loading time increased, and for smaller RLD logs this additional loading time was not offset by the additional veneer volume produced from the log.
Maximum mill-delivered log cost to achieve a target gross margin

The effects of taper, sweep, and ovality on MDLC\textsubscript{max} are now each described in turn. The magnitude of the effect of SEDUB on MDLC\textsubscript{max}, relative to taper, sweep, and ovality, was also highlighted by reporting MDLC\textsubscript{max} for 20 cm, 30 cm, 40 cm, 50 cm, and 60 cm SEDUB logs.

Impact of log taper

Figure 6 illustrates the impact of taper on MDLC\textsubscript{max} for a lathe utilization rate of 100%. Panel (a) presents MDLC\textsubscript{max} for cylindrical logs. For example, to earn gross margins of $1000/h \textit{(i.e., GM/h\textsubscript{target} = $1000)}, the maximum that can be paid for 20 cm SEDUB logs is $165/m\textsuperscript{3}, and the maximum for 60 cm SEDUB logs is $209/m\textsuperscript{3}. The MDLC\textsubscript{max} increased with SEDUB because the proportion of log volume that was peelable was higher for larger SEDUB logs (Fig. 2), and because a larger volume of larger SEDUB logs could be processed per unit of time (Fig. 5).

The remaining panels in Fig. 6 present MDLC\textsubscript{max} for increasing levels of log taper. For example, to achieve gross margins of $2000/h, the MDLC\textsubscript{max} for mill-delivered logs with 0.01 m/m taper (panel c) was approximately $10/m\textsuperscript{3} less than for logs of the same SEDUB with zero taper.

**Fig. 5.** MVV (left axis), and market value of dry graded veneer produced (R) (right axis), by RLD; all y-axis data represent per h of operation values.
Fig. 6. Impact of taper on maximum mill-delivered log cost to achieve particular gross margins/h; Notes: (a) cylindrical log, (b) 0.005 m/m taper, (c) 0.01 m/m taper, (d) 0.02 m/m taper, (e) 0.04 m/m taper, and (f) 0.08 m/m taper
At a gross margin of $2000/h, the MDLC\textsubscript{max} for logs with 0.08 m/m taper was approximately $50/m\textsuperscript{3} lower than for logs with zero taper. To put this into context, a 2.6-m-long, 20-cm SEDUB log with 0.08 m/m taper would have a LEDUB of 40.8 cm. Thus, much waste would be generated when rounding the log to the SEDUB and utilising such logs would only be financially viable at much lower log costs.

Close examination of Fig. 6 revealed that SEDUB had a greater effect on MDLC\textsubscript{max} than taper. This was indicated by how the difference in MDLC\textsubscript{max} between 20 cm and 60 cm SEDUB logs in panel (a) was greater than the difference in MDLC\textsubscript{max} between logs with zero taper (panel a) and 0.08 m/m taper (panel f) for a particular SEDUB when the gross margin was greater than $1000/h.

Interpretation of Fig. 6 could be adjusted to reflect any lathe utilization rate. For example, if the lathe utilization rate was actually 50%, then the gross margins on the x-axis are halved. To earn a gross margin of $500/h in that case, MDLC\textsubscript{max} for 20 cm SEDUB cylindrical logs must be $165/m\textsuperscript{3}, and MDLC\textsubscript{max} for 60 cm SEDUB cylindrical logs must be $209/m\textsuperscript{3}.

Impact of sweep

Figure 7 illustrates the impact of sweep on MDLC\textsubscript{max}, where panel (a) presents the cylindrical log case. Mill-delivered log costs for any particular gross margin were considerably lower for logs with sweep than for logs with the same level of taper. This was because of the much greater impact of sweep on NR, as highlighted by comparing Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. For example, MDLC\textsubscript{max} for 20 cm and 60 cm SEDUB logs with 0.01 m/m sweep at a gross margin of $2000/h were $19/m\textsuperscript{3} and $17/m\textsuperscript{3} lower than for cylindrical logs, respectively. At 0.04 m/m sweep, positive gross margins could not be earned with 20 cm SEDUB logs, and MDLC\textsubscript{max} for a 60-cm SEDUB log while earning a gross margin of $2000/h was $62/m\textsuperscript{3} lower than for a cylindrical log. At 0.08 m/m sweep, positive gross margins could not be earned with 30-cm SEDUB logs.

In Fig. 7, panel (d), the mill-delivered log cost schedule for 20-cm SEDUB logs was noticeably flatter than for larger SEDUB logs. This occurred because 20-cm SEDUB mill-delivered logs with 0.02 m/m sweep were rounded to only 14.8 cm for peeling, which resulted in considerably shorter predicted total veneering time/m\textsuperscript{3} of veneer (from the TVT regression model) than for larger mill-delivered logs.

Figure 7 suggests that sweep had a greater effect on MDLC\textsubscript{max} than SEDUB. The difference in MDLC\textsubscript{max} between 20-cm and 60-cm SEDUB logs in panel (a) was less than the difference in MDLC\textsubscript{max} between logs with zero taper (panel a) and 0.08 m/m sweep (panel f), although they had the same SEDUB.

Impact of ovality

Figure 8 illustrates the impact of ovality on MDLC\textsubscript{max}. For the levels of ovality examined, the level of impact was small relative to the projected impact of taper and sweep, and this was explained by the relatively high NR from logs with ovality (compare Fig. 2, Fig. 3, and Fig. 4). At 20% ovality (panel e), MDLC\textsubscript{max} for 20-cm SEDUB logs while maintaining $2000/h gross margin was $14/m\textsuperscript{3} lower than for cylindrical logs (panel a). This was similar to the level of impact on MDLC\textsubscript{max} for 20-cm SEDUB logs with 0.01 m/m sweep or 0.02 m/m taper. The difference in MDLC\textsubscript{max} between 20% ovality and cylindrical 60-cm SEDUB logs was $35/m\textsuperscript{3}. This was similar to the level of impact on MDLC\textsubscript{max} for 60-cm SEDUB logs with 0.02 m/m sweep or 0.04 m/m taper.
Figure 8 also reveals that SEDUB had a greater effect on MDLC$\text{max}$ than ovality. This was indicated by how the difference in MDLC$\text{max}$ between 20-cm and 60-cm SEDUB logs in panel (a) was greater than the difference in MDLC$\text{max}$ between logs with zero ovality (panel a) and 20% ovality (panel e), although they had the same SEDUB.
Fig. 7. Impact of sweep on maximum mill-delivered log cost to achieve particular gross margins/h; Notes: (a) cylindrical log, (b) 0.005 m/m sweep, (c) 0.01 m/m sweep, (d) 0.02 m/m sweep, (e) 0.04 m/m sweep, and (f) 0.08 m/m sweep
This paper systematically examined the effect of log dimensions and geometry on the value of logs for spindleless lathe rotary veneer production. This was achieved by computing the maximum that could be paid for mill-delivered logs while earning a particular target gross margin.

The MDLC$_{\text{max}}$ was positively related to SEDUB, because net recovery of marketable veneer from log volume increased with SEDUB and the volume of veneer that can be processed per unit of time increased with SEDUB. The MDLC$_{\text{max}}$ was negatively related to taper, sweep, and ovality, because NR decreased with these log characteristics. The SEDUB, taper, sweep, and ovality of logs substantially affected the value of veneer that could be produced, and therefore should factor into log procurement decisions. A comparison of the relative importance of alternative log geometry characteristics on MDLC$_{\text{max}}$ was somewhat subjective. However, given the ranges of the attributes considered in this study, log dimension and geometry characteristics could be arranged in decreasing order of impact on MDLC$_{\text{max}}$ as follows:

1. Sweep
2. SEDUB
3. Taper
4. Ovality

The authors are not aware of other studies that have systematically estimated the effect of taper, sweep, and ovality on the financial performance of veneer manufacture. However, the finding in this study that SEDUB can have a large impact on MDLC$_{\text{max}}$ is...
supported by Kewilaa (2007) and Dobner, Jr et al. (2013), who found that SEDUB explained 74% and 48% of the observed recovery of veneer from log volume for their log samples, respectively.

Extensive sensitivity analyses were performed to assess the effect of important parameters on MDLC_max, including the lathe utilisation rate, NR, veneer market price, and veneer recovery by grade. Each of these parameters substantially affected MDLC_max. Therefore, context-specific research should be performed to determine appropriate levels for these parameters before applying the methods presented in this paper to support log procurement decisions for a particular spindleless lathe operation.

Estimates of NR in this study were comparable with empirical studies with Eucalyptus and Corymbia species in Australia (Table 1) and with international veneering studies. For example, Belleville et al. (2018) found that dry veneer recovery from small eucalypt logs in Laos averaged between 52% and 63%. The mean levels of taper, sweep, and ovality in Belleville et al. (2018) were similar to the lowest non-zero levels assessed in the present study. In a Brazilian rotary veneering study of 30-year old Pinus taeda logs that were mostly in the range of 20 cm to 50 cm small-end diameter, the average recovery of graded veneer was 54%. Wang and Dai (2008) reported dry veneer recovery of 47.7% in the United States from mountain pine beetle-attacked Pinus contorta logs with a mean diameter of 29.5 cm and when peeled to a core of 9.5-cm diameter. Average veneer recovery from large (50 cm to 89 cm) native hardwood logs in Indonesia was 60% (Kewilaa 2007). Higher recoveries of veneer could be achieved with short log lengths because volume loss due to taper and sweep could be minimised. For example, Khoo et al. (2018) found that dry veneer recoveries of 62% to 65% of log volume could be achieved with 1.2-m rubber tree logs that ranged in diameter from 15 cm to 18 cm.

The mean veneer price adopted in this study of $426/m^3 was comparable with market prices of veneer reported in other studies. For example, eucalypt veneer in China reportedly had a market value between about US $290/m^3 to US $385/m^3 (Arnold et al. 2013), and Pinus taeda veneer in Brazil had a market value between US $48/m^3 and US $314/m^3 (Dobner, Jr et al. 2013).

The utility of the log cost metric adopted in this study, MDLC_max, was in the practical application to guide log procurement decisions, and this is now demonstrated. Suppose the business model of a particular veneer manufacturer demands that gross margins of at least $1000/h are earned to cover all non-log fixed and variable costs, including the desired profit margin. The utilisation rate of the lathe is 50%. From Fig. 6 to Fig. 8, a gross margin of $2000/h for a utilisation rate of 100% is interpreted as $1000/h with a 50% utilisation rate. Table 3 represents the log market faced by the firm. Mill-delivered log costs would be affected by log quality characteristics and haul distance to the mill. However, log costs varied only by SEDUB in this example for simplicity.

In Australia and internationally, mill-delivered log costs typically rise with log diameter (and quality) (James 2001; Midgley et al. 2007; Arnold et al. 2013; Dobner, Jr et al. 2013; Jay and Dillon 2016). Therefore, veneer manufacturers face a trade-off between higher mill-delivered log cost and higher gross margins per unit of processing time for large diameter logs, and lower mill-delivered log cost and lower gross margins per unit time for small diameter logs. Consequently, as revealed in a study of veneer production from Pinus taeda logs in Brazil, larger logs may not provide the best returns to veneer production (Dobner, Jr et al. 2013).
Table 3. Hypothetical Log Market Faced by a Veneer Manufacturer and Optimal Log Purchases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEDUB (cm)</th>
<th>Log Cost ($/m³)¹</th>
<th>Financially Viable Log Purchases by Log Geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cylindrical Logs²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Taper (mm/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5  10  20  40  80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Mill-delivered log cost; ²Cylindrical logs (i.e., no taper, sweep, or ovality)

In Figs. 6 to 8, the appropriate gross margin/h is located on the x-axis, and the MDLC_max can be read from the y-axis where the selected gross margin intersects the downward sloping MDLC_max schedule. If MDLC_max is greater than the log cost in Table 3, then logs with that dimension and geometry are a financially viable purchase for veneer manufacture. The shaded cells in Table 3 indicate financially viable purchases given the log costs indicated, which were relatively straight logs in the 20 cm to 50 cm SEDUB diameter classes. In this example, insufficient marketable veneer can be produced from 60-cm SEDUB logs to justify the mill-delivered log costs of $180/m³.

A useful future enhancement of the model would be to accommodate the potential for correlation between taper, sweep, and ovality. Further research would also be useful to test the assumption that veneer grade recovery is independent of log dimensions and geometry, because larger SEDUB logs may yield higher proportions of higher quality veneer. This could be accommodated within the framework presented in this paper with minor changes to Eq. 10 to make MVRPLV a function of SEDUB.

CONCLUSIONS

1. For spindleless rotary lathe veneering technology peeling 2.6-m logs to a core diameter of 4.5 cm, this study quantified the increasing rate of marketable veneer production/h of operation from larger diameter rounded billets. The effect of log dimensions and geometry on the recovery of marketable veneer from mill-delivered log volume was also systematically evaluated, which revealed the log characteristics arranged in decreasing order of impact on marketable veneer recovery were sweep, SEDUB, taper, and ovality. These processing efficiency and recovery of marketable veneer relationships were integrated within a metric that can determine the maximum that can be paid for a log of a particular log dimension and geometry (MDLC_max) while achieving a target gross margin/h of veneer manufacture. This metric is useful for supporting the log procurement decisions of the industry.
2. The method was presented with parameter estimates that were relevant for veneer manufacture in Australia. Context-specific research should be performed to determine appropriate levels for parameters before applying the methods elsewhere. The method accounted for the potential correlation between SEDUB and each of taper, sweep, and ovality.
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