Medium-density Fibreboard Milling Using Selected Technological Parameters
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The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of blade type and sharpness angle on blade wear, cutting power, and surface roughness. The study was conducted on medium-density fibreboard (MDF) panels. Two blade types were analyzed (high-speed steel and cemented carbides) along with three variants of sharpness angles (40°, 45°, and 55°). Machining operations were performed on a spindle moulder at a feed rate of 6.3 m/min and rotational speed of 4500 min⁻¹. The blade wear criterion was adopted as the loss of cutter surface area measured on the rake face. Roughness was determined using the Rₐ parameter, which was measured at three points on the cross-section of the MDF panel. A new, multifaceted approach to the study of cutting a narrow surface of the MDF board was used, thanks to which the interaction of such parameters as blade wear, cutting power, and machining quality as well as the type of material of the knives and their angular parameters were determined. An increase in blade wear and cutting power was recorded with an increase in cutting path, while roughness at the MDF panel cross-section varied. The cemented carbides cutter with the 45° angle may be proposed as optimal, because it showed a relatively low wear and cutting power while providing good quality of the milled surface.
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INTRODUCTION

Lignocellulose materials used in the wood industry are characterised by a wide range of assortments and multiple applications. One of the most used materials in the furniture industry is a medium-density fibreboard (MDF) panel, which started to be commercially produced as early as the 1980s (Davim et al. 2009).

In terms of their machining, MDF panels have been investigated in several aspects. Deus et al. (2018), İşleyen and Karamanoğlu (2019), and Sütcü and İşleyan (2012) studied processes of face milling on wide surfaces based on pocket milling, i.e., milling to remove material to a certain depth to produce cavities or pockets, as in engraving, particularly when using the computer numerical control (CNC) milling machines. Sanding is another type of machining applied on extensive surfaces of MDF panels. Studies on that subject have been conducted by Hiziroglu et al. (2004) and Hiziroglu and Kosonkorn (2006). Machining of extensive surfaces of MDF panels is particularly common for foil or varnish/lacquer coatings. Another research aspect concerns milling of narrow panel surfaces. The MDF panels are often covered with various types of materials, like melamine laminates, to enhance their aesthetic value. When such panels are cut, it is essential to test the quality of the machining of the narrow panel surface based on various technological parameters.
(Aguilera et al. 2000; Davim et al. 2009; Zhong et al. 2013; Deus et al. 2015; Sedlecký 2017; Sedlecký and Kvetková 2017; Sedlecký et al. 2018a, 2018b). In addition, it is important to evaluate edge machining, i.e., identification of potential splinting or chipping (Souza et al. 2019).

Cutting MDF is first tested in terms of machining quality. However, this quality is affected by many factors, among which a major one is tool wear. Blade wear may cause problems with machining quality, which in turn is determined by numerous factors related both to the machined material itself and the adopted technological parameters or the selection of an appropriate tool.

There are several direct and indirect criteria, which facilitate determination and prediction of blade wear. This may be based on measurements of acoustic pressure (Aguilera et al. 2016a), energy consumption during cutting (Atanasov and Kovatchev 2019), and quality of the obtained surface (Aguilera et al. 2016b; Sedlecký 2017), but also through direct measurements of stereometry of the tool blade such as nose radius (Kazlauskas et al. 2019), retreat of wedge corner due to wear (Aguilera et al. 2016b), or wear area (Pinkowski et al. 2015).

Lignocellulosic materials, such as particleboards, MDF, and, plywood, which are commonly used in furniture manufacture, are more difficult to machine than solid wood. As a result of their greater density and contents of various components, including minerals, they result in increased blade wear.

Tool wear increasing with the cutting path on the one hand causes a deterioration of the quality of machined surfaces, while on the other hand it relates to an increased consumption of energy required in the cutting process.

Machining quality is most frequently determined based on selected parameters of surface roughness, typically amplitudinal in character. For wood-based materials, roughness may be determined using non-contact (optical) methods (Koleda et al. 2019; Stefanowski et al. 2020) as well as the more common contact method (Hiziroglu et al. 2004; Davim et al. 2009; Pinkowski et al. 2018), for the latter when applied to lignocellulose materials it is important for the gauge needle pressure to be as small as possible.

Among the many directions of research on MDF boards presented above, this paper presents research focused on the cutting of narrow surfaces.

The aim of this research was a comprehensive approach to the treatment of narrow MDF surfaces. In the research cited above, an approach was presented in which the impact of usually single aspects (parameters) on the cutting process of MDF boards was analyzed. A novelty in the presented research was the determination of many aspects of MDF board cutting and their interdependencies, i.e. knife wear, cutting power consumption and surface quality, as well as the type of material of the knives and their angular parameters.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Cutting operations were performed on an MDF panel (Swiss Krono, Żary, Poland) of 16 mm in thickness. Density of the MDF panel at the cross-section varied, which affected roughness of the narrow surface. For this reason, roughness was measured at three points approximately 1 mm from the MDF panel edge on both sides of the panel and in its core. Density values of the MDF panel at measurement points are given in Table 1.
Table 1. Density of the MDF Panel Depending on Surface Roughness Measurement Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measuring Location</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Density (kg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods
Cutting tests were performed using two cutter types (Gopol, Jarocin, Poland) made from high-speed steel (HS) and cutters with cemented carbide inserts (HW). From each type of blade material, three cutters were manufactured with various sharpness angles, i.e. 40°, 45°, and 55°. Cutters were mounted in a 4-cutter cylinder milling head. Cutting operations were performed with one cutter.

Milling was performed on a Felder F900 spindle moulder (Felder, Hall in Tirol, Austria). Technological parameters adopted in the tests are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Technological Parameters Adopted in the Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotational speed</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>min⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed speed</td>
<td>v_f</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>m/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting diameter</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of cut</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rake angle</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpness angle/clearance angle</td>
<td>β/α</td>
<td>40/25</td>
<td>°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45/20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Blade wear was measured using a Carl Zeiss ME-10 contact profilometer (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with specialist measuring sensors.

The primary criterion for blade wear was the surface area of wear calculated between the cutter edge profiles: the sharp blade and after a specified cutting path. The profiles were established along the main cutting edge. The scheme of measurements for the blade wear surface area is presented in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. A scheme for cutter wear measurement: 1: the longitudinal profile of cutting edge of a sharp cutter with zero cutting distance; 2: the longitudinal profile of cutting edge of a cutter with the analyzed cutting distance; 3: blade wear area](image_url)
The longitudinal profile was recorded using a skid-shaped measuring sensor (Mitutoyo Poland, Wrocław, Poland) of 3 mm in length, the 25° angle, and nose radius of 25 μm. Moreover, to determine wear intensity on the clearance and rake faces for selected cases, the wear profile was determined perpendicular to the major cutting edge. This profile was recorded using a cone-shaped gauge stylus with the vertical (nose) angle of 20° and nose radius of 25 μm. An example perpendicular profile of blade wear is presented in Fig. 2.

**Fig. 2.** Perpendicular profiles of cutter wear; 1: the perpendicular profile of cutting edge of a sharp cutter with zero cutting distance; 2: the perpendicular profile of cutting edge of a cutter with the analyzed cutting distance

Power measurements were taken using an N13 (Lumel S.A., Zielona Góra, Poland) energy parameter meter integrated with a USB-RS485 interface converter. Power was measured continuously for all milling processes.

Surface roughness was measured according to the ISO 4287 standard (1997). The $R_a$ parameter, i.e., the arithmetic mean surface roughness, was adopted as a measure of surface roughness. The tests were conducted for a cut-off parameter of 2.5 mm and the stylus tip radius of 10 μm, stylus tip angle of 90°, detector measuring force of 0.75 mN, and feeding speed of 0.5 mm/s.

Blade wear and roughness were determined depending on blade type. For the HS cutter, measurement points were established after milling of 5, 10, 30, 50, and 75 m, while for the HW cutter it was after 10, 50, 100, 150, 200, and 300 m.

Statistical analysis was conducted in the Statistica 13 software (TIBCO Software Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA), in which the analysis of variance was performed at the significance level $P = 0.05$, while the Duncan test was applied to determine significant differences between mean values.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3 presents the dependence of wear in tested cutters on the cutting path. For both the HS and the HW cutters, their blade wear increased with an increase in the cutting path. It needs to be stressed that Fig. 3 presents a 4-fold longer cutting path for the HW cutter. For the HS cutter the upward trend was exponential, while for the HW cutter this increase was linear. The trend lines describing this dependence were characterized by high goodness of fit to the data, as indicated by values of the coefficients of determination $R^2$ exceeding 0.95.

![Graph showing the dependence of wear on cutting path for HS and HW cutters]

**Fig. 3.** The dependence of wear on cutting path for all analyzed cutter types
For the HS cutters, similar wear was observed for the sharpness angles of 40° and 45°, whereas for the 55° cutter a markedly lesser wear was observed. For the final measurement point it was almost 2-fold lower than for the other sharpness angles. A greater variation in wear was recorded in the HW cutter, for which the highest values were found for the cutter with the 40° angle, followed by 45°, while wear was smallest for the 55° cutter. An increase in blade wear with an increase in the cutting path was reported by other authors (Kowaluk et al. 2009). In terms of wear, the HW cutters showed an approximately 5-fold lesser wear compared to the HS cutters at the same cutting path.

Figure 4 presents an example graph of wear for one of the analyzed blades. The plot is for the 40° HS cutter and was recorded for the maximum analysed cutting path of 75 m. It is clear from the graph that blade wear at the panel faces is much greater than at the panel core. Such a variation in wear is caused by the greater density of faces in the MDF panels. Such a variation in machinability of MDF panels of various densities has been confirmed by other authors (Lin et al. 2006).

![Graph of blade wear](image)

**Fig. 4.** A graph of blade wear in the HS 40° cutter for the cutting path of 75 m

Figure 5 presents changes in the cutting power for the HS cutter depending on the cutting path. Trend lines indicated the trend towards a linear growth for all the analyzed cases. Slopes of the lines for the established linear equations indicated the rate of changes for individual cutter angles. The most rapid increase in consumed energy was observed for the cutter with the smallest sharpness angle (40°), followed by the 45° cutter, while the slowest increase was found for the cutter with the greatest sharpness angle. This may be explained by blade wear, which is presented in Fig. 3. Greater wear results in higher cutting resistance and consequently, a higher energy consumption. This was confirmed by other authors in their studies (Keturakis and Lisauskas 2010; Aguilera et al. 2016a).

Additionally, in Fig. 5 it may be observed that at cutting paths up to approximately 40 m the energy consumption was highest for the 55° cutter, followed by those with 45° and 40° angles. The 55° cutter had the highest cutting resistances. A less acute given angle resulted in smaller resistance. For the cutting path of approximately 40 m, energy consumption was comparable for all the cutter angle variants. At the cutting path longer than approximately 40 m this trend was reversed, which may be explained by a slower wear of cutters with bigger angles.
Fig. 5. The dependence of cutting power on cutting path for HS cutters

Figure 6 presents an analogous dependence for the HW cutter. The greatest energy consumption was recorded for the 55° cutter, followed by the 45° and the 40° cutters. At the initial cutting phase, the energy consumption for the 55° cutter was approximately 25% greater than that for the 45° cutter and approximately 35% compared to the 40° cutter. At the final measurement point (300 m), the energy consumption for the 55° cutter was approximately 10% greater than that for the 45° cutter and approximately 14% compared to the 40° cutter. Slopes of the lines for the simple equations define the rate of increase in consumed energy. Trend lines indicate the trend towards a linear growth for all the analyzed cases, as was the HS cutter. However, for the harder HW cutter, because of lesser wear, the point of intersection of the trend lines was found outside the analyzed range of cutting paths. Based on the slopes of the trend lines determined for individual cases it was shown that the HW cutters exhibit an approximately 7-fold lower rate of cutting power increase when compared to the HS cutters.

Fig. 6. The dependence of cutting power on cutting path for HW cutters
Figure 7 presents perpendicular profiles established for the 45° HS and HW cutters for three selected variants of cutting path. For the HS cutters it was 30, 50, and 75 m, while for the HW cutter it was 50, 200, and 300 m, respectively.

![Figure 7](image)

**Fig. 7.** Perpendicular profiles of blade wear in 45° cutters for: a) HS and b) HW

Perpendicular profiles reflect the cutter blade wear both on the rake and clearance faces. For the HS cutter, a markedly greater blade wear was evident on the clearance face, which was generated because of friction of the cutter on the cut material. For the HW cutter, an increase in the nose radius of the cutting edge may be observed at a lack of a marked variation in wear on the clearance and rake faces, as it was the case in the HS cutter. Moreover, the profiles presented in Fig. 7 show greater wear of the HS cutter compared to the HW cutter, which resulted from the characteristics of the applied tool materials.

Table 3 presents results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the $R_a$ parameter of surface roughness. The analysis was conducted at the significance level $P = 0.05$. It results from the data contained in this table that between the main factors, i.e., cutter type and angle, as well as the measurement point, there were statistically significant differences in mean values. In the analysis of second-order interactions, no differences were found between the sharpness angle and the measurement point ($P > 0.05$).

**Table 3.** Results of the ANOVA for the $R_a$ Parameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Sum Square</th>
<th>Degrees of Freedom</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>Fisher's Test</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>51590.37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51590.37</td>
<td>14136.79</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutter type (a)</td>
<td>404.78</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>404.78</td>
<td>110.92</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measuring location (b)</td>
<td>4710.24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2355.12</td>
<td>645.35</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpness angle (c)</td>
<td>237.85</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>118.92</td>
<td>32.59</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a $\times$ b</td>
<td>49.06</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.53</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>0.001354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a $\times$ c</td>
<td>18.28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.043014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b $\times$ c</td>
<td>26.22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.128820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a $\times$ b $\times$ c</td>
<td>45.93</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.014533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>1379.46</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Table 4, homogeneous groups for the main factors were established using the Duncan test. It may be observed that for the cutter type and sharpness angle, significant differences were found between all the means. In the case of the measurement point, differences were recorded only between the panel core of lesser density and the pane faces of greater density. No significant differences were found in values of $R_a$ for either panel face despite the slight variation in density of these layers. For the HW cutter, the MDF panel surface roughness was on average approximately 20% greater than for the HS cutter. Depending on the measurement point, the highest $R_a$ values were recorded for the MDF core and they were on average approximately 80% higher than the means for the panel faces. The lowest $R_a$ values depending on the cutter angle were observed for 45°, they were 13% higher for 55° and by 18% higher for 40°, respectively.

Table 4. Means of $R_a$ for the Main Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roughness (µm)</th>
<th>Cutter Type</th>
<th>Measuring Location</th>
<th>Sharpness Angle (°)</th>
<th>$R_a$ (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>HW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_a$</td>
<td>10.45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.48&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.47&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Letters (a, b, c) next to averages mean homogeneous groups, if letters for samples are the same, it means that there were no statistically significant differences between these groups.

For the interactions between the cutter type and angle, the lowest $R_a$ values were recorded for the 45° cutter, both for the HS and the HW cutters. For the HS cutter, the mean $R_a$ values recorded for the 40° and 55° cutters did not differ and they were approximately 12% higher than $R_a$ for the 45° cutter. A similar dependence was observed for the HW cutter. For the 40° and 55° angles the $R_a$ values were on average approximately 18% higher compared to $R_a$ for the 45° cutter angle.

Figure 8 presents changes in the $R_a$ parameter depending on all the analyzed factors, i.e., cutter type and angle as well as the measurement point.
Figure 8 shows that the highest $R_a$ values were obtained for the measurement point in the center of the panel, for the cutter angle of 40° and for the HW cutter, while the lowest values were observed for the 45° angle, the HS cutter and the back face of the MDF panel. Moreover, it was evident that high roughness was generated at the panel core regardless of the cutter angle and cutter type.

For cutter type, higher $R_a$ values can be observed for the HW cutter compared to the HS cutter. For cutter angle, the lowest roughness values were obtained for the 45° cutter.

Figure 9 presents changes in $R_a$ depending on blade wear generated after a specific cutting path. For all the cutter types and sharpness angles an upward trend was observed. The increase in surface roughness of lignocellulose materials occurs because of tool wear, which has been confirmed in numerous studies (Keturakis and Juodeikienë 2007; Aguilera et al. 2016b).

The established trend lines confirm the linear character of this increase with high coefficients of determination. Based on values of these coefficients, it may be stated that machining with a 55° cutter results in the greatest scatter of results, while it is lowest for the 40° cutter.
Fig. 9. Changes in $R_a$ depending on cutter wear
CONCLUSIONS

1. Results of the conducted tests showed the effect of angles and types of cutter blades on their durability, roughness of the machined surface, and on the energy consumption during milling of MDF panels.

2. The smallest blade wear was observed for the cutters with the 55° sharpness angle, while it was greatest for the 40° angle. In terms of wear, the HW cutters showed an approximately 5-fold lower wear compared to the HS cutters at the same cutting path. For the analyzed cutting path variants, with an increase in their length the wear of HS cutter blades increased exponentially, while for the HW cutters this increase was linear. The intensity of this increase was dependent on the sharpness angle and it decreased with its increase. In the faces of the MDF panel an increased wear of blades was observed because of the greater panel density in those layers.

3. Cutting power for the MDF panel increased with an increase in the cutting path and blade wear. An increase in the sharpness angle caused a slower increase in the consumption of cutting power. The blade material is of considerable importance for the increase in milling power. The greatest increment in power was recorded for the HS cutters, while it was lowest for the HW cutters. Based on the slopes of the trend lines established for individual tested variants, the HW cutters show an approximately 7-fold lesser rate of increase in cutting power consumption when compared to the HS cutters.

4. Based on the established perpendicular profiles of cutter blade wear, a more intensive wear of the HS cutters was recorded on the clearance face, while for the HW cutter no marked variation was observed for wear on the clearance and rake faces.

5. Surface roughness specified by $R_a$ showed variation depending on all the analyzed factors. For the HW cutter, roughness compared with the HS cutter was on average 20% higher. The MDF core showed on average an 80% higher roughness in relation to the panel faces, which was caused by the greater density of those layers. The lowest roughness was obtained for the cutters with the 45° sharpness angle.

6. The change in surface roughness caused by blade wear showed an upward trend, with the lowest roughness among the three analysed angles observed for the 45° cutter angle.

7. Considering the effect of all the analyzed factors, the HW cutter with the 45° angle may be proposed as optimal, because it showed a relatively low wear and cutting power while providing good quality of the milled surface.
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