# Effects of Cutting Parameters on the Sound Level and Surface Quality of Sawn Wood

Hadi Gholamiyan,<sup>a,\*</sup> Behnam Gholampoor,<sup>a</sup> and Ali Hassanpoor Tichi<sup>c</sup>

This study investigated the effect of cutting conditions effects on sound level and surface quality in softwood and hardwood. The sound emission level caused by cutting process (band saw) as well as the surface roughness and topography of the wood samples were evaluated. A direct relationship was found between sound emission level and density, moisture content, feeding rate, and dimensions of the samples. Straight cutting of the samples caused less sound emission than cutting in a curved direction. The protective effect of the earmuffs was very significant, reducing the sound emission level from 88 dB to 38 dB. The results showed that with increasing the density and dimensions of the samples, the surface roughness decreased, while with increasing the moisture content and feeding rate, changing the cutting direction from straight to curved, the surface roughness of the samples increased.

DOI: 10.15376/biores.17.1.1397-1410

Keywords: Sound emission; Band saw; Cutting speed; Ergonomics; Wood

Contact information: a: Department of Wood and Paper Science and Technology, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran; b: Department of Wood and Paper Science and Technology, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran; c: Department of Wood Industry, Technical and Vocational University (TVU), Tehran, Iran; \*Corresponding author: Hadi\_gholamiyan@ut.ac.ir

#### INTRODUCTION

Wood is one of the most stable, diverse, durable, environmentally friendly, and renewable raw materials in the world. The increasing tendency to use wood products shows the vast potential of wood for various purposes (Ali *et al.* 2019). Wooden composites are used as engineered components for use in construction and furniture production (Dineshkumar 2014). Exploitation of wood in carpentry and furniture factories requires the use of industrial machines such as saws.

People are exposed to all kinds of noises in living and working environments. In some work environments, exposure to sound is continuous (Sahin *et al.* 2017). Sound emission levels have direct and indirect effects (Parsons 2000; Ekerbicer and Saltik 2008; Ali 2011) on the health and productivity of the workforce. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 250 million people lose their hearing each year (Owoyemi *et al.* 2016). The highest safe levels of sound emission in heavy equipment have been set by occupational health and environmental authorities (Sahin *et al.* 2017). Hence, the permissible sound level in industries according to the American Organization for Safety and Health (OSHA), the standard of European countries and the Eastern Bloc (BOSH, ISO), and the Iranian Technical and Occupational Health Committee is set at 90, 90, and 85 dB, respectively (ISO 3095 2014; Adams *et al.* 2016; Bies *et al.* 2017). In general, sound intensity of 66 to 150 dB causes a variety of mental, auditory, and physical disorders and

other similar negative effects (Omoniyi and Fatoki 2018).

The intensity and frequency of sound in the wood industry depends on the species, material, length, thickness, and width of wood, as well as cutting depth, saw sharpness, saw feeding, and vibration of the cutting machine (Durcan and Burdurlu 2018). The band saw machine is one of the most widely used machines in wood industry factories; the operator is exposed on a daily basis (Guarnaccia *et al.* 2013). Factors such as wood species, sample dimensions, moisture content, cutting speed, sharpness, and thickness of the blade, the effectiveness of sawdust extraction, and blade cleaning systems affect blade vibrations (Tak *et al.* 2009; Owoyemi *et al.* 2016). With increasing density and length of wood samples, the level of sound emission in the cutting process increases (Vaishali *et al.* 2011). Robinson *et al.* (2015) showed that 44% of carpentry workers have hearing loss, and their hearing level is 93.9 dB.

In reports of 80 manufacturing sawmill and MDF (Medium-density fibreboard) production plants, all sawmills and 85% of MDF factories have a sound higher than the permissible level of human hearing (Javadi *et al.* 2018). In another study, the sound produced by band saws, panel saws, chainsaws, and side saws were reported at 99, 97, 108, and 96 decibels, respectively (Vaishali *et al.* 2011). Examination of the sound caused by tools such as band saws, circular saw machines, hammers, and nails in sawmills showed that these production facilities need to provide personal safety equipment (Guarnaccia *et al.* 2013).

Previous studies on sound emission in carpentry workshops have shown that with increasing distance of the operator from the source of the sound, the sound level decreases. People who are less than 10 meters away from the source of the sound are exposed to the negative effects of working with machines for several hours (Omoniyi and Fatoki 2018). One of the factors influencing the quality of wood surface is the cutting process (Thoma *et al.* 2015).

Several studies on the impact of wood species and cutting speed (Škaljić et al. 2009), machining parameters (Mitchell and Lemaster 2002) such as cutting angle, feeding speed, and blade type (Kilic et al. 2006; Malkoçoğlu 2007; Aguilera and Zamora 2009; Aguilera and Muñoz 2011; Azemović et al. 2014; Kminiak and Gaff 2015; Thoma et al. 2015; Vančo et al. 2017; Qing et al. 2018; Pinkowski et al. 2018) on the surface roughness of machined wood have been conducted (Akbulut and Koc 2006; Aguilera 2011). According to these studies, low roughness of wood is possible by choosing the correct angle parameters such as cutting angle, blade attack angle, and free angle (Škaljić et al. 2009). Pinkowski et al. (2018) showed that during milling of wood, the lowest surface roughness is observed at a cutting angle of 55° to 40° degrees. With increasing feeding speed, wood surface roughness increases, but with increasing wood density, the surface roughness decreases (Pinkowski et al. 2018). Various studies have confirmed the effect of anatomical characteristics such as the type and direction of fibers on the surface quality of machined wood (Goli 2003, 2004; Goli et al. 2004, 2005). Also, the use of hearing protection (HPDS) including earplugs and earmuffs have a significant effect on controlling low-frequency sound fluctuations (Nélisse et al. 2012).

This study investigated the effect of different variables on surface quality and sound emission due to cutting hardwood and softwood species in furniture workshops. The effect of using personal safety equipment in reducing these noise injuries was evaluated. Also in this research, an attempt was made to evaluate the amount of sound emission and roughness changes in the cutting process of Iranian native wood.

## EXPERIMENTAL

### Materials

Wood samples of different species of softwood (fir, cypress, and yew) and hardwood (poplar, beech, and oak) with dimensions of  $100 \times 100 \times 52 \text{ mm}^3$  (L × R × T) were used (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. A schematic view of the wood sample

Based on the anatomical and biological changes of wood and different growth conditions of trees, the age of the trees was different. The average age of the trees was 15 to 25 years with a diameter of 100 to 200 mm. All trees except fir species were prepared from native species of Iran. Also, the initial length of cut logs of hardwood trees was 280 cm, and the sampling site was considered at a height of 150 cm above the ground. The wood samples with moisture content above fiber saturation point (FSP) were cut to evaluate the sound emission at green moisture content. Other green samples were air dried and then kept in a conditioning room (65% relative humidity and 20 °C) for 1 month to reach 12% equilibrium moisture content (EMC). The moisture content was calculated using Eq. 1.

Moisture content (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Initial mass-Oven dry mass}}{\text{Oven dry mass}} \times 100\%$$
 (1)

The list of wood species used and their density is presented in Table 1. To measure the density, the division of mass and volume in moisture at 12% was used. This means that the water's mass is considered in the density.

	Wood Species	Density (g/cm³)
	Fir (Abies alba)	0.40
Softwood	cypress (Cupressus arizonica)	0.47
	Yew (Taxus baccata)	0.59
	Poplar ( <i>Populus nigra L</i> .)	0.4
Hardwoods	Beech (Fagus orientalis)	0.7
	Oak (Quercus Castaneifolia)	0.85

Table 1. List of Wood Species and their Density

The treatments presented in Table 2 were used to evaluate the effective parameters in the cutting process. To examine the variable factors, some factors were considered fixed, which is shown in the status column (Table 1). Humidity parameters of 12%, dimensions of 100 by 100 mm<sup>2</sup>, feeding rate of one (m/min), type of direct cutting and the use of Saw Fence were considered as fixed parameters. Three replications were used for each treatment.

Parameter	Level	State	Treatment Code
Wood density		Variable	WSG
Moioturo (9/)	12	Fixed	H12
woisture (%)	Green moisture content	Variable	H100
Dimension (mm <sup>2</sup> )	100×100	Fixed	D 100×100
Dimension (mm <sup>-</sup> )	200×200	Variable	D 200×200
	1	Fixed	FS1
Feed rate (m/min)	2	Variable	FS2
	3	Variable	FS3
Cutting type	Straight	Fixed	DC
Cutting type	Curve	Variable	CC
Holdor type	With saw fence	Fixed	WRF
	Without saw fence	Variable	WORF

#### Table 2. List of Studied Treatments

#### **Cutting Machine**

A band saw made by Hossein Moghadamnejad Steel Factory (Karaj, Iran) with a diameter of 75 cm, a rotation speed of 1450 m/min, a three-phase motor power of 10 hp, and a blade with a thickness of 2 mm and a width of 4 cm was used. Cutting was done in a direction parallel to the wood grain and the measurement parameters were repeated in the cutting process (distance from the core) with the same pattern in all wood species.

## **Sound Emission and Safety Earphones**

The Benetech GM1356 sound level meter was used to measure the sound emission level. The specifications of this device are shown in Table 3. To measure the sound, the location of the machine was adjusted to the distance of the operator's ear when working with the machine, so that the results are the same as the reality of the cutting operation process. Also, the average sound emission was used in this study.

To evaluate the effect of using personal protective equipment, earmuffs (Ultimate Industrial Company, model EP103, Karaj, Iran) were used (Fig. 2). The level of sound pressure was detected in the earmuffs by making a small hole and inserting a microphone (Rood Company, SmartLav Plus model, Karaj, Iran). To prevent sound penetration, the hole was blocked by polyurethane injection foam adhesive.



Fig. 2. Positioning of the operator in the evaluation of sound emission in the band saw

Measuring range (dB)	30-130
Accuracy	1.5±
Frequency range	31.5 Hz-8.5 KHz
Resolution (dB)	0.1
Weight (gr)	244
Dimension (mm)	256×35×70

#### Table 3. Specifications of Sound Level Meter

#### Roughness Test

A confocal laser scanning microscope (VK-9700, keyence, Göttingen, Germany) was used to evaluate the surface roughness and topography of the cut specimens. The CLSM with excitation wavelengths of 408 nm laser light source and Incorporating an Apo lens (N.A.: 0.95) of 50x or 150x magnification. After cutting the wood samples with a band saw, the surface cut in the radial section was evaluated CLSM microscopically. Due to the high accuracy of the device, the evaluation area was  $1 \times 5$  mm in the radial direction.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

After collecting the results, the effect of treatments was investigated using analysis of variance. Also, Duncan's multiple range test was used to compare the mean of treatments and grouping between levels.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## **Roughness Test**

Table 4 shows the roughness of wood samples in different species. The results show that with increasing density, the surface roughness of the samples in hardwood and softwood species decreased. Pinkowski et al. (2018) also found that roughness decreased with increasing wood density. The highest and lowest surface roughness was observed in fir and yew as softwood species and in poplar and oak as hardwood species, respectively. Also, with increasing the moisture content of the samples from 12 to 100%, the surface roughness of the samples increased. The highest and lowest roughness was observed in fir and oak samples after reducing the moisture content to 12%, respectively. When the wood is higher than the fiber saturation point (FSP), the surface is deformed and fuzzy due to the high flexibility of the wood fibers after cutting. Also, these soft wood texture changes at high humidity ultimately increase the roughness of the wood surface after drying. With increasing dimensions in samples of hardwood species, surface roughness decreased, but no steady trend was observed in softwood. The results indicated that with increasing dimensions, the surface roughness increased in fir and cypress samples, while in yew samples the roughness decreased. In general, the changes in the amount of roughness due to the increase in the dimensions of the sample were variable, but not seriously significant. In curved cutting compared to straight cutting, surface roughness increased, and the highest and lowest surface roughness were observed in poplar and oak samples, respectively. The increase of roughness in curved cuts compared to straight cuts can be due to the change in cutting direction from parallel to the grain to perpendicular to the grain. Cutting the fibers in different directions caused the wood surface to become fuzzy. With increasing of the feed rate from 1 to 3 m/min, the surface roughness of the samples also increased and with increasing the feed rate in poplar with a density less than oak, more surface roughness was

observed. This increase in roughness was due to the increase in the thickness of the chip and sawdust shavings. Pinkowski *et al.* (2018) and Kaplan *et al.* (2018) also achieved similar results and found that the roughness increased with increasing feed rate. In cutting samples without a saw fence, the surface roughness of the samples increased compared to cutting with a saw fence. In cutting without a saw fence, the highest and lowest surface roughness were observed in poplar and oak samples, respectively.

Wood Species	Fixed factors	H100	D 200×200	CC	FS2	FS3	WORF
Fir	10.76 <sup>a</sup>	12.1 <sup>a</sup>	10.8 <sup>a</sup>	10.9 <sup>a</sup>	11.46 <sup>a</sup>	12.86 <sup>a</sup>	11.9 <sup>a</sup>
	(0.78)	(0.24)	(0.21)	(0.4)	(0.39)	(0.8)	(0.57)
Cypress	9.7 <sup>a</sup>	11.3 <sup>a</sup>	9.9 <sup>a</sup>	9.93 <sup>a</sup>	10.63 <sup>a</sup>	11.93 <sup>a</sup>	11.23 <sup>a</sup>
	(0.53)	(0.37)	(0.64)	(0.61)	(0.4)	(0.34)	(0.6)
Yew	7.36 <sup>a</sup>	8.3 <sup>a</sup>	7.2 <sup>a</sup>	7.6 <sup>a</sup>	8.6 <sup>a</sup>	9.33 <sup>a</sup>	9.16 <sup>a</sup>
	(0.29)	(0.25)	(0.43)	(0.63)	(0.35)	(0.47)	(0.17)
Poplar	11.37ª	12.03 <sup>a</sup>	11.26 <sup>a</sup>	11.66ª	11.93 <sup>a</sup>	13.46 <sup>a</sup>	12.36 <sup>a</sup>
	(0.45)	(0.65)	(0.37)	(0.19)	(0.45)	(0.41)	(0.42)
Beech	6.73 <sup>a</sup>	7.63 <sup>a</sup>	6.46 <sup>b</sup>	6.83 <sup>a</sup>	7.66 <sup>ab</sup>	8.43 <sup>ab</sup>	8.2ª
	(0.17)	(0.25)	(0.33)	(0.04)	(0.17)	(0.57)	(0.3)
Oak	5.1ª	5.63 <sup>b</sup>	4.8 <sup>b</sup>	5.26 <sup>b</sup>	6.43 <sup>b</sup>	7.3 <sup>b</sup>	6.86 <sup>ab</sup>
	(0.24)	(0.5)	(0.16)	(0.38)	(0.26)	(1.12)	(0.65)

Table 4. Results of Roughness Test (µm)

The surface topography of wood species is presented in Fig. 3. According to the results, the surface roughness was decreased with increasing density of wood species. Figure 3 shows the amount of surface topography (CLSM) in different wood species due to cutting taking into account the fixed factors (Table 2). CLSM microscopic results show that poplar wood had a rougher surface than oak.



Fig. 3. Confocal microscopy images of the samples

## Sound Level

#### Effect of Density

Figure 4 shows the results of the sound emission level of samples with different density. When only the machine was on and no cutting done, the sound emission level was 88 dB. Generally, the sound level was higher in hardwood species than in softwood. The lowest and highest sound levels were observed in poplar and oak samples, which were 4.9% and 23.1% higher, respectively, than the sound level when the machine was on and no cutting was taking place. The results also showed that the sound emission levels in cypress, yew, poplar and beech, increased by 8.29%, 11%, 5.3%, and 15.1%, respectively, compared to when the machine was on and no cutting was being done. Škaljić *et al.* (2009) found that with increasing the feeding rate of woodcutting from 6 m/min to 24 m/min, the roughness of the wood surface increased. According to the results of the present study, only oak samples were significantly different from other wood species. Also, the relationship between wood density and sound level was observed as y = 2.725x + 85.614.



Fig. 4. Average sound level in the cutting process of different wood species

## **Effect of Moisture Content**

Table 5 shows the results of the sound level test in samples with a moisture content of 12 and green (MC). The results showed that with increasing the moisture content from 12 to green (MC), the sound emission level decreased. The sound emission level was higher in hardwood species than in softwood species, and the lowest and highest sound levels were observed in poplar and oak samples, respectively. Tak *et al.* (2009) reported that wood cell walls at low moisture have a more brittle structure than higher moisture and sound level in woods with less moisture is higher than samples with more moisture. The sound

emission levels due to cutting of wood samples at 100% moisture for fir, cypress, yew, poplar, beech and oak were estimated to be 2.6, 4.9, 6.8, 3.1, 3.7, and 11.4 higher, respectively, compared to when the machine is on and no cutting is performed. According to the results, the difference in sound level between softwood species at 12% moisture was not significant, while among hardwood species, only oak showed significant differences with other species. The difference in sound level may be caused by the density difference. In samples with green moisture content, no significant difference was observed between the sound level values in wood samples of all species.

Wood Species H12 H100 Noise Decrease (dB)							
Fir	92.3 <sup>a</sup>	90.3ª	2				
Cypress 95.3 <sup>a</sup> 92.3 <sup>a</sup> 3							
Yew 97.7 <sup>a</sup> 94 <sup>a</sup> 3.7							
Poplar 92.7 <sup>a</sup> 90.7 <sup>a</sup> 2							
Beech 101.3 <sup>a</sup> 95.7 <sup>a</sup> 5.6							
Oak 108.3 <sup>b</sup> 98 <sup>a</sup> 10.3							
Note: The different letters indicate a significant difference within columns							

|--|

#### **Effect of Wood Dimensions**

The results of sound test for samples with surface dimensions of  $100 \times 100$  and  $200 \times 200 \text{ mm}^2$  are presented in Table 6. By increasing the dimensions of the samples from  $100 \times 100$  to  $200 \times 200 \text{ mm}^2$ , the sound level in all samples increased during cutting. Vaishali *et al.* (2011) stated that the sample dimension is one of the parameters affecting the sound level, so that increasing the length and width of the samples due to the increase and expansion of sound transmission from the cutting line to the surrounding environment, causes more noise. In the present study, among softwood and hardwood samples, the highest sound level during cutting was 110.3 dB, which was observed in oak species. The results indicated that in samples with dimensions of  $100 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2$ , there was a significant difference between samples of oak species and others. However, in samples with dimensions of  $200 \times 200 \text{ mm}^2$ , a significant difference was observed between beech and oak samples with others.

-							
Wood Species	D 100×100	D 200×200	Noise Increase (dB)				
Fir	92.3 <sup>a</sup> (0.5)	94 <sup>a</sup> (0.1)	2.3				
Cypress	95.3 <sup>a</sup> (0.5)	97.3 <sup>a</sup> 0.5)	2				
Yew 97.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.5) 99.3 <sup>a</sup> (0.5) 1.6							
Poplar 92.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.5) 94.3 <sup>a</sup> (0.5) 1.6							
Beech 101.3 <sup>a</sup> (0.5) 103.3 <sup>b</sup> (0.5) 2							
Oak 108.3 <sup>b</sup> (0.5) 110.3 <sup>b</sup> (0.3) 2							
Note: The different letters indicate a significant difference within columns; parentheses indicate							
standard deviation							

Table 6	Average	Sound	l evel in	Mood	Samples	with	Different	Dime	ncione
I able 0.	Average	Sound	Levelill	vvoou	Samples	WILII	Different	Dinne	11210112

# Effect of Cutting Type

The results of the sound level test for straight and curved cutting specimens are given in Fig. 5. In all samples, the sound level in the direction of curved cutting was higher than for direct cutting. The rate of increase in sound level due to curved cutting compared to direct cutting in poplar, cypress, yew, poplar, beech, and oak samples was 2.6, 3.6, 4.7, 3.9, and 3.7%, respectively. In straight and curved cutting modes, the sound level of oak showed a significant difference compared to other samples of softwood and hardwood. The difference in sound level may be caused by the density difference.



Fig. 5. Average sound level in straight and curved cut samples

## Effect of Feed Rate

Figure 6 shows the results of sound level test for the cut samples with feed rates of 1 (FS1), 2 (FS2) and 3 (FS3) (m/min). According to the results, with increasing the feed rate from 1 (m/min) to 3 (m/min), the sound emission level increased in all samples of softwood and hardwood. By comparing the sound emission levels at all cutting levels (1, 2, and 3 m/min), the lowest and highest sound levels were observed in fir (92.3 dB) with a feeding rate of 1 m/min and oak (112.3 dB) with a feeding rate of 3 m/min, respectively. Also, the lowest and highest rate of increase in sound level compared to the load-free saw mode were obtained in fir (4.9%) with a feeding rate of 1 m/min and oak (29.5%) with a feeding rate of 3 m/min, respectively. Statistical analysis showed that oak had significant differences compared to other species in terms of feeding rate. Škaljić *et al.* (2009) found that with increasing the feeding rate of woodcutting from 6 m/min to 24 m/min, the roughness of the wood surface increased.



Fig. 6. Average sound level at different feed rates

#### **Effect of Saw Fence**

The results of sound level tests for wood samples during use and non-use of saw fence are shown in Table 7. The use of saw fence reduced the sound emission level during the cutting process. In both cutting modes (with saw fence/ without saw fence), the lowest and highest sound emission level were observed in fir and oak species, respectively. The results showed that there was no significant difference in the cutting of specimens using saw fence, while in cutting specimens without saw fence, a significant difference was observed between oak species and others. Which can be attributed to the higher density of oak wood species. Vaishali *et al.* (2011) reported that the use of a saw fence reduces the level of vibration and noise from the cutting process due to the connection of the wood specimens to the side wall. This ultimately makes the cutting process more accurate and quality, as well as less noise pollution. According to Vaishali *et al.* (2011), if the saw fence is not used in the cutting process, more noise pollution will occur due to increased vibrations.

Wood Species	WRF	WORF
Lir	92.3ª	95.7ª
ГШ	(0.5)	(0.5)
Cuproce	95.3ª	98.7ª
Cypress	(0.5)	(0.5)
Vow	97.7 <sup>a</sup>	102.3ª
tew	(0.5)	(0.5)
Poplar	92.7 <sup>a</sup>	96 <sup>a</sup>
горіаі	(0.5)	(0)
Booch	101.3 <sup>ab</sup>	105.3 <sup>ab</sup>
Deech	(0.5)	(0.5)
Ook	108.3 <sup>ab</sup>	113.7 <sup>b</sup>
Oak	(0.5)	(0.5)

Table 7. Average Sound Level of Cut Samples With/ Without Saw Fence

# Effect of Using Earmuffs

The results showed that the use of protective earphones in different test conditions reduced the sound emission level to  $38 \pm 2$  dB. Nélisse *et al.* (2012) found that in different factories and workplaces of furniture production, there are significant fluctuations in sound emission. The use of hearing protection (HPDS) including earplugs and earmuffs had a significant effect on controlling low-frequency sound fluctuations. The amount of sound emission in different processes was evaluated by placing a microphone inside the earmuffs. However, due to the low changes in sound emission in the studied parameters, no significant change was observed in the sound propagation in the earmuffs. In other words, earmuffs reduce all these sound emission due to the layers of sound protection.

# CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The type of wood (hardwood or softwood), moisture content, and dimensions of samples, as well as the feeding rate, use or non-use of saw fence, and type of wood cutting were the factors influencing the sound emission level during sawing.
- 2. Wood samples with higher density, dimensions, and moisture content showed higher levels of sound emission. With increasing density and volume of wood materials, the friction rate of the wood cutting process increased, which eventually caused the blade to heat up and make more noise.
- 3. The 100% moisture saturation of wood caused cell cavities to be filled with water, which reduced the cutting speed and led to sound reflection. On the other hand, increased vibrations due to higher feeding rate, and cutting in the direction perpendicular to the fibers without using a saw fence, increased the level of sound emission.
- 4. When using a saw fence, the vibration of the wood samples was reduced, and as a result, the sound level was reduced. In the present study, all values due to the performance of woodworking machines were higher than the standard noise emission level (85 dB). The use of earmuffs was very effective due to creating an acoustic barrier and reducing noise pollution up to 38 dB.
- 5. The use of personal safety equipment in workshops and factories of wood industries is essential. In addition, equipping tools in wood workshops with sound insulation such as acoustic fence, acoustic foam and special fences on the sidewalls are also essential solutions.
- 6. Based on the results, it can be suggested that in order to reduce the roughness of the wood surface and cause noise pollution in furniture factories, it is better to consider the following parameters: (1) use of dry wood; (2) slower feeding rate; (3) making joint and secondary cuts in smaller wood pieces; (4) cutting in the direction parallel to the fibers; and (5) using the saw fence in the cutting process.

## Acknowledgements

The authors consider it necessary to thank and appreciate the Vice Chancellor for Research of the University of Tehran.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

# **REFERENCES CITED**

- Adams, K. L., Langley, A., and Brazile, W. (2016). "Noise exposure and temporary hearing loss of indoor hockey officials: A pilot study," *Journal of Environmental Health* 79(4), 22-27.
- Aguilera, A., and Zamora, R. (2009). "Surface roughness in sapwood and heartwood of Blackwood (*Acacia melanoxylon* R. Br.) machined in 90-0 direction," *European Journal of Wood Products* 67(3), 297-301. DOI: 10.1007/s00107-009-0308-2
- Aguilera, A. (2011). "Cutting energy and surface roughness in medium density fiberboard rip sawing," *European Journal of Wood and Wood Products* 69(1), 11-18. DOI: 10.1007/s00107-009-0396-z
- Aguilera, A., and Muñoz, H. (2011). "Rugosidad superficial y potencia de corte en el cepillado de *Acacia melanoxylon* y *Sequoia sempervirens*," *Maderas-Cienc Tecnol* 13(1), 19-28. DOI: 10.4067/S0718-221X2011000100002
- Akbulut, T., and Koç, E. (2006). "The effect of the wood species on the roughness of the surface and profiled areas of medium density fiberboard," *Wood Res.* 51(2), 77-86.
- Ali, S. A. (2011). "Industrial noise levels and annoyance in Egypt," *Applied Acoustics* 72(4), 221-225. DOI: 10.1016/j.apacoust.2010.11.001
- Ali, S., Hussain, S. A., and Tohir, M. Z. M. (2019). "Fire test and effects of fire retardant on the natural ability of timber: A review," *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology* 27(2), 867-895.
- Azemović, E., Horman, I., and Busuladžić, I. (2014). "Impact of planing treatment regime on solid fir wood surface," *Procedia Engineering* 69, 1490-1498.
- Bies, D. A, Hansen, C., and Howard, C. (2017). *Engineering Noise Control*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Dineshkumar, S. (2014). Use of Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (Mwcnts) In Medium Density Fiberboard to Increase the Fire Retardancy, Ph.D. Dissertation, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Pahang, Malaysia.
- Durcan, F. M., and Burdurlu, E. (2018). "Effects of some machining parameters on noise level in planing of some wood materials," *BioResources* 13(2), 2702-2714. DOI: 10.15376/biores.13.2.2702-2714
- Ekerbicer, H. C., and Saltik, A. (2008). "The health consequences of industrial noise and methods for protection," *TAF-Preventive Medicine Bulletin* 7(3), 261-264.
- Goli, G. (2003). Wood Surface Obtained by Milling: Study of the Formation Mechanics and the Relative Defects. Wood Surfaces Obtained by Excavation: Study of Formation Mechanics and Induced Defects, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Florence, Florence, Italya.
- Goli, G., Fioravanti, M., Sodini, N., Uzielli, L., and Taglia, A. D. (2005). "Wood processing: A contribution to the interpretation of surface origin according to grain orientation," in: *COST E35 Roesenheim Workshop*, Vienna.
- Goli, G., Marchal, R., and Uzielli, L. (2004). "Classification of wood surface defects according to their mechanical formation during machining," in: 2<sup>nd</sup> International Symposium on Wood Machining, Vienna, pp. 315-324.
- Goli, G., Marchal, R., and Uzielli, L. (2004). "Classificazione qualitativa dei difetti con

metodo visuale," Xylon 2, 44-48.

- Guarnaccia, C., Mastorakis, N. E., and Quartieri, J. (2013). "Noise sources analysis in a wood manufacturing company," *International Journal of Mechanics* 2(7), 37-44.
- ISO 3095 (2014). "Acoustics-measurement of noise emitted by rail bound vehicles," International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Javadi, I., Zarei, M., Alizade, A., and Pouransari, M. (2018). "Evaluation of noise level in the Amol city woodcrafts," *Beyhagh* 23(4), 1-9.
- Kaplan, L., Kvietková, M. S., and Sedlecký, M. (2018). "Effect of the interaction between thermal modification temperature and cutting parameters on the quality of oak wood," *BioResources* 13(1), 1251-1264. DOI: 10.15376/biores.13.1.1251-1264
- Kilic, M., Hiziroglu, S., and Burdurlu, E. (2006). "Effect of machining on surface roughness of wood," *Building and Environment* 41(8), 1074-1078. DOI: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2005.05.008
- Kminiak, R., and Gaff, M. (2015). "Roughness of surface created by transversal sawing of spruce, beech, and oak wood," *BioResources* 10(2), 2873-2887. DOI: 10.15376/biores.10.2.2873-2887
- Malkoçoğlu, A. (2007). "Machining properties and surface roughness of various wood species planed in different conditions," *Building and Environment* 42(7), 2562-2567. DOI: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.08.028
- Mitchell, P. H., and Lemaster, R. L. (2002). "Investigation of machine parameters on the surface quality in routing soft maple. (Solid Wood Products)," *Forest Products Journal* 52(6), 85-90
- Nélisse, H., Gaudreau, M. A., Boutin, J., Voix, J., and Laville, F. (2012). "Measurement of hearing protection devices performance in the workplace during full-shift working operations," *Annals of Occupational Hygiene* 56(2), 221-232. DOI: 10.1093/annhyg/mer087
- Omoniyi, T. E., and Fatoki, J. G. (2018). "Assessment of noise emission levels in a selected wood processing laboratory," in: 6<sup>th</sup> International Conference On Capacity Building for National Sustainable Development/Exhibition (CBNSD 2018) 29-31, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Owoyemi, M. J., Falemara, B., and Owoyemi, A. J. (2016). "Noise pollution and control in wood mechanical processing wood industries," *Biomedical Statistics and Informatics* 2(2), 54-60. DOI: 10.20944/preprints201608.0236.v1
- Parsons, K. C. (2000). "Environmental ergonomics: A review of principles, methods and models," *Applied Ergonomics* 31(6), 581-594. DOI:10.1016/S0003-6870(00)00044-2
- Pinkowski, G., Szymański, W., Krauss, A., and Stefanowski, S. (2018). "Effect of sharpness angle and feeding speed on the surface roughness during milling of various wood species," *BioResources* 13(3), 6952-6962. DOI: 10.15376/biores.13.3.6952-6962
- Qing, L., Li, Z. F., and Xing, D. (2018). "Study on evaluation method of surface roughness of wood processing," in: *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Materials, Chemistry and Engineering - IWMCE*, Xiamen, China, pp. 83-92. DOI: 10.5220/0007434900830092
- Robinson, T., Whittaker, J., Acharya, A., Singh, D., and Smith, M. (2015). "Prevalence of noise-induced hearing loss among woodworkers in Nepal: Apilot study," *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health* 21(1), 14-22. DOI: 10.1179/2049396714Y.000000084
- Sahin, Y., Serin, H., and Demir, S. (2017). "Research on noise level of wood processing

machine groups," *Kastamonu University Journal of Forestry Faculty* 17(3), 450-457. DOI: 10.17475/kastorman.311413

- Škaljić, N., Beljo Lučić, R., Čavlović, A., and Obućina, M. (2009). "Effect of feed speed and wood species on roughness of machined surface," *Drvna Industrija: Znanstveni Časopis za Pitanja Drvne Tehnologije* 60(4), 229-234.
- Tak, S., Davis, R. R., and Calvert, G. M. (2009). "Exposure to hazardous workplace noise and use of hearing protection devices among US workers—NHANES, 1999– 2004," American Journal of Industrial Medicine 52(5), 358-371. DOI: 10.1002/ajim.20690
- Thoma, H., Peri, L., and Lato, E. (2015). "Evaluation of wood surface roughness depending on species characteristics." *Maderas. Ciencia y tecnología* 17(2), 285-292. DOI: 10.4067/S0718-221X2015005000027
- Vaishali, P. C., Deepak, S. D., and Chandrakant, R. P. (2011). "Assessment and control of sawmill noise," in: *International Conference on Chemical, Biological and Environment Sciences*, Bangkok, Thailand, pp. 299-303.
- Vančo, M., Mazáň, A., Barcík, Š., Rajko, L., Koleda, P., Vyhnáliková, Z., and Safin, R. R. (2017). "Impact of selected technological, technical, and material factors on the quality of machined surface at face milling of thermally modified pine wood," *BioResources* 12(3), 5140-5154. DOI: 10.15376/biores.12.3.5140-5154

Article submitted: September 12, 2021; Peer review completed: November 20, 2021; Revised version received and accepted: November 21, 2021; Published: January 10, 2022.

DOI: 10.15376/biores.17.1.1397-1410