Graphene Oxide/Cellulose Composite for Enhanced Adsorption of Ce(III) from Aqueous Solution
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A high-efficiency composite adsorbent was synthesized by mixing cellulose and graphene oxide (GO) in the lithium chloride/N,N-dimethylacetamide system. The cellulose/GO composite (D-RCGO) was characterized by scanning electron microscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and thermal gravimetric analysis. The influences of various parameters on the removal of Ce(III), such as the adsorbent dosage, temperature, initial Ce(III) concentration, contact time, and pH, were optimized using a range of batch adsorption experiments. Adsorption kinetics displayed adsorption behavior according to the Langmuir isotherm model and pseudo-second-order model. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis showed that the two peaks of Ce-3d almost disappeared after the desorption in NaCl solution, which indicated that the adsorption belonged to the ion exchange adsorption mechanism. Furthermore, the theoretical maximum capacity of the adsorption of Ce(III) onto D-RCGO was 225.8 mg·g⁻¹. This work suggested that the D-RCGO composite membranes could serve as an effective and eco-friendly adsorbent for rare earth pollutant removal in wastewater treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to their distinctive physicochemical properties, rare earth elements (REEs) have found applications in many advanced technologies and high-tech industries, including crude oil refining (Reindl et al. 2021), electric vehicle batteries (Crawford et al. 2021), lighting indicators, turbine electronic equipment, and other equipment essential to energy and defense sectors. As one important rare earth element, cerium has been extensively used in the rare earth magnetic materials industry (Wang et al. 2020), nonferrous alloy additives, storage materials (Singh et al. 2008), biomedical applications (Pereao et al. 2019), metallurgy, and ceramics (Wang et al. 2020). In the environment, most REEs are exclusively present in the trivalent state, but Ce may also occur in the tetravalent state (Gogos et al. 2020). It was found that soluble Ce(III) was far more toxic than Ce(IV) when an equal total concentration of Ce was evaluated (Dahle and Arai 2014). In recent years,
the utilization of REEs and production of rare earth-containing products have increased greatly. However, it also creates new environmental problems (Jiao et al. 2020). For instance, REEs can interfere with plant growth, damage the ecosystem, and even pose a threat to human health (Gwenzi et al. 2018). Long-term intake of low doses of REEs will lead to a decrease in intelligence quotient and a significant reduction in the bioelectrical conduction speed of the central nervous system, and it is difficult to be removed from the body through metabolism (Wang et al. 2019b). The common methods to remove rare earth pollutants from the environment are: nanofiltration (Lopez et al. 2020), membrane filtration (He et al. 2019), and electrodialysis (Li et al. 2020). Nevertheless, the above methods require high energy consumption at high cost. In contrast, the adsorption approach has many advantages for rare earth pollutant removal, such as high efficiency, environmental friendliness, and low energy consumption (Li et al. 2019b). The key to the adsorption method is to design and prepare suitable adsorbents. The adsorbents currently used to treat rare earth pollutants include activated carbon (Burakova et al. 2018), activated alumina (He et al. 2019), composite silica, and biomass adsorbents (Li et al. 2019a). Among them, biomass adsorbents, such as cellulose, are widely used because of their excellent biodegradability, renewability, and low cost. For example, it has been reported that the hydrogel adsorbent based on carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) has excellent reusability, fast adsorption kinetics, and high adsorption capacity for rare-earth metals La(III) and Ce(III) (Zhu et al. 2016).

However, native cellulose adsorbents suffer from low adsorption capacity and poor mechanical properties. The poor mechanical property of native cellulose is due to its own structure, while the low adsorption capacity is because native cellulose only possesses hydroxyl groups on its surface. These problems can be effectively overcome by compounding cellulose with other materials, such as graphene oxide (GO), to form composites. The GO has distinctive two-dimensional spatial structure and contains abundant functional groups, such as epoxy, hydroxyl group, and carboxyl group, for binding (Zhang et al. 2015). Hence, GO is considered a promising adsorbent for many metal ions, such as U (VI)/Eu (III) (Zhong et al. 2020), Cu (II), Zn (II), Cd (II) (Sitko et al. 2013), etc. However, using GO alone as an adsorbent has many drawbacks, such as high cost, and difficulty molding and recycling, which limit its practical applications (Zhang et al. 2015). Moreover, it is hard to separate the highly water dispersible GO adsorbent from the solution after adsorption, and thus the practical application of GO as an adsorbent is seriously restricted (Cheng et al. 2015). Therefore, the cellulose/GO composite adsorbents can take advantage of unique properties of both materials while overcoming their drawbacks. Compounding with cellulose will also improve the molding performance of GO, thereby improving the operability of resultant adsorbents. Zhang et al. (2015) prepared a GO/cellulose bead composite by sol-gel method, which exhibited excellent efficiency and reusability for dye pollutants removal. In the authors’ previous study, a GO/cellulose composite adsorbent was synthesized by solution blending in ionic liquid system (Hao et al. 2019). The results showed that the adsorbent had an excellent adsorption capacity for Ce(III). However, ionic liquids (ILs) used in that work have many disadvantages in practical applications, such as high viscosity and high operating cost. Recent studies have shown that the toxicity of many ILs can be similar to those of the industrial solvents they may replace. A growing body of evidence suggests that they can be toxic to aquatic organisms, including bacteria, plants, invertebrates, and fish (Kulacki and Lamberti 2008). Thus, there is a need to develop new methods to prepare the low cost cellulose/GO
composites without using ionic liquids while maintaining the outstanding adsorption capacity of the adsorbent.

Alternatively, the lithium chloride/N,N-dimethylacetamide (LiCl/DMAc) system could be used as a solvent for cellulose dissolution and subsequent composite formation. LiCl/DMAc has great thermal stability. It dissolves cellulose of high molecule weight directly without degradation or forming any intermediate derivatives (Sayyed et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019a). According to Wang et al. (2016), LiCl/DMAc can dissolve cellulose with high molecular weight at ambient temperature without acid and alkali. As a very potent solvent of cellulose, LiCl/DMAc can be recycled with a high recovery rate, which accounts for its eco-friendliness. Herein, GO/cellulose composite adsorbents were prepared using LiCl/DMAc as the dissolution solvent in this work. The adsorption performance and mechanism of the adsorbents for Ce(III) were studied systematically. The results demonstrated that the composite could be an excellent adsorbent for REEs in wastewater treatment.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) was supplied by Tianjin Guangfu Fine Chemical Research Institute. The GO was obtained from Changzhou Sixth Element Materials Technology Research Institute (Changzhou, China). N,N-Dimethylacetamide (DMAc) and LiCl·H2O were purchased from Zhiyuan Chemical Reagent Research Institute (Tianjin, China) and Chemical Plant of Beijing (Beijing, China), respectively. Ce(NO3)3·6H2O was purchased from Damao Chemical Reagent Factory (Tianjin, China). Arsenazo III was purchased from Chemical plant of Beijing Chemical Industry Research Institute (Beijing, China).

Pretreatment of Cellulose

LiCl·H2O was dried in a vacuum oven before use. A total of 8.40 g of dried LiCl and 84.96 g of DMAc were added into a 100 mL Erlenmeyer flask. The LiCl/DMAc (91/9, w/w) solution was obtained after the ultrasonic treatment (100 W, 30 °C) for 1 h.

The MCC and LiCl/DMAc solvent were added into a three-neck round-bottom flask and mechanically stirred and heated at 160 °C for 30 min to make 5 wt% MCC solution. Then, the temperature was raised to 180 °C for 2 min. Subsequently, the pretreated cellulose was filtered out with a sand core funnel and dried under a vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h.

Preparation of Cellulose Colloid

The pretreated cellulose was added into LiCl/DMAc (91/9) solution at 5 wt% and stirred at 90 °C for 3 h to obtain cellulose colloid. A small part of the prepared cellulose colloid was used to make a thin membrane that was washed with deionized water until the silver nitrate test showed no white precipitation. Thereafter, the membrane was further dried in a 60 °C vacuum oven to obtain a regenerated cellulose membrane (D-RC) for comparative experiment.
Preparation of the Composites

A certain amount of GO was dispersed into LiCl/DMAc solution with ultrasonic treatment (60 W, 30 °C) for 1 h, yielding a GO suspension at 0.5 mg·mL⁻¹. The cellulose colloid was mixed with the above GO/ LiCl/DMAc suspension at different mass ratios of 96:4 (named D-RCGO-I) and 98.4:1.6 (named D-RCGO-II). The subsequent cleaning and drying steps were the same as those of D-RC.

Characterization

The micro-Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of samples in the range of 650 to 4000 cm⁻¹ were measured using a spotlight-200 Fourier transform infrared spectroscope (Perkin Elmer company, Waltham, America). The detector is mercury cadmium telluride (MCT), and the beam-splitter is KBr/Ge. The samples were flattened by diamond plates and then analyzed. Micro-FTIR analysis was performed in reflected mode. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed using a Q50 thermal analyzer (TA Instruments company, New Castle, America) at a heating rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹ from room temperature to 600 °C in nitrogen atmosphere. The thermal degradation temperature (T_onset) was the peak temperature value in the derivative thermogravimetry (DTG) curve. A scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Hitachi S-4800, Hitachi Limited, Tokyo, Japan) was used to characterize the microstructure of the samples before and after compounding. All samples were sputter coated with gold (JFC-1600, Japan Electronics Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) before the test. The ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) analysis was performed using an ultraviolet visible spectrophotometer (Cintra1010, GBC Scientific Equipment Ltd., Melbourne, Australia). Elemental analyses (EA) were conducted on a MICRO-CUBE element analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany) to analyze the percentage of elements of samples. The surface area and pore size distributions of the samples were determined by N₂ adsorption-desorption measurements using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET, ASAP2460, Micromeritics Instrument Co., Georgia, America). Before the test, the samples were degassed at 60 °C in a vacuum oven for 12 h. After degassing, the nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm test was carried out automatically according to the procedure at 77 K. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis (AXIS-Ultra, Kratos Analytical Company, Manchester, Britain) was used to analyze the surface chemical composition of the samples. The excitation source was Al Kα X-ray. The power was 225 W; the current was 15 mA; the voltage was 15 kV; and the vacuum degree was 3 × 10⁻⁹ mbar. In addition, C1’s peak of hydrocarbons was used to calibrate the electron binding energy (BE, 284.8 eV).

Batch Adsorption of Ce(III)

Kinetic study

In a typical procedure, as-synthesized adsorbents (5 mg) were added into the stock solution of Ce(III) (20 mL, 126 mg·L⁻¹, pH=3.1). Afterwards, the system was placed in an incubator at 25 °C and taken out at different time intervals for concentration measurement. The concentration of Ce(III) in the solution was determined by Arsenazo III spectrophotometry as follows: 4.5 mL of the solution was aliquoted out and, Arsenazo III aqueous solution (1 mL, 0.1 wt%) was added. The mixture was mixed well and placed at room temperature for 30 min. The concentration of Ce(III) was determined by measuring the characteristic absorbance at 655 nm with a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The adsorption capacity of the adsorbent was calculated according to Eqs 1.
where \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration (mg·L\(^{-1}\)) of Ce(III), \( C \) is the concentration (mg·L\(^{-1}\)) of Ce(III) after adsorption, \( V \) (mL) is the total volume of the solution, and \( m \) (mg) is the mass of the adsorbent.

**Effects of adsorbent dosage and pH**

To study the effects of absorbent dosage on its adsorption capacity, different amounts of absorbent were added into 20 mL stock solutions of Ce(III) and the solutions were kept at 25 °C for 120 min to reach adsorption equilibrium. The solutions were filtered, and the concentrations of Ce(III) were quantified to calculate the adsorption capacities at each absorbent dosage. The influence of pH values in the range of 1 to 10 on its adsorption capacity was also studied using a similar procedure as above. The pH values of each sample were adjusted with either HNO\(_3\) (0.1 mol·L\(^{-1}\)) or NaOH (0.1 mol·L\(^{-1}\)) using pH meter (PHS-3E).

**Desorption of D-RCGO-I**

After reaching adsorption equilibrium in Ce(III) solution, the D-RCGO-I adsorbent was removed and soaked in NaCl solution (1 mol·L\(^{-1}\)) for 12 h and washed with deionized water three times. Then, the samples were dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24 h and then analyzed by XPS.

**Regeneration and reusability of D-RCGO-I**

Regeneration and reusability are two important metrics to evaluate the performance of adsorbents. Accordingly, the adsorbent D-RCGO-I that had reached the adsorption equilibrium in Ce(III) solution was taken out and then immersed in HCl (2 mol·L\(^{-1}\)) for 24 h at room temperature. The adsorbent was washed with deionized water three times, and dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24 h. Subsequently, the regenerated absorbent was used to adsorb Ce(III) again and adsorption capacity was measured. The above process was done four times to study the reusability of the adsorbent.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Characterization of D-RCGO**

The elemental contents of in GO, D-RCGO-I, and D-RC were determined by elemental analysis, and the results are shown in Table 1. The content of N in D-RCGO-I and D-RC was close to 0, indicating that DMAc was removed from both adsorbents. Furthermore, the silver nitrate test indicated that LiCl was also thoroughly eliminated. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the FTIR spectrum of GO showed two peaks at 1036 and 1719 cm\(^{-1}\), which were attributed to the C-O-C and C=O stretching vibrations, respectively (He *et al.* 2013). The broad peak between 3000 to 3700 cm\(^{-1}\) was due to the stretching vibration of -OH, while the peak at 1613 cm\(^{-1}\) represented the C=C stretching vibration of GO (Saleh *et al.* 2017). In the spectrum of D-RC shown in Fig. 1(b), the absorption peak at 3343 cm\(^{-1}\) was the stretching vibration of -OH. In the region of 1200 to 1500 cm\(^{-1}\), the bending and rocking vibrations of -CH, CH\(_2\), and -OH were dominant. Among them, the peak at 1371 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponded to the bending vibration of -CH, and 1318 cm\(^{-1}\) represented the bending
vibration of CH$_2$. Similar to many polysaccharide compounds, cellulose has the chemical structure of C-O-C-O-C diether, whose vibration appeared at approximately 1162 cm$^{-1}$. As shown in Fig. 1(c), the FTIR spectrum of D-RCGO-I had characteristic absorption peaks of GO and cellulose. For example, the peaks at 1373 and 2897 cm$^{-1}$ represented the C-H stretching vibration and bending vibration of cellulose six-membered ring. In addition, the absorption peak of C=O bond in D-RCGO-I shifted to a lower wavenumber, which was caused by the formation of hydrogen bond between -COOH in GO and -OH in cellulose (Zhang et al. 2015). Moreover, the absence of the absorbance at 1719 cm$^{-1}$ in D-RCGO-I by comparing with that of GO also implied that the hydrogen bond was formed between the carboxyl groups of GO and cellulose (Fan et al. 2014). These results indicated that GO and cellulose were successfully compounded in LiCl/DMAC.

Moreover, it is reported that the theoretical content of C element in GO and cellulose was about 45% and 44%, respectively (Song et al. 2014). From this, it can be theoretically inferred that the content of C element in D-RCGO-I was about 44%, which was consistent with the experimental results of EA data, as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>C (%)</th>
<th>H (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-RC</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-RCGO-I</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Elemental Analysis Data of Samples

According to the TGA results in Fig. 2A, there was a small mass loss in all samples in the temperature range close to 100 °C, due to the evaporation of water. The peak temperature of the DTG curve was defined as $T_{\text{max}}$. As shown in Fig. 2B, $T_{\text{max}}$ of GO and MCC were 199 °C and 342 °C, respectively. The mass loss of GO in the temperature range of 120 to 250 °C was about 25.4 wt%, which was attributed to the pyrolysis of unstable oxygen-containing groups in GO (Yuan et al. 2014). As shown in Fig. 2A(d), the thermal degradation of MCC started at approximately 300 °C, and gradually reached maximum at $T_{\text{max}}$. Moreover, the char yield was approximately 5 wt% after thermal decomposition at
700 °C. The char yields of D-RC and D-RCGO-I were 12 wt% and 18 wt%, respectively, which were noticeably higher than that of MCC. The main reason was that the thermal degradation of cellulose was caused by depolymerization of cellulose to low molecular weight oligosaccharides. Afterwards, the scission of D-glucopyranosyl group was initiated with the increase of temperature (Lin et al. 2009). The char formation was due to the crosslinking and repolymerization of pyrolysis products. During thermal degradation, the amorphous cellulose was degraded first, leading to the high char formation and low degree crystallinity in D-RC and D-RCGO-I (Abbott and Bismarck 2010).

![Fig. 2. TGA curves of GO (a), D-RC (b), D-RCGO-I (c), and MCC (d) (A); DTG curves of above samples (B)](image)

![Fig. 3. SEM micrographs of GO (a), MCC (b), D-RC (c), and D-RCGO-I (d)](image)
The morphology of GO, D-RC, and D-RCGO-I was characterized using SEM (Fig. 3). In Fig. 3(a) and (b), the lamellar structure of GO and a strip curl morphology of MCC could be observed. In contrast to original MCC, both D-RC and D-RCGO-I showed a uniform laminated structure after being regenerated from the LiCl/DMAc solution (Mahadeva et al. 2013). Compared with the micromorphology of GO/cellulose composite adsorbent prepared in ionic liquids from the authors’ previous work (Hao et al. 2019), the D-RCGO-I membrane was much looser and rougher, which could make it more conducive to efficient adsorption (Fig. 2(d)). Meanwhile, as shown in Table 2, the average pore size of D-RCGO-I and D-RCGO-II was 11.3 and 20.2 nm, respectively. Moreover, the BET surface area of D-RCGO-I (20.0 m²·g⁻¹) was larger than that of D-RCGO-II (15.6 m²·g⁻¹), indicating that D-RCGO-I had the potential to be more conducive to adsorption of Ce(III).

Table 2. The Average Pore Size of Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>GO</th>
<th>D-RC</th>
<th>D-RCGO-I</th>
<th>D-RCGO-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average pore size (nm)</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Investigation of Adsorption Performance of Adsorbents for Ce(III)

Adsortion kinetics

To ensure that the adsorption reached the adsorption equilibrium, the adsorption kinetics of adsorbents were measured first. As shown in Fig. 4A, at the initial stage of adsorption, the adsorption capacity of adsorbents rapidly increased with the increase of adsorption time, as there were many active binding sites on the surface of adsorbents.

Fig. 4. Kinetics of Ce(III) adsorption onto two different D-RCGO adsorbents and D-RC at room temperature (pH: 3.1; adsorbent dosage: 5 mg) (A); Effect of adsorbent dosage of D-RCGO-I on the uptake of Ce(III) (adsorption time: 120 min; temperature: 25 °C; pH: 3.1) (B); Effect of solution pH on the adsorption of Ce(III) by D-RCGO-I (adsorption time: 120 min; adsorbent dosage: 5 mg) (C); Adsorption isotherm of D-RCGO-I (a) and Langmuir linear plot (b) (adsorption time: 120 min; pH: 3.1; adsorbent dosage: 5 mg) (D)
The adsorption capacity gradually reached the plateau at approximately 100 min when the active sites were gradually occupied by Ce(III) ions. To ensure the adsorption equilibrium, 120 min was hence chosen as the adsorption time in the following adsorption experiments. The order of adsorption capacity at 120 min was D-RCGO-I > D-RCGO-II > D-RC. Therefore, D-RCGO-I was selected in subsequent experiments to systematically study the adsorption performance for Ce(III).

The two kinetic models of pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order were used to fit the adsorption kinetic data of D-RCGO-I. As shown in Table 3, the linear coefficient of determination ($R^2$) using the pseudo-second-order kinetic model was 0.991, which was higher than that of the pseudo-first-order fitting. These results suggested that a diffusion process into a network of small pores controlled the rate of the adsorption of Ce(III) on the adsorbent D-RCGO-I (Hubbe et al. 2019).

**Table 3.** Fitting Results of the Adsorption of Ce(III) onto D-RCGO-I Using Pseudo-first-order and Pseudo-second-order Kinetic Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$q_{exp}$ (mg·g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$q_e$ (mg·g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first-order</td>
<td>191.6</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>142.86</td>
<td>0.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second-order</td>
<td>191.6</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
<td>209.21</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $q_{exp}$ is the experimental value when D-RCGO-I was used to adsorb Ce(III)

**Effect of adsorbent dosage and solution pH**

The effects of adsorbent dosage on adsorbent D-RCGO-I were studied, and results are shown in Fig. 4B. The adsorption capacity of the adsorbent decreased with increasing adsorbent dosage. This can be explained by the fact that with the increasing dosage of the adsorbent, the excess binding sites on the adsorbent led to lower utilization efficiency and hence lower adsorption capacity.

The influence of solution pH on the adsorption capacity is shown in Fig. 4C. In the range of pH 1 to 4, cerium exists mainly in the form of Ce(III) ion. When the pH was less than 2, H$^+$ in the solution competed with Ce(III) for the active sites on the adsorbent, resulting in the low adsorption capacity. With the increase of pH value, the adsorption capacity also increased. The maximal adsorption capacity for Ce(III) was 191.6 mg·g$^{-1}$ at pH 3.1, indicating that D-RCGO-I exhibited outstanding adsorption performance for Ce(III) under strong acidic condition. When pH exceeded 4, Ce(III) existed mainly as hydrated ions, such as Ce(OH)$^{2+}$, Ce(OH)$_2$$^{+}$, and Ce(OH)$_4$$^{-}$ (Abellan et al. 2017). The adsorption capacity of D-RCGO-I adsorbent for these ions was much lower than that for Ce(III) ion, which led to the decrease of adsorption capacity of the adsorbent for Ce(III). As the pH further increased beyond 8, the adsorption capacity decreased noticeable, mainly due to the formation of Ce$_2$(OH)$_3$.

**Adsorption isotherm**

To further study the adsorption mechanism of adsorbent D-RCGO-I for Ce(III), the equilibrium adsorption data were fit to the Langmuir (Fig. 4D) and Freundlich isotherm models. Moreover, the theoretical maximum adsorption capacity ($q_m$) of adsorbent was calculated by the adsorption models, which could be used to compare adsorption
performances of different adsorbents. The Freundlich isotherm model (Eq. 2) and Langmuir isotherm model (Eq. 3) can be expressed as follows,

$$\ln \frac{q_e}{nK_F} = \ln C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$C_e(q_m - q_e) = \frac{q_e}{K_L}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $K_L$ (mg·L$^{-1}$) and $K_F$ (mg·L$^{-1}$) are the Langmuir constant and Freundlich model constant, respectively; $n$ is the adsorption degree of the adsorbent; $C_e$ (mg·L$^{-1}$) and $q_e$ (mg·g$^{-1}$) are the equilibrium concentration of Ce(III) and the adsorption capacity of the corresponding adsorbent in the solution; $q_m$ (mg·g$^{-1}$) is the maximum adsorption capacity of the adsorbent; and $C_e$ and $q_e$ are the concentration and adsorption capacity at equilibrium in the solution, respectively.

The fitting results of the two isotherm adsorption models are shown in Table 4. Herein, the Langmuir isotherm model assumes that the adsorption process is based on monolayer coverage of equal sites on the surface of adsorbent (Langmuir 1918), while the Freundlich isotherm is suitable for the adsorption that is on a heterogeneous surface (Ng et al. 2002). As shown in Table 4, the value of $R^2$ of the Langmuir isotherm model was 0.992, which was better than that of Freundlich isotherm model. It suggested that Langmuir isotherm model was a better fit for the adsorption process of the adsorbent. According to Eq. 3, the theoretical maximum adsorption capacity of D-RCGO-I was 225.8 mg·g$^{-1}$, which was higher than other adsorbents reported in the literature (Behdani et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2015; Torab-Mostaedi et al. 2015). Although the theoretical maximum adsorption capacity of D-RCGO-I was lower than that of CMC-g-PAA adsorbents reported in the literature (Zhu et al. 2016), D-RCGO-I has better environmental friendliness. This was due to the toxic nature of acrylic acid (AA). Exposure to acrylic acid (AA) causes many diseases and is associated with skin allergy, a strong irritant to mucous membrane and eyes, while long-term exposure may affect the lungs and kidneys (Sverdrup et al. 2001).

### Table 4. Isotherm Constants and Correlation Coefficients for Ce(III) Adsorption onto D-RCGO-I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Langmuir</th>
<th>Freundlich</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$q_m$ (mg·g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$K_L$ (L·mg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-RCGO-I</td>
<td>225.8</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Desorption performance and regeneration of the adsorbent**

After reaching equilibrium in Ce(III) solution, the D-RCGO-I adsorbent was placed in NaCl solution (1 mol·L$^{-1}$) for desorption experiment. As shown in Fig. 5A, the adsorption capacity of D-RCGO-I for Ce(III) sharply decreased with increasing NaCl concentration, which was attributed to the competition between Ce(III) and Na(I) ions for active binding sites on the adsorbent. To further investigate the adsorption mechanism of D-RCGO-I for Ce (III), the adsorbent after adsorption and desorption was characterized by XPS, as shown in Fig. 5B. After the adsorption of Ce(III) onto D-RCGO-I, the characteristic Ce3d peaks appeared at 885.3 and 903.9 eV (Paparazzo 2011). However, a new peak of Na1s appeared at BE of 1071.8 eV and the peaks of Ce3d almost vanished after
the desorption in NaCl solution, indicating that the Ce(III) was replaced by Na(I) during the desorption process. The results suggested that the Ce(III) was adsorbed onto adsorbent D-RCGO-I via the ion exchange adsorption mechanism.

As shown in Fig. 6, adsorbent could be recycled by immersing in 2 mol·L⁻¹ HCl. The adsorption capacity of the regenerated adsorbent was as high as 172.2 mg·g⁻¹. Although the adsorption capacity decreased to 45.3 mg·g⁻¹ after 4 cycles, it was still higher than those of adsorbents reported in many studies (Kütahyali et al. 2010; Sert et al. 2008). The regeneration rate \(r\) of adsorbent was calculated using Eq. 4, and presented in Table 5,

\[
    r = \frac{q_n}{q_0}
\]

where \(q_n\) (mg·g⁻¹) is the adsorption capacity of adsorbent for Ce(III) after regeneration for \(n\) times, \(q_0\) (mg·g⁻¹) is the adsorption capacity of adsorbent for Ce(III) before regeneration.
Table 5. Adsorption Capacities of Regenerated Adsorbent D-RCGO-I Using HCl as the Regeneration Reagent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Times (n) of Regeneration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_n$ (mg·g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>191.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Regeneration (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. The graphene oxide (GO)/cellulose composite adsorbent with high adsorption capacity, fast binding kinetics, and great reusability was successfully prepared by solution blending method using LiCl/DMAc.

2. The adsorption equilibrium could be reached within 100 min and the theoretical maximum adsorption capacity of D-RCGO-I for Ce(III) was 225.8 mg·g$^{-1}$, which is much higher than most of adsorbents reported previously. It was also found out that D-RCGO exhibited outstanding adsorption performance even under the strong acidic condition with the adsorption capacity as high as 191.6 mg·g$^{-1}$ at pH 3.1.

3. The pseudo-second-order adsorption kinetic model was found to fit the adsorption kinetics perfectly. Moreover, XPS analysis suggested that the adsorption mechanism was mainly based on ion exchange.

4. The adsorbent showed reusability, as it could be regenerated using NaCl solution or HCl solution. In summary, D-RCGO prepared in this work demonstrated advantages of high adsorption capacity under high acidity and fast adsorption rate. The resultant D-RCGO could be an excellent adsorbent for the treatment of rare earth ions in wastewater.
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