Transfer Strategy for Near Infrared Analysis Model of Holocellulose and Lignin Based on Improved Slope/Bias Algorithm
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Model transfer techniques in near infrared spectroscopy are important for avoiding duplicate modeling, sharing samples and data resources, and reducing the human and material consumption required for modeling. Use of the slope/bias correction algorithm (S/B) based on screening wavelengths with consistent and stable signals (SWCSS) for model transfer is a new strategy. To enable sharing of near infrared analysis models of pulp holocellulose and lignin content in two different types of spectroscopic instruments, a combined SWCSS-S/B algorithm was proposed. The stable and consistent wavelengths between the spectroscopic instruments screened by the SWCSS method reduced the differences between the instruments, thereby improving the universality and transmission accuracy of the S/B method. The SWCSS-S/B based model transfer method reduced the predicted standard deviation RMSEP of holocellulose and lignin contents of the samples measured on the target spectrometer of the from 5.4686 and 7.6823 to 1.2133 and 1.3494, respectively. This result showed a significant improvement in the transfer effect compared to the SWCSS and S/B correction results alone, and the prediction of holocellulose was better than that of the prediction effect of lignin. The method has fewer wavelength variables involved in model transfer, fast transfer speed, and high prediction accuracy, which provides a new solution for the wide application of NIR analytical models.
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INTRODUCTION

Holocellulose (including cellulose and hemicellulose) and lignin are the main components of wood. They are closely related to other wood properties as well as to the processing and utilization of wood. In the paper industry, the holocellulose content is closely related to the pulp yield and pulp quality; the lignin content is an important basis for the development of cooking and bleaching conditions (Haque et al. 2019). Near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) is widely used in pharmaceutical, food, petrochemical, agricultural products, feed, tobacco, and other industries because it does not require chemical methods for sample pre-treatment and has the advantages of being green, efficient, non-destructive, and easy to implement for online use (Pažitný et al. 2011; Yu et al. 2021; Cao et al. 2022). However, in the practical application of spectroscopic measurements, a model built on one instrument (master instrument) is applied to another instrument (target instrument) with a large deviation or even unusable problem. Such problems are generally referred to as model
failure problems, and model transfer methods are generally used to solve such problems. Model transfer can effectively avoid duplicate modeling and realize the sharing of sample and data resources; it is important for the promotion of NIR spectroscopy applications (Wang et al. 2019; Feudale et al. 2002).

The model transfer algorithm used can be divided into methods with standard samples and methods without standard samples according to whether one-to-one correspondence of standard spectra should be collected on all instruments (Zhang et al. 2020). The model transfer algorithm with standard samples must take a certain number of samples to form a standard sample set, such as Slope/Bias (S/B) (Du et al. 2011; Zhao et al. 2019), direct standardization (DS) (Parrott et al. 2022) and piecewise direct standardization (PDS) (Bergman et al. 2006; Peng et al. 2011). Commonly used model transfer methods without standards include signal processing methods, such as wavelet transform (WT) (Bin et al. 2017; Abasi et al. 2019), orthogonal projection (Poerio and Brown 2018), and linear model correction (Liu et al. 2016). Ni et al. (2019) proposed a NIR model transfer method without standards based on the wavelength of stable consistent spectral signal (SWCSS) by screening out the wavelengths with stable consistent spectral signal between instruments and establishing a correction model for model transfer. Such an approach is better for model transfer prediction between spectrometers of the same type and with small differences, but it is not suitable for model transfer between different types of spectrometers because it does not use transformation sets for spectrum or model correction. Li et al. (2018) conducted a model transfer study for two indexes of edible oil, acid value and peroxide value, using the S/B algorithm combined with partial least square regression (PLSR) model established on a master spectroscopy instrument. The results show that the model prediction results were improved to different degrees after the S/B algorithm transfer, but the model prediction results after the S/B algorithm transfer still had a big gap with the ideal results. In previous studies (Liu et al. 2019a,b), the S/B method was applied to the transfer of pulp wood lignin NIR spectroscopy models between two different types of convenient NIR spectrometers, and the model transfer effects of the S/B, DS and canonical correlation analysis (CCA) algorithms (Li et al. 2022) were compared. The S/B algorithm based on linear correction among these three algorithms could not obtain the model transfer effect to meet the accuracy requirements. The applicability is low when using SWCSS and S/B algorithms alone for model transfer, and the model transfer between spectrometers with large differences is poor.

In the present study, a model transfer method of SWCSS combined with S/B algorithm is proposed. The method reduces the differences between different NIR spectrometers by screening out the consistent wavelengths with small differences between instruments and improves the transfer accuracy and applicability of S/B algorithm, which makes up for the shortcomings of using SWCSS and S/B algorithm alone. Using 82 wood flour samples, the transfer effect of the holocellulose and lignin content models based on the SWCSS-S/B method was investigated between two IAS NIR spectrometers of different types; it was compared with the transfer effect of the SWCSS, S/B and PDS and DS algorithms alone. The aim was to improve the robustness and sharing of NIR correction models with a view to providing methodological references for the application of NIR spectroscopy detection techniques in the determination of the integrated holocellulose and lignin contents of pulpwod.
PRINCIPLE AND ALGORITHM

Screening Wavelengths with Consistent and Stable Signals

The standard deviations of the following two spectra were calculated based on the spectral information of the sample.

*Standard deviation of precision detection spectra*

The standard deviation SDPDS of the spectrum of the same sample taken \( n \) times in succession on the master spectrometer was calculated using Eq. 1,

\[
SDPDS(j) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_j)^2}{n-1}}
\]  

(1)

where \( X_{ij} \) is the spectral information of the \( j^{th} \) wavelength of the measured sample at the \( i^{th} \) acquisition, and \( n \) is the number of acquisitions. \( \bar{X}_j \) is the average value of the spectral information of the \( j^{th} \) wavelength. SDPDS reflects the size of the variation of the instrument noise and measurement error within a short period of time. The smaller the SDPDS, indicates a more stable spectral signal of that wavelength.

*Standard deviation of difference spectra between master and target Instruments*

SDDSI reflects the size of the variation of the master and target difference spectra. This quantity was calculated using Eq. 2,

\[
SDDSI(j) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (A_{ij} - \bar{A}_j)^2}{m-1}}
\]  

(2)

where \( m \) is the number of samples, \( M_{ij} \) and \( S_{ij} \) are the spectral response values of sample \( i \) measured by the master and target at wavelength \( j \), separately, and \( A_{ij} = M_{ij} - S_{ij} \) is the difference spectrum between the two spectroscopic instruments. A smaller SDDSI\( j \), demonstrates a smaller difference between the spectral signals of these two spectroscopic instruments at wavelength \( j \), suggesting that the wavelength is more stable.

Screening and optimization of stable and consistent wavelengths

A certain number of representative samples are selected by Kennard-Stone (Zhang *et al*. 2017; Sadergaski *et al*. 2022) algorithm, and then, the SDDSI\( j \) and SDPDS\( j \) at wavelength \( j \) are calculated according to Eqs. 1 and 2 above. The ratio of these two is defined as the consistency parameter,

\[
b_j = \frac{SDDSI(j)}{SDPDS(j)} \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n)
\]  

(3)

where \( n \) is the number of wavelengths. Usually, SDDSI\( j \) is larger than SDPDS\( j \). The closer \( b_j \) is to 1, the smaller the spectral difference between instruments at that wavelength and the better the spectral signal stability. In practical applications the \( b \) value is set to filter out wavelengths with SDDSI\( j \)/SDPDS\( j \) < \( b \) for the wavelength. In addition, the set of wavelengths between the master and target instruments screened according to the above method is noted as \( U_c \), from which the wavelengths with large SDPDS values are excluded to arrive at the wavelength set with better stability \( U_{sc} \).
Slope /Bias Correction Algorithm

The S/B algorithm is a standardized correction method for model prediction results. The calibration model built on the master machine is used to predict the predicted values $X_m$ and $X_s$ of the spectral matrix $X_m$ measured on the master and target machines for the specimen set to obtain the spectra $y_m$ and $y_s$, respectively. The parameters $y_m$ and $y_s$ are assumed to have the following relationship,

$$y_s = \text{Slope}_m \cdot y_m + \text{Bias}$$

where Slope is the slope of the linear equation and Bias is the intercept of the linear equation, which can be calculated by Least Square (LS). For the unknown sample spectral matrix $X_{s,un}$ measured on the target instrument; first, $y_{s,un}$ is predicted by the calibration model built on the master instrument, and then, the passed prediction $y_{s,tr}$ can be found by the following equation,

$$y_{s,tr} = \text{Slope}_{s,un} \cdot y_{s,un} + \text{Bias}$$

where $y_{s,un}$ is the predicted value of the unknown sample and $y_{s,tr}$ is the predicted value of the unknown sample after passing.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sample Preparation and Analysis of Holocellulose and Lignin Content

A total of 82 log samples were cut into chips and ground, and the wood flour samples with particle sizes of 0.25 to 0.425 mm (40 to 60 mesh) were selected to determine their holocellulose and lignin contents according to GB/T 2677.8 (1994). The results of are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>Minimum value</th>
<th>Maximum value</th>
<th>Average value</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holocellulose</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>66.08</td>
<td>86.28</td>
<td>76.14</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>34.20</td>
<td>26.43</td>
<td>5.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instrumentation and Spectral Acquisition

The experimental instrument adopts two IAS series portable spectrometers from the same company (Wuxi Intelligent Analysis Service Co. Ltd, Wuxi, China). The core components of the instruments are digital micromirror device grating spectrometers based on micro-electromechanical systems, with a wavelength range of 900 to 1700 nm and a resolution of 10 nm. One of them is an IAS-5000 type, marked as 5000B (master instrument), using a down-illuminated 5W halogen tungsten light source; the other is an IAS-2000 type, marked as 2000 (target instrument), with an up-illuminated 10W tungsten-halogen lamp light source. The two types of instruments are shown in Fig. 1. When collecting the sample spectrum, the sample was put into the measuring cup and flattened with a 50 g weight to make it evenly distributed, and each sample was repeatedly loaded three times to take the average spectrum. The number of spectral scans was 50, and for each sample measured, the residual wood powder in the sample cup was removed with a brush to avoid affecting the accuracy of subsequent sample spectra acquisition. The spectra
of 82 wood powder samples were collected by the above method on two types of instruments under the same environmental conditions, respectively. The collected spectral data were preprocessed using Standard Normal Variate Transformation (SNV) (Kang et al. 2022; Maraphum et al. 2022) to eliminate the interference of surface scattering and light range variation of wood samples on the NIR diffuse reflectance spectra for the subsequent wavelength screening and modeling process.

**Sample Set Division**
Aiming at the spectral data of 82 samples used in this paper, after extracting 3 principal components by PCA algorithm, the Kennard-Stone method was used to divide 56 calibration sets and 26 prediction set samples. The calibration set and prediction set samples of the master and target instruments corresponded to each other. The distribution of holocellulose and lignin contents of pulpwood is shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Sample set Division</th>
<th>Number of Samples</th>
<th>Minimum Value</th>
<th>Maximum Value</th>
<th>Average Value</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holocellulose</td>
<td>Calibration set</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>66.08</td>
<td>86.28</td>
<td>77.18</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prediction set</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>66.22</td>
<td>81.70</td>
<td>73.89</td>
<td>6.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin</td>
<td>Calibration set</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>34.20</td>
<td>25.45</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prediction set</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>33.84</td>
<td>28.55</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Modeling and Model Evaluation Methods**
The partial least squares regression (PLSR) method was used for modeling (Moreira et al. 2015). The number of latent variables was set in the range of 2-14, and determined by leave-one-out cross validation (Zhang et al. 2022). The calibration model and model transfer effect established using PLSR and the model prediction ability were evaluated comprehensively by the coefficient of determination ($R^2$), root-mean standard error for cross-validation (RMSECV), root mean square error of prediction (RMSEP), and relative predictive determinant (RPD) between the predicted and true values of the samples in order to establish the optimal prediction model. Among them, the closer the coefficient of determination $R^2$ is to 1, the better the regression or prediction result of the model, and if $R^2$ is small, it indicates a very poor fit. Smaller RMSECV and RMSEP values indicate a better model effect (Zhang et al. 2019; Fatchurrahman et al. 2021); RPD is used to verify
the stability and predictive ability of the model. The model is good when RPD>2.5, average but usable when RPD is 2 to 2.5, and unusable when RPD<2 (Hao et al. 2022).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Screening for Stable and Consistent Wavelengths

The number of representative samples K selected from the master instrument samples by Kennard-Stone method was 5, 10, 15, and 20, and these representative samples were used to screen the wavelength Uc with consistent and stable spectral signals between the master and the target instruments. The wavelength set Uc of the master and the target was screened by SWCSS method according to the number of different representative samples K. Using the wavelength set Uc to build a master model to predict the variation of RMSEP with consistency parameter b value for the prediction set samples of the target (as shown in Fig. 2). During the experiment, the wavelength set Uc was screened by setting b to be taken from 1 to 10, but when b=1 the number of wavelengths selected according to the SWCSS algorithm step was 0, and the model could not be built. Therefore, Uc was screened by setting consistency the parameter b to take 2 to 10. The suitable b value was selected by predicting the minimum RMSEP of the target samples with the master PLSR model for both the integrated holocellulose and lignin indexes, respectively. From Fig. 2, it can be seen that the master model established by the Uc wavelength set screened by selecting 5 representative samples for holocellulose and setting b=7 had the best prediction effect on the target samples. The wavelength set screened by the SWCSS method based on holocellulose was denoted as Uch, and it contained 449 characteristic wavelengths with consistent and stable signals. For lignin, 20 representative samples were selected, and the Uc wavelength set with b=8 had the best prediction. The wavelength set screened by the SWCSS method based on lignin was denoted as Ucl, which contained 659 consistent and stable characteristic wavelengths. Since there were no wavelength points with excessive SDPDS values in Uch and Ucl, the wavelength sets Usch and Uscl screened by the SWCSS method based on holocellulose and lignin have 449 and 659 consistent wavelengths, respectively, and their distributions are shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 2. Prediction of RMSEP of target instrument samples with the value of consistency parameter b based on a master instrument holocellulose and lignin model built on stable consistency wavelengths](image-url)
As shown in Fig. 3, the wavelength points Usch and Uscl selected by the SWCSS method based on the two indicators of holocellulose and lignin were mainly located in the region where the standard deviation SDDSI between the master and the target was small, while in the regions with large differences such as 900 to 965, 1056 to 1058, 1064 to 1068, 1072 to 1079, 1630, 1636, 1640 to 1641 and 1644 to 1700 nm, none of them could pass the SWCSS screening.

![Fig. 3. Location distribution of Usch and Uscl of consistent wavelength sets of holocellulose and lignin screened by SWCSS method](image1)

As a further analysis, the PCA method (Ferrara et al. 2022; Hasan et al. 2022) was used in this study to characterize the differences in spectra between NIR spectroscopy instruments. The PCA scores of 56 calibration set samples for the full spectra of the 2 instruments (master and target) and the wavelength set screened based on the SWCSS method were calculated, and the results are shown in Fig. 4(A) and Fig. 4(B), separately.

![Fig. 4. Principal Components 2D Score Plot of 2 instruments](image2)

As can be seen in Fig. 4(A), the differences between the master and target instruments characterized using the full spectrum PCA scores were significant because the target and master were different types of instruments, and their irradiation directions, light source power and assembly processes were different. The average martensite distance between the master and the target was 2.3679 calculated from the sample spectra. As can be seen in Figs. 3 and 4(B), the wavelengths screened by the SWCSS method were mainly located in the wavelength region where the standard deviation SDDSI of the master and
target instruments is small, indicating that the selected wavelengths have good consistency and can be effectively reduced. The difference between the two spectrometers, the average Mahalanobis distance between the master and the target was 0.9585. Therefore, further correction using the S/B method based on the consistent wavelengths screened by the SWCSS method may enable the model built on the master instrument to achieve higher prediction accuracy on the target instrument.

Results and Analysis of Model Transfer of Holocellulose and Lignin in Pulpwood Synthesis

Pre-transfer modeling and prediction of holocellulose and lignin models

In this study, 56 calibration set samples were used to build PLSR models for holocellulose and lignin contents of the master instrument based on SWCSS and full-spectrum wavelengths, respectively. The appropriate number of latent variables (LV) was selected by the leave-one-out cross-validation method (Zhang et al. 2022), and the results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Prediction Results of Master and Target Prediction Sets by Master instrument Calibration Model before Model Transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>LV</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>RPD</th>
<th>RMSEP</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>RPD</th>
<th>RMSEP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holocellulose</td>
<td>Full Spectrum</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9641</td>
<td>5.2796</td>
<td>1.1202</td>
<td>0.9598</td>
<td>4.9847</td>
<td>1.1335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWCSS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9662</td>
<td>5.4393</td>
<td>1.0382</td>
<td>0.9593</td>
<td>4.9546</td>
<td>1.1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin</td>
<td>Full Spectrum</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9644</td>
<td>5.3000</td>
<td>0.9945</td>
<td>0.9475</td>
<td>4.3638</td>
<td>1.1490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWCSS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.9681</td>
<td>5.5989</td>
<td>0.9412</td>
<td>0.9339</td>
<td>3.8898</td>
<td>1.2890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 shows the correlation plots between the measured and predicted values of holocellulose and lignin contents for the 2 master calibration models in Table 3, which contains the fitted straight lines of the one-element regression between the predicted set of...
holocellulose and lignin contents and the actual contents of the master samples from the master full-spectrum model analysis before model transfer. As shown in Table 3 and Fig. 5, the RPDs of the predicted results of the master instrument calibration models based on the above different wavelength sets are all greater than 3.8. The points corresponding to the predicted values are all roughly distributed around the fitted straight lines in Fig. 5, and the offset is small. This shows that the master instrument models established by the above different wavelength selection methods can meet the requirements of practical applications.

**Model transfer results and analysis**

Both the full-spectrum-based S/B and SWCSS-S/B algorithms are standard samples algorithms, which require the selection of transfer set samples in the scale-sets of the master and the target, respectively. Therefore, the Kennard-Stone algorithm was used to take 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, and 40 samples in the specimen sets of the master and target instruments respectively as the transfer sets for model transfer. The relationship between the number of samples in the transfer set and the RMSEP is shown in Fig. 6. The best prediction of holocellulose and lignin was achieved by selecting 5 and 20 transfer set samples respectively in the model transfer process using S/B algorithm. The best prediction of holocellulose and lignin was achieved by selecting 25 and 30 transfer set samples respectively in the model transfer process between the master and target instruments using the SWCSS-S/B algorithm.

![Fig. 6. Master's holocellulose and lignin models predict target's RMSEP as a function of the number of samples in the transform set](image-url)

To further analyze the transfer effect of the SWCSS-S/B algorithm proposed in this study, the optimal number of transfer set samples selected by the S/B and SWCSS-S/B algorithms were used for model transfer of 26 prediction set samples from the target instrument, separately, and compared with the SWCSS, S/B, PDS, and DS algorithms alone. Results are shown in Table 4. There was a large prediction error when the full-spectrum model of the master was used to analyze the target measurement samples directly, and the RPDs of the predicted holocellulose and lignin were 1.0815 and 0.6527, respectively, which cannot meet the practical application requirements. The prediction effect of the PDS and S/B algorithms alone for the target instrument was somewhat improved relative to that before model transfer, but the RPDs of both algorithms were less than 2.5, and the prediction accuracy was not high. In contrast, the transfer effect of using
the SWCSS-S/B algorithm was significantly improved, and the RPDs of predicting the target instrument holocellulose and lignin were 4.8746 and 3.7157, respectively, which were close to the transfer results of the DS algorithm. This indicates that the SWCSS-S/B method had better stability and transfer effect than the SWCSS and S/B algorithms alone. This is because the consistent wavelengths screened by the SWCSS method are located in the wavelength region with small differences between instruments, which greatly reduces the differences between the master and target instruments, and then the S/B algorithm is used to further correct the systematic errors that still exist after the SWCSS correction can achieve better model transfer results. Although the transfer effect of the SWCSS-S/B method is slightly inferior to that of the DS algorithm, in practical applications the former is involved in holocellulose and lignin model transfer at 449 and 659 wavelengths, respectively, with fewer wavelength variables and faster computing speed, which is convenient for practical applications.

### Table 4. Transfer Effect of Different Model Transfer Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Master</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>RMSEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holocellulose</td>
<td>Full spectrum</td>
<td>0.9598</td>
<td>4.9847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S/B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWCSS</td>
<td>0.9593</td>
<td>4.9546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWCSS-S/B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin</td>
<td>Full spectrum</td>
<td>0.9475</td>
<td>4.3638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S/B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWCSS</td>
<td>0.9339</td>
<td>3.8898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWCSS-S/B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 7 and 8 are the correlation diagrams and their distribution diagrams of the measured and predicted values of the holocellulose and lignin contents of the target instrument before and after transfer using different models such as DS, PDS, SWCSS, and S/B independently and in combination. As a reference, the figure also draws a single regression fitting straight line between the predicted and actual content of holocellulose and lignin in the master instrument samples analyzed by the master instrument full spectrum model. As can be seen in Figs. 7 and 8, before the model transfer, the master instrument model had the worst prediction results for the holocellulose and lignin content of the target instrument samples, and the longitudinal offset was also the largest. The transfer results of the PDS and S/B methods were poor, the predicted values were obviously distributed on both sides of the fitted line in a wider range, and the longitudinal offset was large (Fig. 7(A), Fig. 7(B) and Fig. 8(A), Fig. 8(B)). However, the prediction errors of the master instrument holocellulose and lignin models established by the SWCSS and SWCSS-S/B methods when applied to the target instrument samples were reduced, and the predicted values were roughly distributed in a narrow range along the fitted straight line.
The offset was small, and most of the predicted values of the master instrument model corrected by the SWCSS-S/B method for the target were closer to the fitted straight line. This further indicates that the model prediction statistical error after the transfer of the SWCSS-S/B method was smaller, and the prediction effect of holocellulose was better than that of lignin.

Fig. 7. Correlation diagram and distribution diagram of the measured and predicted values of the predicted concentration of holocellulose content

Fig. 8. Correlation diagram and distribution diagram of the measured and predicted lignin content in the predicted concentration

CONCLUSIONS

1. The research showed that the SWCSS-S/B method achieved good results in the transfer process of the model of pulp wood holocellulose and lignin content between two different types of spectrometers. The model transfer effect of holocellulose content was better than that of lignin.

2. The stable consistent wavelengths screened by the SWCSS method can effectively reduce the differences between these two spectral instruments, and then the systematic errors that still exist after the SWCSS correction can be further corrected by the S/B method, and the predictive power of the spectral analysis model will be significantly improved.
3. The model transfer process using the SWCSS-S/B method involves fewer wavelength variables, reduces the dimensionality of the spectral matrix, and greatly improves the transfer efficiency.

4. Although the analysis object of this paper is only for the near infrared analysis model of holocellulose and lignin in pulp, the research methods and paths used are also instructive and applicable to other indicators of pulp materials, such as moisture and density, as well as to NIR analysis modeling in other industry sectors.
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