Bio-Mimicry: Tree Rings and Three-Dimensional Printing – Preliminary Biomimetic Experiments with Fused Deposition Modeling Using Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene Filament
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Wood is a complex, natural structure and an essential source of nutrition, construction and building materials, energy, etc. Because of its microscopic structure, it is difficult to model its composition. In this study, the bio-mimicry of annual rings of the wood was evaluated by modeling and printing four different models with different ring diameters. The CATIA v5 software was used for 3D modeling. The designed models were additively manufactured using a 3D printer via the fused deposition of the acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) filament. The infill density and type, shell, layer height, and printing speed were evaluated for their influence on the structure of the ring. According to the results of preliminary biomimetic experiment, none of the models were exactly printed using the FDM method and ABS filament. Furthermore, the printing parameters did not significantly improve ring structure formation. Only the earlywood section of Model 4 could be moderately printed. Therefore, using these tools with printing parameters and materials was not found to be suitable for bio-mimicry of tree rings even if the size of the rings was multiplied by ten. In future work, the same models will be printed using different methods and tools to evaluate the printing ability or differences.
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INTRODUCTION

Wood is a natural and biodegradable composite that has a complex structure formed along axes by biological elements. In the center of the structure, there is pith surrounded by circular-like annual growth rings that form the polar orthotropic nature of wood. Sequentially repeated annual rings serve as a “database” for trees; because each year is different, year-by-year growth patterns are stored in the rings. These rings are formed in response to seasonal changes (Cerda et al. 2007).

Environmental friendliness, cost-efficiency, and abundance are some of the outstanding features of wood and cellulose-based materials that are spotlighted for utilization in three-dimensional (3D) printing applications (Sharma et al. 2021). Additive manufacturing (AM) is an emerging way for printing biomimetic structures. There have been many studies focused on bio-mimicry of wood or wood-related issues, including biomimetics of living wood using AM (Thibaut 2019); wood tissue printing (Markstedt et
al. 2019); powder-based 3D-printing by binder jetting (BJ) of dry-wood termite frass to bio-mimic the biodegraded wood (Plarre et al. 2021); 3D-printed wood warped seedpod by wooden ink with polydisperse wood powder (Kam et al. 2022); mechanical properties of printed (stereolithography) composite composed of poplar wood flour modified with methacrylate-based resin (Zhang et al. 2021); review data for polylactic acid (PLA) filament modified with plant-sourced materials (Bhagia et al. 2021); plant-derived materials used in 3D printing (Sharma et al. 2021); biomass-derived 3D printing materials (Ji et al. 2020); robotic arms for cellulose-based filament deposition (Iyer and Hasenson 2019); and lignin types and manners of printing of lignin using AM (Ebers et al. 2021).

The physical and mechanical properties of wood are correlated strictly to annual rings. These features also vary within growth rings because of the differences in cell structure, and generally latewood (LW) presents higher properties (mechanical, density, and shrinkage) and darker color than the earlywood (EW) sections (Zink-Sharp 2004). The EW and LW mechanical properties (Krauss et al. 2011; Büyüksari et al. 2017), the influence of annual rings on ultrasonic wave propagation (Aydin 2022), the influence of annual ring orientation on bending strength (Rede et al. 2017), the influences of ring width and fiber dimension on compressive strength (Ajuziogu et al. 2019), and age and growth rate (Briand et al. 1993) are some factors that affect tree ring properties.

The complex formation and small size of the elements that form the wood structure are obstacles that make biomimetics of the annual ring difficult. Furthermore, there are limited studies focused on the AM of wooden tissue or cellular structures; Markstedt et al. (2019) and Malek et al. (2017) are two of them that used ink-based methods for cell printing. However, sequential formation of the EW and LW is an important characteristic of an annual ring, and it has not been considered in published studies. Furthermore, variation between EW and LW is one of the crucial points for physical and mechanical properties. Therefore, this study created models with different ring diameters to mimic the annual ring formation and evaluate their printability in preliminary experiments using the fused deposition modeling (FDM) method. These models do not reflect the complex polar orthotropic nature of wood. Indeed, they involve certain dimensions of cell walls and lumens with cylindrical or square shapes. Therefore, molecular level of the wood structure was not considered.

EXPERIMENTAL

Three-dimensional models of the ring structure were prepared using CATIA v5 software (Dassault Systemes SE, Velizy-Villacoublay, France). As shown in Fig. 1, four different models with different ring diameters were created. Model 1, 2, and 3 were 10 x 10 x 10 mm cubic samples with one constant (1 mm) and three different (0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 mm) ring diameters. Model 4 was sized as 5 x 20 x 10 mm through L, R, and T directions, respectively. The size through the L direction was ½ reduced to decrease unnecessary layer accumulation, and printing time was shortened.

Annual ring widths (ARW) considerably vary according to species, ranging from 1.26 to 1.37 mm (Aydin 2022), 1.34 mm (Büyükşari et al. 2017), 0.79 to 2.6 mm (Dündar 2005), and 0.37 to 3.91 (Sensula et al. 2017) for Scots pine, 0.11 to 4.82 mm (from 1744 to 2011 - Hodul Mountain) for Black pine (Kantarci et al. 2013), and 2.45 to 4.11 mm for cedar (Akkemik 2003). Furthermore, cell wall thickness and lumen diameter greatly vary according to species, growing conditions, etc., and poplar has larger ring widths. Modeling
such a small structure using software is not easy and realizing such complex models by FDM is doubtful. Therefore, choosing a species that has larger ARWs (cell wall thickness and lumen diameter) and multiplying the values may be a possible solution for modeling. From this point of view, *Salix psammophila* has a 7.37 µm cell lumen diameter and 2.29 µm cell wall thickness (Zhou et al. 2017), and it was referenced for creating Model 4 using adapted cell wall thickness and lumen diameter values multiplied by ten. As shown in Fig. 1, the cell wall thickness and lumen diameter of EW section of Model 4 were 0.2 and 0.7 mm, respectively. For latewood, these values were 0.55 and 0.3 mm. The percentage of LW was set to approximately 1/3 of ARW in accordance with the reported 31.2/100 ratio for yellow poplar (Uzcategui et al. 2020). Transition changes in EW and LW were not taken into consideration, and exact same repetitions were used to provide a homogeneous structure. Other anatomical elements were overlooked in the modeling.
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**Fig. 1.** 3D models with different size, shape, and sequence

A Da Vinci 1.0 AIO 3D printer (0.4 mm nozzle diameter, XYZ Printing, New Kinpo Group, Taiwan) and acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) filament (1.75 mm diameter) were used for AM by the FDM method. The characteristics of the ABS filament are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Properties of ABS Filament

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Thermal Properties - Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.75±0.05</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>70-90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The resolution was set to 100 µm. Actual extruder and platform (bed) temperatures in printing were around 214 °C and 90 °C, respectively. The three of six 10 mm cubic samples were printed using default excellent printing quality parameters (30% infill density, rectilinear infill type, normal shell, 0.2 mm layer height, and slow speed). The remaining cubic samples were printed using 0.1 mm layer height to evaluate the influence of layer thicknesses on the models’ structures.

Due to 21% and 25% higher tensile and flexural strength and 18% and 20% higher tensile and flexural stiffness values (XYZ Printing 2019), cellular infill form should be
chosen but due to limitations, rectilinear and honeycomb infill types were used (Fig. 2). Increasing the infill percentage strengthens the model for structural uses instead of printing non-functional parts. To evaluate the influence of infill density on ring forming, three different infill densities were used, as shown in Table 2.
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**Table 2. Printing Parameters for Biomimetic of Model 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Printing Parameter</th>
<th>Sample A</th>
<th>Sample B</th>
<th>Sample C</th>
<th>Sample D</th>
<th>Sample E</th>
<th>Sample F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infill density (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infill type</td>
<td>Rectilinear</td>
<td>Rectilinear</td>
<td>Rectilinear</td>
<td>Honeycomb</td>
<td>Honeycomb</td>
<td>Honeycomb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>Thin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer height (mm)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>Slow and Fast</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Fig 2.** Strength and stiffness comparison of the infill types

The shell is the outer walls of the printed part, and the strength of the printed part can be improved by increasing the shell thickness. Evaluating the mechanical properties of the models was not one of the motivations of this study. As shown in the 3D designs, the lumen diameters were too small, and selecting as thin as possible shell thickness may provide better printing of hollow shapes. The layer thickness was 0.1 mm, and printing was performed at slow speed. However, Sample A was also printed using the same parameters but with fast printing speed to compare formation.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The model, print preview, and printed samples of Models 1, 2, and 3 are presented in Fig. 3. Neither previews nor printed samples reflected the model structures. The 0.4 mm rings of the Model 1 were filled by ABS. When the diameter of the rings increased, filling percentage decreased, as in Model 2. Surprisingly, it was expected that rings could be printed more accurately when the ring diameter increased to 0.8 mm, but large holes formed by merging the 1 mm and 0.8 mm rings. Furthermore, significant improvements were not observed when the samples were printed using 0.1 mm layer thickness.
Fig. 3. Screenshots for XYZware software (models and previews) and printed samples (left to right; Model 1, 2, and 3)

Tangential-radial plane views of the printed samples (A-F) of Model 4 are presented in Fig. 4. When compared with Models 1-3, a more accurate ring structure was obtained particularly for the EW section.
Fig. 4. Model 4 prints with different parameters

Fig. 5. The sanded surface of a printed Model 4
However, the hollow tubes with small diameters could not be formed by the default hardware of the printer and ABS was filled in the holes. As shown in the A-F views, infill density and type did not cause significant improvements in printing processes. The surface of the model was sanded to remove overprinted material to observe the LW structure of the model (Fig. 5). As observed for Model 1, gaps with a small diameter could not be printed properly; gaps were filled by overprinted ABS.

Varied cell numbers in the layer are assumed as the reason for the annual growth ring width variation, while each cell is nearly the same size and presents almost equal mechanical features (Golovin et al. 2022). In additive manufacturing, surface roughness and mechanical properties of the 3D printed products are directly related to infill pattern and density. In this study, three different infill densities (0%, 25%, and 50%) were used. As shown in Fig. 4, the influence of infill on the model formation is not perceptible. In contrast, increasing infill density causes an increase in printing time.

The impact value of the cellular infill is 2102 J/m², while gyroid, honeycomb, and rectilinear infill types have 1847, 1827, and 1725 J/m², respectively (XYZ Printing 2019). The cellular infill may be chosen if there is availability to provide higher impact strength. In this study, only rectilinear and honeycomb infill types were available.

Markstedt et al. (2019) printed a slice of wood tissue using xylan-modified cellulose nanofibril inks by extrusion-based printing with pneumatic and microvalve dispensing printer heads. The shape of the cellular structure looked as it should be, but when the size of the gaps (considerable higher than 5 mm) is taken into consideration, the diameters of the rings (particularly for Model 4) printed in this study were remarkably lower. Thus, a failure in layer formation due to relatively small ring diameters is assumed as the beginning of the improper ABS material deposition. This is one of the main reasons for unsuccessful sequential deposition in the course of bio-mimicry experiments. Maybe with the help of a relatively higher (0.4 mm) nozzle diameter, faulty sequential deposition caused irregular shape formation of the models.

Square and hexagon shaped cells with considerable higher dimensions were printed by Malek et al. (2017) using an ink-based method. However, cell wall thickness properties were not mentioned, while nozzle diameter was 610 µm.

More detailed models for the cellular structure of wood were designed and printed (FDM using ABS) by Ufodike et al. (2021) presenting the pits on the radial wall of the tracheid. The dimension of the base unit cells was around 70x65x60 mm in the Z, X, and Y directions, respectively, and the cell size was significantly higher than in this study.

Kam et al. (2022) concluded that structures (3D wood warped seedpod) can be successful AM by deposition of 1 mm thick layers using water-based inks in the 3D printing application. In this study, successful printing was not achieved for annual ring formation, but success can be obtained by printing models with larger ring diameters, as in previous studies. Furthermore, it is apparent that advances in this area can lead to significant improvements in the near future. Gurung (2017) stated that due to the establishment of several new businesses and competitiveness, printing quality and cost have increased and decreased, respectively. Moreover, wood or wood-based printing materials that advance the 3D printing outcomes have been effectively used in AM (Sharma et al. 2021), and samples manufactured in this study can be printed using such materials for a more realistic appearance.

Following this study, other AM methods such as selective laser sintering/melting and different filaments such as PLA are going to be used for printing the same models for comparison.
CONCLUSIONS

1. Specific to the used printer, materials, printing parameters, and model structures, tree rings could not be printed as they should be using fused deposition modeling (FDM). However, the earlywood (EW) section of Model 4 was moderately printed.

2. There was no significant influence of printing parameters (Table 2) on the ring formation.

3. The nozzle diameter had a great influence on the printing of the model, and the default diameter of the printer nozzle caused improper printing of rings or overprinting of the acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) filament on the latewood (LW) section.

4. This study was a preliminary biomimetic experimental of wood annual ring formation with different diameters and geometries. A comprehensive study is underway to manufacture the same models using different tools and methods of additive manufacturing for comparison.
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