# Nano Fertilizer Application Under Different Establishment Techniques for Sustainable Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.) Production

Thangamuthu Theerthana <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>a,\*</sup> Shivalli Boregowda Yogananda <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>a</sup> Salekoppal Sannegowda Prakash <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>b</sup> Matadadoddi Nanjundegowda Thimmegowda <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>c</sup> Hadivappa Marulappa Jayadeva <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>c</sup> Avverahalli Puttegowda Mallikarjuna Gowda <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>c</sup> and Rampura Shivappa Ramanji <sup>d</sup>

Rice production in Asia is a cornerstone of global food security. Implementing innovative crop establishment practices and utilizing nano fertilizers can enhance rice yields and mitigate environmental concerns, thereby contributing to a resilient and sustainable food system. Therefore, a field experiment was conducted over 2020 and 2021 that included various methods of application (seed treatment, root dipping, soil and foliar application) of nano fertilizers (nano nitrogen and nano zinc) under different rice establishment methods (conventional paddy and SRI). Statistical analysis was performed using Fisher's analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple range test ( $p \le 0.05$ ). The findings showed that the application of 75% N and two foliar sprays of nano-nitrogen and nano-zinc at 25 to 30 and 45 to 50 days after transplanting under System of Rice Intensification method (Treatment T14) was statistically superior in improving growth and yield parameters, grain and straw yield, and in enhancing the quality of rice over other treatments. Studies revealed strong positive correlations between all the measures, with the exception of the proportion of chaffiness and unfilled grains. The results of the stepwise regression analysis revealed the percentage dependence of grain and straw yield on growth, yield, and quality factors.

DOI: 10.15376/biores.20.1.1136-1160

Keywords: Sustainable agriculture; Paddy; SRI; Nano fertilizers; Quality; Yield; Correlation; Regression

Contact information: a: Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, V. C. Farm, Mandya, 571405, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India; b: Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, V. C. Farm, Mandya, 571405, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India; c: College of Agriculture, GKVK, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore-560065, Karnataka, India; d: Department of Agricultural Statistics, College of Agriculture, V. C. Farm, Mandya, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore-560065, Karnataka, India; \*Corresponding author: theerthumuthu@gmail.com

# INTRODUCTION

The world's population is predicted to surpass 9.7 billion by 2050, necessitating a 60% increase in food production (United Nations Department for Economic and Social Affairs 2019). The most contributing cereal crops, namely maize, rice, wheat, and their products in world, account for 140.43, 516.25 and 535.49 kcal/capita/day, respectively (FAO 2022). With 197 g/day and 71.9 kg/year, rice has the highest net availability per person of all the cereals in 2020 to 2021 (Directorate of Economics and Statistics 2021).

Rice provides about 700 calories day<sup>-1</sup> person<sup>-1</sup> for about 3000 million people living mostly in developing countries (Sangeetha and Baskar 2015).

The success of rice production in Asia will determine the future stability of the world's food supply. In addition to using between 24% and 30% of the global freshwater, rice consumes between 34% and 43% of the irrigation water on the global scale (Surendran *et al.* 2021). According to predictions, Asia's 17 to 22 million hectares of irrigated rice land will experience water scarcity by 2025 (Tuong and Bouman 2002), prompting widespread use of water-saving techniques. While the total employment in agriculture dropped in India from 63.32% in 1991 to 42.6% in 2019 as a result of rapid economic growth in non-agricultural sectors and rising labor wages, manual rice transplanting requires 25 to 50 man-days ha<sup>-1</sup> (Zhang *et al.* 2011; Singh and Sharma 2012).

Crop establishment procedures can be changed to provide solutions to all of the aforementioned issues. However, transplanting machines are expensive, so poor farmers cannot afford them. Non-availability of herbicides, compulsory land leveling, and more quantity of seeds (8 to 10 kg acre<sup>-1</sup>) makes direct seeded rice disadvantageous. Aerobic rice is not appropriate for higher rainfall areas where water cannot be controlled and also requires relatively extra weed management (Alam *et al.* 2014; Alam *et al.* 2016; Chakraborty *et al.* 2017). System of Rice Intensification (SRI) is a renowned methodology that greatly enhances rice yield without requiring additional seeds, chemical fertilizer, or other external inputs (Devi and Ponnarasi 2009).

The efficiency of nitrogen fertilizers in Asia is only 20% to 30%, compared to 45% globally. A proper and effective nutrient management could achieve 75% to 80% of potential yield (Sapkota *et al.* 2021). Management of nutrients helps to lower fertilizer losses and increase production (Ye *et al.* 2019). Most rice growing areas are nitrogen-poor, necessitating a strong concentration on nitrogen nutrition (Fageria and Baligar 2003). Consumption of nitrogenous fertilizers in India during 2019 to 2020 was 19,100 thousand tons while it was only 16,735 thousand tons during 2016 to 2017 (Department of Fertilizers, Ministry of Chemicals and Fertilizers 2020).

Zinc deficiency is prevalent in many rice-growing regions (Impa and Johnson-Beebout 2012), with *ca.* 50% of soils in these areas exhibiting low zinc levels (Singh 2008). Submergence of the soil, which is prevalent in rice production, causes a Zn shortage. Zinc deficiency is also common in alkaline or calcareous soils (Prasad *et al.* 2014). Field studies have shown that seed treatment, foliar application, or a combination can effectively enhance zinc uptake and accumulation in grains (Nair *et al.* 2010).

Nanotechnology is a strategy to enhance nutrient use efficiency. Nano fertilizers can be alternatives to conventional fertilizers for gradual and controlled supply of nutrients in the soil (Kottegoda *et al.* 2011; Shang *et al.* 2019). They could be a crucial development in the protection of the environment because they can be applied in smaller quantities compared to traditional fertilizers (Adisa *et al.* 2019), hence reducing leaching, runoff, and gas emissions to the atmosphere (Manjunatha *et al.* 2016). Given the recognized significance of these nano nitrogen and nano zinc in plant development and their common deficiencies in agricultural soils, this investigation was undertaken to explore their potential benefits on growth, yield, and quality parameters of rice.

bioresources.cnr.ncsu.edu

#### EXPERIMENTAL

#### **Experimental Site**

The field experimentation was conducted at the A-block, College of Agriculture, Vishweshwaraiah Canal Farm, Mandya, situated in the Agro-Climatic Zone VI (Southern Dry Zone) of Karnataka at 12° 57' N latitude and 76° 83' E longitude at an altitude of 678 m above mean sea level.

The details of the weather parameters recorded during the crop growth period are depicted in Fig. 1. The soil at the experiment site was sandy clay loam in texture with 57.3%, 14.0%, and 28.6% sand, silt, and clay, respectively. The soil was alkaline in reaction (pH 8.1) and low in soluble salts (0.45 dS m<sup>-1</sup>).





**Fig. 1.** Meteorological data of the experimental area at College of Agriculture, V. C. Farm, Mandya during a) 2020 and b) 2021

The soil was in the medium range in organic carbon (0.52%), available nitrogen (318 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (33.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), K<sub>2</sub>O (226 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and S (15.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The exchangeable calcium and magnesium content of soil was 8.86 and 2.91 cmol (p+) kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The DTPA extractable iron, zinc, manganese, copper, and hot water-soluble boron content was 34.9, 1.53, 11.2, and 3.11 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Bacterial, fungal, and actinomycetes population was 14.2 cfu  $\times 10^5$  g<sup>-1</sup> of soil, 12.2 cfu  $\times 10^4$  g<sup>-1</sup> of soil, and 5.28 cfu  $\times 10^3$  g<sup>-1</sup> of soil, respectively. The dehydrogenase activity was 129 µg TPF g<sup>-1</sup> soil hr<sup>-1</sup>, urease activity was 10.7 µg NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>, acid and alkaline phosphatase activity was 17.9 and 13.0 µmol g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

#### **Treatments and Layout**

The experiments were conducted during *kharif* 2020 and 2021. Considering the nature of factors under study and the convenience of agricultural operation, the experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design. The whole field was divided into three blocks each representing a replication. The experiment consisted of 14 treatments and was randomly allocated within the replications. A distance of 0.3 m between treatments and 0.50 m between replications was provided. Bunds with the height of 30 cm were raised in the space available between replications and treatments.

The treatments included were as follows: T<sub>1</sub>: TP with recommended practice; T<sub>2</sub>: SRI with recommended practice; T<sub>3</sub>: TP with 50% RDN + ST; TP<sub>4</sub> with 50% RDN + RD; T<sub>5</sub>: TP with 50% RDN + FS; T<sub>6</sub>: SRI with 50% RDN + ST; T<sub>7</sub>: SRI with 50% RDN + RD; T<sub>8</sub>: SRI with 50% RDN + FS; T<sub>9</sub>: TP with 75% RDN + ST; T<sub>10</sub>: TP with 75% RDN + RD;

T<sub>11</sub>: TP with 75% RDN + FS; T<sub>12</sub>: SRI with 75% RDN + ST; T<sub>13</sub>: SRI with 75% RDN + RD; T<sub>14</sub>: SRI with 75% RDN + FS (Note: TP: Transplanted paddy; SRI: System of Rice Intensification; RP: Recommended practice; ST: Seed treatment; RD: Root dipping; FS: Foliar sprays of both  $N_{nano}$  and  $Zn_{nano}$ ; Recommended FYM, 100% P and K is common to all the treatments; Recommendations are as per package of practice of University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore).

ST: Seed treatment involved immersing the seeds in a nano-nutrient solution at a concentration of 1000 milliliters per hectare of seed material. This treatment involved soaking the seeds in a solution containing the nano-nutrients prior to sowing. This treatment aimed to enhance seed germination, early seedling vigor, and overall plant growth by delivering essential micronutrients directly to the germinating seeds.

RD: Seedlings were dipped in a 1000 mL/ha nano nutrient solution to facilitate root uptake of nutrients. This technique is commonly used to enhance early plant growth and nutrient acquisition, particularly for micronutrients like zinc.

FS: Two foliar applications of both  $N_{nano}$  and  $Zn_{nano}$  solutions were administered at two critical growth stages: 25-30 and 45-50 days after transplanting *i.e.* with 20 days interval. Each application utilized a 0.4% concentration solution, ensuring optimal nutrient delivery to the plants.

A commercial nano-nitrogen and a nano-zinc product were sourced from IFFCO, a public sector company.

Seeds were sown in the nursery beds and trays for manual transplanted paddy and SRI method, respectively. Fifteen days prior to transplanting, 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM was applied to the experimental plots. The recommended doses of 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 20 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> fertilizers were applied for specific treatments through urea, single super phosphate (SSP), muriate of potash (MOP), and zinc sulphate (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>), respectively. A full dose of recommended phosphorus and potassium were applied at the time of transplanting to all the treatments along with 50% N as a basal dose. The remaining 50% N was applied in two splits at 30 and 60 DAT as top dressing according to the treatments.

#### Methods of Application of Nano Fertilizers

Nano fertilizers were applied as seed treatment (before sowing), root dipping (before transplanting), soil application (mixing nano fertilizers with sand and applied as top dressing), and foliar application (sprayed directly onto the leaves). These are shown in the Fig. 2.



a) Seed treatment



b) Root dipping



c) Soil application



d) Foliar application

**Fig. 2.** Methods of nano fertilizers application: a) Seed treatment; b) Root dipping; c) Soil application; d) Foliar application

### **Characterization of Nano Particles**

#### Dynamic light scattering (Zeta Sizer) for particle size analysis

The average particle diameters of nano nitrogen and nano zinc particles were characterized from the intensity distribution analysis by using Zeta Sizer. The average particle diameters of nano nitrogen and nano zinc particles were found to be 57.45 nm and 65.2 nm, respectively. Similar results were confirmed with Gazulla *et al.* (2013) and Wazid *et al.* (2018).

#### Scanning electron microscopy for surface morphology analysis

The morphological features of nano nitrogen and nano zinc particles were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; EVO 18; Carle Zeiss India Pvt Ltd., Germany) and are shown in Fig. 3. The nano nitrogen particles formed were spherical shaped and zinc showed a spherical shape as well. The results are in agreement with the findings of Gazulla *et al.* (2013) and Alamdari *et al.* (2020). The SEM images of nano nitrogen and nano zinc particles on the nano fertilizer sprayed paddy leaves are shown in Fig. 4.

#### Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy for elemental content

Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) (Oxford 80; Carle Zeiss India Pvt Ltd., Germany) is an elemental analysis technique, which is used in combination with SEM to determine the chemical composition in the sample and is shown in Fig. 3. The nano nitrogen particles formed were 45.4% weight basis N content in the sample whereas, nano zinc particles formed were 67.2% weight basis Zn content in the sample. Similar results were confirmed with (Gazulla *et al.* 2013).



a) Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy of nano nitrogen



b) Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy of nano zinc



c) Scanning electron microscope image of nano nitrogen



d) Scanning electron microscope image of nano zinc

**Fig. 3.** Characteristics of nano nitrogen and nano zinc particles: a) EDX of nano-N; b) EDX of nano-Zn; c) SEM of nano-N; d) SEM of nano-Zn



a) SEM image of paddy leaves with foliar spray of nano nitrogen



b) SEM image of paddy leaves with foliar spray nano zinc



c) SEM image of paddy leaves with foliar spray nano nitrogen and nano zinc

**Fig. 4.** SEM images of nano fertilizers on paddy leaves (nano N and nano Zn sprayed): a) SEM image of paddy leaves with nano-N; b) SEM image of paddy leaves with nano-Zn; c) SEM image of paddy leaves with nano-N and nano-Zn

# **Biochemical Analysis**

#### Carbohydrates

The total carbohydrate content was estimated by the method of Hedge and Hofreiter (1962). Carbohydrate was first hydrolyzed into simple sugars using dilute hydrochloric acid. In hot acidic medium, glucose was dehydrated to hydroxmethyl furfural. This compound formed with anthrone a green-colored product with absorption maximum at 630 nm.

#### Protein

Total protein was estimated by modified Lowry's method given by Hartree (1972). Determination of protein concentration by ultraviolet absorption depends on the presence of aromatic amino acids in the proteins. To the extracted samples, alkaline CuSO<sub>4</sub> reagent was added and incubated at room temperature for 10 min followed by 0.5 mL of Folin's phenol reagent. The contents were mixed well, and the absorbance was measured at 650 nm after 15 min in a spectrophotometer (Cary 60 UV-Vis; Agilent Technologies, India). From the standard graph, the amount of protein in the given unknown solution was calculated.

# Tryptophan content

The tryptophan content in grain sample was estimated by colorimetric method (Sadasivam and Manickam 1992). The protein in the grain sample was hydrolyzed with a proteolytic enzyme, papain. Then, the hydrolyzed sample was incubated at 65 °C overnight. A total of 1.0 mL supernatant was taken after centrifugation. To this, 4 mL of ferric chloride was added and kept for incubation at 65 °C for 15 min. The indole ring of tryptophan gives an orange red color with ferric chloride under strongly acidic condition. The intensity was measured at 545 nm. The tryptophan content in sample was estimated by comparing with standard curve:

$$Trptophan \ content = \frac{Tryptophan \ value \ from \ the \ graph \ in \ \mu g \ \times \ 0.096}{Percent \ of \ N \ in \ the \ sample} \times 100$$

# **Statistical Analysis**

Observations recorded during different phenological phases of rice crop were analyzed statistically to find out the result and to draw a conclusion of the experiment conducted. Fisher's method of analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used in the analysis, as given by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Significance between the treatments was tested by Duncan's multiple range test at a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$ . The analysis was performed using IBM SPSS, version 22. Correlation and regression analysis were conducted using R4.2.0 software package.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# **Plant Vegetative Growth Parameters**

Different growth parameters, such as plant height, number of tillers per hill, dry matter accumulation in leaves, stem, and panicles, were statistically influenced by the application of 75% N and two foliar sprays of nano nitrogen and nano zinc at 25 to 30 and

45 to 50 DAT under SRI method over rest of the treatments. Data pertaining to growth parameters are presented in Table 1.

Benzon *et al.* (2015) revealed that plant height was more enhanced when nano fertilizer was combined with conventional fertilizers because nano fertilizer can either provide nutrients for the plant or aid in the transport or absorption of available nutrients, thereby resulting in better crop growth. The transplanting of younger seedlings with wider spacing helped for both direction weeding and the application of nano nitrogen and nano zinc as foliar spray improved the availability of nutrients throughout the crop growth period influencing the number of tillers per hill under the SRI method (Geethalakshmi *et al.* 2011; Ghafari and Jamshid 2013).

The increase in dry matter accumulation may be due to the high reactivity of nano fertilizers, especially when they are applied as foliar spray because of more specific surface area in plant leaves (Dhoke *et al.* 2013). Large root volume, profuse tillering, and wider spacing of 25 cm  $\times$  25 cm sustained minimum injury while transplanting and established quickly due to the availability of nutrients (Hossain *et al.* 2003; Sathyanarayana and Babu 2004). Further, optimum utilization of resources leads to early tillering in SRI, which made the plants have more time for accumulation of photosynthates in panicles. Nano nitrogen and nano zinc fertilizers applied to the rice crop were readily available to the crop and that made the crop physiologically more active. As a result of better uptake and efficient utilization of nutrients, increased mobilization and accumulation of photosynthates in the reproductive parts of rice were observed. These results are in line with the findings of Armin *et al.* (2014) and Kumar *et al.* (2015a). The positive effect on plant growth of nano fertilizers was reported by Hassan *et al.* (2011), Morteza *et al.* (2013), Kannan *et al.* (2012), Prasad *et al.* (2012), Hedait and Salama (2012), and Tapan *et al.* (2013).

# bioresources.cnr.ncsu.edu

**Table 1.** Influence of Different Methods of Nano Nitrogen and Nano Zinc Applications on Growth Parameters of Paddy at Harvest During

 *Kharif* 2020 and 2021

Treatments		Plant Height (cm)			No. of Tillers Hill <sup>-1</sup>			Dry Matter Accumulation in Leaves (g hill <sup>-1</sup> )			Dry Matter Accumulation in Stem (g hill <sup>-1</sup> )			Dry Matter Accumulation in Panicles (g hill <sup>-1</sup> )		
		2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Pooled
T1:	TP+ Recommended practice	110.57c	125.20e	117.88d	11.03h	13.04h	12.03i	39.54d	47.83d	43.68d	61.18cd	69.43cd	65.31cd	61.01d	60.46d	60.74d
T₂:	SRI+ Recommended practice	118.56bc	130.11de	124.33cd	18.21f	21.54e	19.88f	93.79b	113.47b	103.63b	97.19b	110.29b	103.74b	105.49b	104.55b	105.02b
T3:	TP+ 50% RDN + ST	121.74b	133.83cde	127.79cd	15.60fg	18.46efg	17.03gh	34.93d	42.26d	38.59d	47.98e	54.44e	51.21e	56.30d	55.79d	56.05d
T4:	TP <b>+</b> 50% RDN + RD	124.98b	137.47bcde	131.23bc	13.23gh	15.65gh	14.44hi	35.98d	43.54d	39.76d	50.83de	57.69de	54.26de	58.15d	57.63d	57.89d
T5:	TP <b>+</b> 50% RDN + FS	138.87a	150.77abc	144.82a	18.36f	21.71e	20.03f	37.18d	44.98d	41.08d	58.86cde	66.79cde	62.83cde	59.34d	58.81d	59.08d
T6:	SRI+ 50% RDN + ST	124.13b	136.63bcde	130.38bc	24.08de	28.48d	26.28e	40.03d	48.43d	44.23d	62.88cd	71.36cd	67.12c	61.25d	60.70d	60.97d
T7:	SRI+50% RDN + RD	123.18b	138.74bcde	130.96bc	27.71c	32.77c	30.24cd	55.56c	67.21c	61.38c	63.93c	72.54c	68.23c	74.93c	74.25c	74.59c
T8:	SRI+50% RDN + FS	138.07a	143.06abcd	140.57ab	30.86ab	38.51ab	34.68ab	93.57b	113.21b	103.39b	96.24b	109.22b	102.73b	103.26b	102.34b	102.80b
T9:	TP+ 75% RDN + ST	120.81b	136.63bcde	128.72bcd	16.82f	19.90ef	18.36fg	55.60c	67.27c	61.44c	65.24c	74.04c	69.64c	76.68c	75.99c	76.34c
T <sub>10</sub> :	TP <b>+</b> 75% RDN + RD	124.21b	136.26bcde	130.23bc	15.45fg	18.27fg	16.86gh	92.23b	111.58b	101.90b	93.69b	106.32b	100.00b	100.50b	99.60b	100.05b
T <sub>11</sub> :	TP <b>+</b> 75% RDN + FS	140.88a	152.55ab	146.72a	21.64e	25.59d	23.62e	94.44b	114.26b	104.35b	100.92b	114.53b	107.73b	112.67b	111.66b	112.16b
T <sub>12</sub> :	SRI+ 75% RDN + ST	127.40b	136.88bcde	132.14bc	26.66cd	31.53c	29.09d	94.99b	114.93b	104.96b	97.85b	111.04b	104.44b	110.99b	109.99b	110.49b
T <sub>13</sub> :	SRI+75% RDN + RD	128.16b	136.93bcde	132.55bc	29.02bc	35.90b	32.46bc	95.96b	116.09b	106.02b	98.07b	111.30b	104.69b	111.96b	110.96b	111.46b
<b>T</b> <sub>14</sub> :	SRI+75% RDN + FS	142.36a	154.92a	148.64a	32.39a	39.64a	36.01a	107.62a	130.20a	118.91a	113.12a	128.37a	120.75a	127.66a	126.51a	127.09a
Values	marked by a different le	etter differ s	ignificantly ac	cording to D	uncan's mu	Itiple range	test (p ≤ 0	).05)								

**Table 2.** Influence of Different Methods of Nano Nitrogen and Nano Zinc Applications on Yield Parameters of Paddy at Harvest During

 *Kharif* 2020 and 2021

Treatments		Panicle Length			Panicle Weight			Total Number of Unfilled Grains Panicle <sup>-1</sup>			Percent Chaffiness			Test Weight		
		2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Poole d	2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Pooled
T1:	TP+ Recommended practice	16.49c	18.60c	17.54cd	3.07d	3.35d	3.21d	61.44ef	63.96de	62.70ef	36.58g	30.14f	33.36g	17.58c	19.18bc	18.38cd
T <sub>2</sub> :	SRI+ Recommended practice	18.71bc	21.10bc	19.90bcd	3.34cd	3.64cd	3.49cd	54.15bcde	56.67bcd	55.41bcde	32.47d	26.62cd	29.55cd	19.41bc	21.18bc	20.29bcd
<b>T</b> ₃:	TP+ 50% RDN + ST	16.05c	18.10c	17.07d	2.80d	3.05d	2.93d	77.25h	79.77g	78.51h	43.36j	36.25i	39.80j	17.03c	18.58c	17.81d
<b>T</b> ₄:	TP <b>+</b> 50% RDN + RD	16.22c	18.30c	17.26cd	2.90d	3.16d	3.03d	72.83gh	75.35fg	74.09gh	40.77i	33.85h	37.31i	17.43c	19.02bc	18.22cd
T5:	TP <b>+</b> 50% RDN + FS	16.40c	18.50c	17.45cd	3.06d	3.34d	3.20d	66.43fg	68.95ef	67.69fg	38.47h	31.80g	35.13h	17.56c	19.16bc	18.36cd
T6:	SRI+ 50% RDN + ST	16.67c	18.80c	17.73cd	3.12cd	3.40cd	3.26d	61.22ef	63.74de	62.48ef	36.45g	30.03f	33.24g	18.09bc	19.74bc	18.91bcd
T7:	SRI <b>+</b> 50% RDN + RD	17.11bc	19.30bc	18.21cd	3.14cd	3.42cd	3.28d	61.01def	63.53de	62.27def	36.30g	29.89f	33.10g	18.09bc	19.74bc	18.91bcd
T8:	SRI <b>+</b> 50% RDN + FS	18.09bc	20.40bc	19.24bcd	3.20cd	3.49cd	3.34cd	54.96cde	57.48cd	56.22cde	32.85d	26.88cd	29.87de	18.35bc	20.02bc	19.19bcd
T9:	TP+ 75% RDN + ST	17.11bc	19.30bc	18.21cd	3.16cd	3.45cd	3.30cd	57.64de	60.16d	58.90de	34.97f	28.74ef	31.85f	18.11bc	19.76bc	18.94bcd
T <sub>10</sub> :	TP <b>+</b> 75% RDN + RD	17.64bc	19.90bc	18.77bcd	3.19cd	3.48cd	3.34cd	57.34de	59.86d	58.60de	33.97e	27.84de	30.91ef	18.28bc	19.94bc	19.11bcd
<b>T</b> 11:	TP <b>+</b> 75% RDN + FS	19.68b	22.20b	20.94b	4.63b	5.05b	4.84b	46.13ab	48.65ab	47.39ab	28.32b	23.04b	25.68b	20.74ab	21.90b	21.32ab
T <sub>12</sub> :	SRI+ 75% RDN + ST	18.71bc	21.10bc	19.90bc	3.67c	4.00c	3.84c	52.65bcd	55.17bcd	53.91bcd	31.53c	25.75c	28.64c	19.56bc	21.34bc	20.45bcd
T <sub>13</sub> :	SRI <b>+</b> 75% RDN + RD	18.71bc	21.10bc	19.90bc	4.59b	5.00b	4.80b	47.01abc	49.53abc	48.27abc	28.91b	23.50b	26.21b	19.70bc	21.49bc	20.59bc
T <sub>14</sub> :	SRI+75% RDN + FS	22.13a	24.97a	23.55a	5.35a	5.83a	5.59a	41.01a	43.53a	42.27a	23.94a	19.30a	21.62a	22.56a	24.62a	23.59a
Values	/alues marked by a different letter differ significantly according to Duncan's multiple range test (p ≤ 0.05)															

**Table 3.** Influence of Different Methods of Nano Nitrogen and Nano ZincApplications on Grain and Straw Yields of Paddy at Harvest During Kharif2020 And 2021

	Treatments		Grain Yield		Straw Yield						
		2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Pooled				
<b>T</b> 1:	TP+ Recommended practice	5455cde	6118def	5787de	6501cd	7291cde	6896de				
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub> :	SRI+ Recommended practice	6155bcde	6903bcde	6529bcd	7335bcd	8226bcde	7780bcd				
	<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub> : TP+ 50% RDN + ST	5245e	5882f	5563e	6250d	7009e	6629e				
	<b>T</b> ₄: TP <b>+</b> 50% RDN + RD	5373de	6026ef	5700de	6403cd	7181de	6792de				
	<b>T</b> ₅: TP <b>+</b> 50% RDN + FS	5395de	6050def	5723de	6429cd	7210de	6819de				
	<b>T</b> <sub>6</sub> : SRI+ 50% RDN + ST	5474cde	6139def	5807de	6523cd	7316cde	6919cde				
	<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub> : SRI+50% RDN + RD	5539cde	6212 cdef	5875cde	6600bcd	7402cde	7001cde				
	<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub> : SRI+50% RDN + FS	5862bcde	6574bcdef	6218bcde	6985bcd	7834bcde	7410bcde				
	<b>T</b> <sub>9</sub> : TP+ 75% RDN + ST	5811bcde	6517bcdef	6164bcde	6924bcd	7766bcde	7345bcde				
	<b>T</b> <sub>10</sub> : TP <b>+</b> 75% RDN + RD	5835bcde	6544bcdef	6189bcde	6953bcd	7798bcde	7375bcde				
	<b>T</b> <sub>11</sub> : TP <b>+</b> 75% RDN + FS	6478b	7265b	6871b	7719b	8657b	8188b				
	<b>T</b> <sub>12</sub> : SRI+ 75% RDN + ST	6209bcd	6964bcd	6587bcd	7399bcd	8299bcd	7849bcd				
	<b>T</b> <sub>13</sub> : SRI+75% RDN + RD	6347bc	7119bc	6733bc	7564bc	8483bc	8023bc				
	<b>T</b> <sub>14</sub> : SRI+75% RDN + FS	7434a	8338a	7886a	8859a	9936a	9397a				
Val	Values marked by a different letter differ significantly according to Duncan's multiple range test ( $p \le 0.05$ )										

# **Yield Parameters**

Different yield parameters represented in Tables 2 and 3, such as panicle length, panicle weight, total number of unfilled grains per panicle, percent chaffiness, test weight, grain yield, and straw yield, were statistically influenced by the application of 75% N and two foliar sprays of nano nitrogen and nano zinc at 25 to 30 and 45 to 50 DAT under SRI method.

Statistically higher panicle length and weight may be due to the enhanced availability of micronutrient by nano zinc application, which increased the photosynthesis and translocation of photosynthates to sink, synthesis of amino acid, chlorophyll, and better carbohydrates transformation along with the positive attributes of SRI. Stomata and base of the trichomes are the major ways for the nano particles to enter into the plant by foliar application, and then the nano particles are translocated to various tissues of the plants (Uzu *et al.* 2010). Similar results were reported by Safarined *et al.* (2013), Sirisena *et al.* (2013), Ruiqiang and Rattan (2014), and Eleyan *et al.* (2018).

Because nano fertilizers are considered as the biological pump for the plants to absorb nutrients and water (Ma *et al.* 2009), more photosynthate accumulation was found in those treatments that received nano nitrogen and nano zinc as foliar spray. Hence, a lower number of unfilled grains and lesser percent chaffiness was recorded in those treatments. Similar results were reported by Harsini *et al.* (2014) and Kumar *et al.* (2015a).

# bioresources.cnr.ncsu.edu

**Table 4.** Influence of Different Methods of Nano Nitrogen and Nano Zinc Applications on Quality Parameters of Paddy at Harvest During

 *Kharif* 2020 and 2021

Treatments		Carbohydrates			Protein				Tryptopha	า	Lysine			
		2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Pooled	2020	2021	Pooled	
<b>T</b> 1:	TP+ Recommended practice	73.20bc	75.55b	74.38bc	6.61cde	6.91cd	6.76cde	0.68cd	0.71c	0.69c	4.01def	4.19de	4.10de	
T <sub>2</sub> :	SRI+ Recommended practice	79.99bc	82.56b	81.28bc	7.30bc	7.64abc	7.47bc	0.71cd	0.74bc	0.72bc	3.47abcd	3.63abc	3.55abc	
<b>T</b> 3:	TP+ 50% RDN + ST	69.95c	72.21b	71.08c	5.87e	6.14d	6.01e	0.64d	0.67c	0.66c	4.16f	4.36e	4.26e	
<b>T</b> 4:	TP <b>+</b> 50% RDN + RD	71.69bc	74.00b	72.84bc	6.24de	6.53cd	6.38de	0.67cd	0.70c	0.69c	4.13f	4.32e	4.22e	
T5:	TP <b>+</b> 50% RDN + FS	71.69bc	74.00b	72.84bc	6.61cde	6.91cd	6.76cde	0.68cd	0.71c	0.69c	4.09ef	4.28e	4.18e	
<b>T</b> 6:	SRI+ 50% RDN + ST	74.71bc	77.11b	75.91bc	6.61cde	6.91cd	6.76cde	0.69cd	0.72c	0.71c	3.93cdef	4.11cde	4.02cde	
<b>T</b> 7:	SRI <b>+</b> 50% RDN + RD	76.21bc	78.67b	77.44bc	6.87cd	7.18cd	7.03cd	0.69cd	0.73c	0.71c	3.78bcdef	3.95bcde	3.87bcde	
T8:	SRI+50% RDN + FS	77.72bc	80.23b	78.98bc	7.03bcd	7.35bc	7.19cd	0.71cd	0.74bc	0.72c	3.47abcd	3.63abc	3.55abc	
T9:	TP+ 75% RDN + ST	77.27bc	79.76b	78.52bc	6.99cd	7.31bc	7.15cd	0.71cd	0.74bc	0.72bc	3.54abcde	3.71abcd	3.63abcd	
T <sub>10</sub> :	TP <b>+</b> 75% RDN + RD	77.60bc	80.10b	78.85bc	7.03bcd	7.35bc	7.19cd	0.71cd	0.74bc	0.72bc	3.47abcd	3.63abc	3.55abc	
<b>T</b> 11:	TP <b>+</b> 75% RDN + FS	82.80b	85.47ab	84.14b	7.97ab	8.33ab	8.15ab	0.81ab	0.85ab	0.83ab	3.28ab	3.43ab	3.35ab	
<b>T</b> <sub>12</sub> :	SRI+ 75% RDN + ST	80.74bc	83.34b	82.04bc	7.36bc	7.63abc	7.49bc	0.72cd	0.75bc	0.73bc	3.46abcd	3.61abc	3.54abc	
<b>T</b> 13:	SRI <b>+</b> 75% RDN + RD	81.50bc	84.12b	82.81bc	7.28bc	7.62abc	7.45bc	0.75bc	0.77abc	0.76bc	3.36abc	3.52ab	3.44ab	
<b>T</b> 14:	SRI <b>+</b> 75% RDN + FS	94.57a	96.91a	95.74a	8.26a	8.64a	8.45a	0.84a	0.88a	0.86a	3.20a	3.35a	3.27a	
Valu	Values marked by a different letter differ significantly according to Duncan's multiple range test ( $p \le 0.05$ )													

The increased seed weight upon nano nitrogen and nano zinc fertilization was attributed to efficient action of zinc in metabolic processes, like enhanced uptake, translocation of sugars, and higher carbohydrate accumulation in seeds. These results were in line with the findings of Abdoli *et al.* (2014).

The lower yield in normal transplanted paddy with lesser nitrogen was due to lesser production of yield attributing characters because of competition by closer spacing. The results were in line with the findings of Hossain *et al.* (2003), Barison and Uphoff (2010), and Elamathi *et al.* (2012).

#### **Quality Parameters of Paddy**

Data pertaining to quality parameters of paddy grains *viz.*, carbohydrates, protein, lysine, and tryptophan were recorded and represented based on pooled data of two successive *kharif* seasons in Table 4. Treatment with application of 75% N and two foliar sprays of nano nitrogen and nano zinc at 25 to 30 and 45 to 50 DAT under SRI method recorded statistically higher carbohydrates, protein, and tryptophan contents during *kharif* season. Whereas, statistically higher lysine content was recorded in the treatments in which tryptophan has been found lower, *i.e.*, with seed treatment with nano nitrogen and nano zinc before sowing and application of 50% N under transplanted paddy.

# Carbohydrates (%)

The availability of essential major and micro nutrients increased due to the nano fertilizers that influenced the amino acid accumulation, improvement in carbohydrate and crude fiber content in straw and grain during the various phenological stages of the crop (Nadi *et al.* 2013).

#### Protein (%)

Nano zinc enhances the cation-exchange capacity of the roots, which in turn enhances absorption of essential nutrients and foliar application of nano nitrogen, improved dry matter accumulation, and higher nitrogen uptake, which is responsible for higher protein content. Nano nitrogen and nano zinc plays a vital role in carbohydrate and proteins metabolism as well as it controls plant growth hormone, *i.e.*, IAA. The results are in accordance with the findings of Satdev *et al.* (2021).

# Tryptophan and Lysine (%)

Nano nitrogen and nano zinc enhance the quality by increasing absorption and allocation of other vital nutrients to the plant, thus enhancing the metabolic processes of the plant and playing an important role in many biochemical reactions within the plants. They also improve the protein content through amino acid accumulation due to increased nitrogen metabolism. They act as a stimulant factor that increases the production of indole acetic acid, thereby leading to an increase in amino acids such as tryptophan and decreased lysine content. It is mainly due to the antagonistic activity of tryptophan and lysine (Kisan *et al.* 2015).

#### **Correlation Matrix**

The degree of linear association of the grain yield with growth and yield variables (plant height, number of tillers, dry matter accumulation in leaves, stem and panicles, panicle length, panicle weight, chaffiness, and unfilled grains per panicle) is presented in a correlation matrix in Fig. 5.



**Fig. 5.** Pearson's correlation matrix for growth and yield variables in paddy as influenced by different methods of nano nitrogen and nano zinc applications





1152

The yield demonstrated a positive correlation with key vegetative growth parameters, including plant height, tiller number, and dry matter accumulation in leaves, stems, and panicles. Additionally, panicle length and weight were positively associated with yield. Conversely, a statistically significant negative correlation was observed between yield and grain quality parameters, such as chaffiness and the number of unfilled grains per panicle. These findings highlight the importance of these traits in determining the overall yield potential of the crop.

The degree of linear association of the grain yield with quality parameter variables (carbohydrates, protein, tryptophan, and lysine) is presented in a correlation matrix in Fig. 6. The yield positively correlated with the carbohydrates, protein, and tryptophan, while statistically negative correlations were observed with the lysine.

**Table 5.** Regression Coefficient Estimates of Pooled Data for Different

 Variables in Stepwise Regression Analysis

SI.	Y (Dependent Variable) = a+b1x1+b2x2++e (Independent	Multiple R <sup>2</sup>
No.	Variable)	Value
1	Grain yield = -3390.866 + 0.200 X <sub>1</sub> - 5.114 X <sub>2</sub> - 21.867 X <sub>3</sub> + 8.559 X <sub>4</sub> + 28.080	0.9601
I	X <sub>5</sub> + 195.670 X <sub>6</sub> + 15.638 X <sub>7</sub> + 156.951 X <sub>8</sub> - 40.877 X <sub>9</sub> + 123.380 X <sub>10</sub>	
2	Grain yield = 375.457 + 19.240 A <sub>1</sub> + 0.677 A <sub>2</sub> + 7532.109 A <sub>3</sub> - 304.756 A <sub>4</sub>	0.9149
0	Straw yield = 6983.041 - 2.236 X <sub>1</sub> - 6.618 X <sub>2</sub> + 18.357 X <sub>3</sub> - 23.273 X <sub>4</sub> - 5.899	0.0950
2	X <sub>5</sub> + 96.351 X <sub>6</sub> + 41.889 X <sub>7</sub> + 169.483 X <sub>8</sub> + 67.627 X <sub>9</sub> – 234.228 X <sub>10</sub>	0.9650
4	Straw yield = 25.472 + 97.269 A <sub>1</sub> - 236.992 A <sub>2</sub> + 3526.563 A <sub>3</sub> - 302.590 A <sub>4</sub>	0.9806
4	<b>Straw yield =</b> 25.472 + 97.269 A <sub>1</sub> – 236.992 A <sub>2</sub> + 3526.563 A <sub>3</sub> – 302.590 A <sub>4</sub>	0.9806

where  $X_1$  = Plant height,  $X_2$  = No. of tillers,  $X_3$  = Dry matter accumulation in leaves,  $X_4$  = Dry matter accumulation in stem,  $X_5$  = Dry matter accumulation in panicles,  $X_6$  = Panicle length,  $X_7$  = Panicle weight,  $X_8$  = Test weight,  $X_9$  = Unfilled grains,  $X_{10}$  = Chaffiness; A<sub>1</sub> = Carbohydrates, A<sub>2</sub> = Protein, A<sub>3</sub> = Tryptophan, A<sub>4</sub> = Lysine

# **Stepwise Regression Analysis**

Stepwise regression analysis was performed using the grain yield (kg/ha) as a dependent variable and the remaining variables as independent variables. The correlation matrix (Figs. 5 and 6) showed a significant correlation among independent variables, which generates a multicollinearity problem. Stepwise regression analysis overcomes the problem of multicollinearity. The results of stepwise regression coefficients of pooled data for grain yield with growth/yield parameters revealed that out of the many independent variables, ten (Plant height, No. of tillers, Dry matter accumulation in leaves, Dry matter accumulation in stem, Dry matter accumulation in panicles, Panicle length, Panicle weight, test weight, unfilled grains, and chaffiness) were considered to explain the variable grain yield. The regression model was found to be highly significant, with F calculated to be 74.51 (p-value =  $< 2.2e^{-16}$ ). This statistical analysis revealed a highly significant regression model, indicating a strong association between the independent and dependent variables. This suggests that the model effectively captures the underlying relationship between the variables and provides a reliable prediction of the dependent variable based on the values of the independent variables. The regression coefficients for all variables are shown in Table 5. The ten variables were found to be significant, and can be used to predict the grain yield. The regression model is as follows:

Grain Yield = -3390.8656 + 0.1998 Plant height - 5.1140 No. of tillers -21.8672 Dry matter accumulation in leaves + 8.5588 Dry matter accumulation in stem + 28.0795 Dry matter accumulation in panicles + 195.6700 Panicle length + 15.6383 Panicle weight + 156.9508 Test weight - 40.8765 Unfilled grains + 123.3795 Chaffiness

1153

The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) of 0.9601 indicates that 96.01% of the variability in grain yield can be explained by the variations in the independent variables (growth and yield parameters) included in the model. This high  $R^2$  value suggests that the model is a good fit for the data and that the growth and yield parameters are strong predictors of grain yield. The adjusted  $R^2$  value was 0.9472 (Fig. 7).



#### Independent variables

Fig. 7. Stepwise regression coefficients of pooled data for grain yield with growth/yield parameters

The results of stepwise regression coefficients of pooled data for grain yield with quality parameters revealed that four independent variables *viz.*, carbohydrates, protein, tryptophan, and lysine were considered to explain the variable grain yield. The regression model was found to be highly significant, with F calculated to be 99.39 (p-value  $= < 2.2e^{-16}$ ). The highly significant F-statistic of 99.39 indicates that the regression model as a whole is a strong fit for the data. This suggests that at least one of the independent variables in the model is significantly associated with the dependent variable. The regression coefficients for all variables are shown in Table 5. The four variables were found to be significant, and can be used to predict the grain yield. The regression model is as follows:

Grain Yield = 375.4571 + 19.2400 Carbohydrates + 0.6768 Protein + 7532.1086 Tryptophan - 304.7564 Lysine

The coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$  value was 0.9149, which means that 91.49% of the variation in the dependent variable (grain yield) is explained by the model. The adjusted R<sup>2</sup> value was 0.9057 (Fig. 8).



#### Independent variables



The results of stepwise regression coefficients of pooled data for straw yield with growth/yield parameters revealed that out of the many independent variables, ten (Plant height, No. of tillers, Dry matter accumulation in leaves, Dry matter accumulation in stem, Dry matter accumulation in panicles, Panicle length, Panicle weight, test weight, unfilled grains. and chaffiness) were considered to explain the variable straw yield.

The regression model was found to be highly significant, with F calculated to be 203.5 (p-value =  $< 2.2e^{-16}$ ). The regression coefficients for all variables are shown in Table 5. The ten variables were found to be significant, and can be used to predict the grain yield. The regression model is as follows:

Straw Yield = 6983.041 - 2.236 Plant height - 6.618 No. of tillers + 18.357Dry matter accumulation in leaves - 23.273 Dry matter accumulation in stem - 5.899Dry matter accumulation in panicles + 96.351 Panicle length + 41.889 Panicle weight + 169.483 Test weight + 67.627 Unfilled grains - 234.228 Chaffiness

The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) value was 0.985, which means that 98.50% of the variation in the dependent variable (straw yield) is explained by the model and also indicates the extent of dependability on growth and yield variables. The adjusted  $R^2$  value was 0.9802 (Fig. 9).





The results of stepwise regression coefficients of pooled data for straw yield with quality parameters revealed that four independent variables *viz.*, Carbohydrates, protein, tryptophan, and lysine, were considered to explain the variable straw yield. The regression model was found to be highly significant, with F calculated to be 517.9 (p-value =  $< 2.2e^{-16}$ ). The regression coefficients for all variables are shown in Table 5. The four variables were found to be significant, and can be used to predict the grain yield. The regression model is as follows:

Straw Yield = 25.472 + 97.269 Carbohydrates - 236.992 Protein + 3526.563 Tryptophan - 302.590 Lysine

The coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$  value was 0.9825, which means that 98.25% of the variation in the dependent variable (straw yield) is explained by the model. The adjusted R<sup>2</sup> value was 0.9806 (Fig. 10).

#### Independent variables



Predicted value

Fig. 10. Stepwise regression coefficients of pooled data for straw yield with quality parameters

# CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The treatment receiving 75% N and two foliar sprays of nano nitrogen and nano zinc at 25 to 30 and 45 to 50 DAT under SRI method (T14) was statistically superior in improving growth and yield parameters, grain and straw yields, and it was also superior in enhancing the quality of rice over rest of the treatments.
- 2. The lower yield in normal transplanted paddy with lesser nitrogen can be attributed to lesser production of yield attributing characters because of competition by closer spacing.
- 3. Correlation studies showed high positive correlation among all the parameters except for unfilled grains and chaffiness percentage, which showed high negative correlation with the grain yield.
- 4. The stepwise regression analysis showed the percentage dependability of grain and straw yields on the growth, yield, and quality parameters. It infers that the improvement in such variables is the key to enhance the yield of paddy in regions with similar agro-climatic conditions.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the Head of the Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, V. C. Farm, Mandya, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore-560065, Karnataka for providing the resources and the laboratory for successful completion of research.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

There are no relevant financial or non-financial competing interests to report.

1156

# **REFERENCES CITED**

- Abdoli, M., Esfandiari, E., Mousavi, S. B., and Sadeghzadeh, B. (2014). "Effects of foliar application of zinc sulfate at different phonological stages on yield formation and grain zinc content of bread wheat (*cv.* Kohdasht)," *Azarian J. Agric.* 10(1), 11-16.
- Adisa, I. O., Pullagurala, V. L. R., Peralta-Videa, J. R., Dimkpa, C. O., Elmer, W. H., and Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. (2019). "Recent advances in nano-enabled fertilizers and pesticides: A critical review of mechanisms of action," *Environmental Science: Nano.* 6(7), 2002-2030. DOI: 10.1039/C9EN00265K
- Alam, M. K., Islam, M. M., Salahin, N., and Hasanuzzaman, M. (2014). "Effect of tillage practices on soil properties and crop productivity in wheat-mungbean-rice cropping system under subtropical climatic conditions," *Sci. World J.* 2014, article ID 437283 DOI: 10.1155/2014/437283
- Alam, M. K., Salahin, N., Islam, S., Begum, R. A., Hasanuzzaman, M., Islam, M. S., and Rahman, M. M. (2016). "Patterns of change in soil organic matter, physical properties and crop productivity under tillage practices and cropping systems in Bangladesh," J. Agr. Sci. 155(2), 216-238. DOI: 10.1017/S0021859616000265
- Alamdari, S., Sasani Ghamsari, M., Lee, C., Han, W., Park, H. H., Tafreshi, M. J., Afarideh, H., and Ara, M. H. M. (2020). "Preparation and characterization of zinc oxide nanoparticles using leaf extract of *Sambucus ebulus*," *Appl. Sci.* 10(10), article 3620. DOI: 10.3390/app10103620
- Armin, M., Akbari, S., and Mashhadi, S. (2014). "Effect of time and concentration of nano- Fe foliar application on yield and yield components of wheat," *Int. J. Biosci.* 4(9), 69-75. DOI: 10.12692/ijb/4.9.69-75
- Barison, J., and Uphoff, N. (2010). "Rice yield and its relation to root growth and nutrient-use efficiency under SRI and conventional cultivation: An evaluation in Madagascar," *Paddy Water Environ.* 9, 3-11. DOI: 10.1007/s10333-010-0229-z
- Benzon, H., Rubenecia, M., Ultra, V., and Lee, S. (2015). "Nano-fertilizer affects the growth, development, and chemical properties of rice," *Int. J. Agron. Agric. Res.* 7(1), 105-117.
- Chakraborty, D., Ladha, J. K., Rana, D. S., Jat, M. L., Gathala, M. K., Yadav, S., Rao, A. N., Ramesha, M. S., and Raman, A. (2017). "A global analysis of alternative tillage and crop establishment practices for economically and environmentally efficient rice production," *Sci. Rep.* 7(1), article 9342. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-09742-9
- Department of Fertilizers, Ministry of Chemicals & Fertilizers (2020). "Production, imports and consumption of fertilizers," Economic Survey 2021-22 Statistical Appendix," India Budget, (https://www.indiabudget.gov.in/budget2022-23/economicsurvey/doc/Statistical-Appendix-in-English.pdf), Accessed 01 May 2024.
- Devi, S. K., and Ponnarasi, T. (2009). "An economic analysis of modern rice production technology and its adoption behaviour in Tamil Nadu," *Agric. Econ. Res. Rev.* 22, 341-347. DOI: 10.22004/ag.econ.57473
- Dhoke, S. K., Mahajan, P., Kamble, R., and Khanna, A. (2013). "Effect of nanoparticle suspensions on the growth of mung (*Vigna radiata*) seedlings by foliar spray method," *Nano-Techn. Develop.* 3(1), 1-5. DOI: 10.4081/ND.2013.E1
- Directorate of Economics and Statistics, and Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare (2021). "The per capita net availability of food grains-Economic Survey 2021-22 Statistical Appendix," India Budget,

(https://www.indiabudget.gov.in/budget2022-23/economicsurvey/doc/Statistical-Appendix-in-English.pdf), Accessed 01 May 2024.

Elamathi, S., Balasubramanian, P., Pandian, B. J., Chellamuthu, S., and Sundarapandian, T. (2012). "Effect of improved production technology on rice yield in tank irrigated regions of Giridhamal sub basin, Tamil Nadu," *Proceedings* of the International Symposium on 100 years of Rice Science and Looking Beyond, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Inia, pp. 492-493.

Eleyan, S. E. D., Abodahab, A. A., Abdallah, A. M., and Rabeh, H. A. (2018). "Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium nano fertilizers with different application times, methods and rates on some growth parameters of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.)," *Biosci. Res.* 15(2), 549-564.

FAO (2022). "Per capita kilocalorie supply from major cereals and their products per day in world," FAO, (https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/FBS), Accessed 01 May 2024.

Fageria, N. K., and Baligar, V. C. (2003). "Methodology for evaluation of lowland rice genotypes for nitrogen use efficiency," *J. Plant Nutr.* 26, 1315-1333. DOI: 10.1081/PLN-120020373

Gazulla, M. F., Rodrigo, M., Blasco, E., and Orduna, M. (2013). "Nitrogen determination by SEM-EDS and elemental analysis," *X-Ray Spectrometry* 42(5), 394-401. DOI: 10.1002/xrs.2490

Geethalakshmi, V., Ramesh, T., Azhagu, P., and Lakshmanan. (2011). "Agronomic evaluation of rice cultivation systems for water and grain productivity," *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 57(2), 159-166. DOI: 10.1080/03650340903286422

Ghafari, H., and Jamshid, R. (2013). "Effect of foliar application of nano-iron oxidase iron chelate and iron sulphate rates on yield and quality of wheat," *Int. J. Agron. Plant Prod.* 4(11), 2997-3003.

Harsini, M. G., Habibi, H., and Talaei, G. H. (2014). "Study the effects of iron nano chelated fertilizers foliar application on yield and yield components of new line of wheat cold region of Kermanshah province," *Agric. Adv.* 3(4), 95-102. DOI: 10.14196/AA.V3I4.1339

Hartree, E. A. (1972). "Determination of protein: A modification of the Lowry method that gives a linear photometric response analytical biochemistry," *Anal. Biochem.* 48(2), 422-427. DOI: 10.1016/0003-2697(72)90094-2

Hassan, F., Parviz, R., Moghaddam, N., Shahtah, M., and Amir, F. (2011). "Impact of bulk and nanosized titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) on wheat seed germination and seedling growth," *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 146(1), 101-106. DOI: 10.1007/s12011-011-9222-7

Hedge, J. E., and Hofreiter, B. T. (1962). *Carbohydrate Chemistry 17*, R. L. Whistler, and J. N. Be Miller (Eds.), Academic Press, New York, NY, USA.

Hediat, M., and Salama, H. (2012). "Effects of silver nanoparticles in some crop plants, common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and corn (*Zea mays* L.)," *Int. Res. J. Biotechnol.* 3(10), 190-197.

Hossain, M. Z., Hossain, S. B. A. M., Anwar, M. P., Sarkar, M. R. A., and Mamum, A. A. (2003). "Performance of BRRI Dhan 32 in SRI and conventional methods and their technology mixes," *J. Agron.* 2(4), 195-200. DOI: 10.3923/ja.2003.195.200

Impa, S. M., and Johnson-Beebout, S. E. (2012). "Mitigating zinc deficiency and achieving high grain Zn in rice through integration of soil chemistry and plant physiology research," *Plant Soil* 361, 3-41. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-012-1315-3

- Kannan, N., Rangaraj, S., Gopalu, K., Rathinam, Y., and Venkatachalam, R. (2012). "Silica nanoparticles for increased silica availability in maize (*Zea mays* L.) seeds under hydroponic conditions," *Curr. Nanosci.* 8, 902-908. DOI: 10.2174/157341312803989033
- Kisan, B., Shruthi, H., Sharanagouda, H., Revanappa, S. B., and Pramod, N. K. (2015). "Effect of nano-zinc oxide on the leaf physical and nutritional quality of spinach," *Agrotechnol.* 5(1), 132-134. DOI: 10.4172/2168-9881.1000135
- Kottegoda, N., Munaweera, I., Madusanka, N., and Karunaratne, V. (2011). "A green slow-release fertilizer composition based on urea-modified hydroxyapatite nanoparticles encapsulated wood," *Curr. Sci.* 101, 73-78.
- Kumar, S., Patra, A. K., Datta, S. C., Rosin, K. G., and Purakayastha, T. J. (2015).
  "Phytotoxicity of nanoparticles to seed germination of plants," *Int. J. Adv. Res.* 3(3), 854-865.
- Kumar, V., Kumar, D., Singh, Y. V., and Raj, R. (2015a). "Effect of iron fertilization on dry matter production, yield and economics of aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)," *Indian J. Agron.* 60(4), 547-553. DOI: 10.59797/ija.v60i4.4491
- Ma, Y., Kuang, L., He, X., Bai, W., Ding, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., and Chai, Z. (2009). "Effect of rare earth oxide nano particles on root elongation of plants," *Chemosphere* 78(3), 273-279. DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.10.050
- Manjunatha, S. B., Biradar, D., and Aladakatti, Y. (2016). "Nanotechnology and its applications in agriculture: A review," *J. Farm Sci.* 29(1), 1-13.
- Morteza, E. P., Moaveni, H., Aliabadi, F., and Mohammad, K. (2013). "Study of photosynthetic pigments changes of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under nano TiO<sub>2</sub> spraying at various growth stages," *SpringerPlus* 2, article 247. DOI: 10.1186/2193-1801-2-247
- Nadi, E., Aynehband, and Mojaddam, M. (2013). "Effect of nano-iron chelate fertilizer on grain yield, protein percent and chlorophyll content of Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.)," *Int. J. Biosci.* 3(9), 267-272. DOI: 10.12692/ijb/3.9.267-272
- Nair, R., Varghese, S. H., Nair, B. G., Maekawa, T., Yoshida, Y., and Kumar, D. S. (2010). "Nanoparticulate material delivery to plants," *Plant Sci.* 179, 154-163. DOI: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.04.012
- Prasad, R., Shivay, Y. S., and Kumar, D. (2014). "Agronomic biofortification of cereal grains with iron and zinc," *Adv. Agron.* 125, 55-91. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-800137-0.00002-9
- Ruiqiang, L., and Rattan, L. (2014). "Synthetic apatite nano particles as a phosphorus fertilizer for soybean (*Glycine max*)," *Sci. Rep.* 4, 56-86. DOI: 10.1038/srep05686
- Sadasivam, S., and Manickam, A. (1992). *Biochemical Methods for Agricultural Sciences*, Wiley Eastern Limited, New Delhi, India, pp. 46-49.
- Safarined, M. M., Javid, F., Zad-Behtuyi, M., and Marjani, Z. (2013). "Study of rice varieties yield and yield components in response to iron nano composite applied in different growth stages," *Int. J. Farming Allied Sci.* 2(18), 638-642.
- Sangeetha, C., and Baskar, P. (2015). "Influence of different crop establishment methods on productivity of rice–a review," *Agric. Rev.* 36(2), 113-124. DOI: 10.5958/0976-0741.2015.00013.6
- Sapkota, T. B., Jat, M. L., and Rana, D. S. (2021). "Crop nutrient management using nutrient expert improves yield, increases farmers' income and reduces greenhouse gas emissions," *Sci. Rep.* 11, article 1564. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-79883-x
- Satdev, Zinzala, V. J., Archana, V., Rakesh, K., Shruti, K., and Suman, L. (2021). "Synthesized nano ZnO and its comparative effects with ZnO and heptahydrate ZnSO4 on sweet corn (*Zea mays L. saccharata*)," *Pharma Innov. J.* 10(10), 1-7.

Satyanarayana, A., and Babu, K. S. (2004). "A revolutionary method of rice cultivation," in: *Manual on System of Rice Intensification (SRI)*, N. G. Acharya, Ranga Agricultural University, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Shang, Y., Hasan, M. K., Ahammed, G. J., Li, M., Yin, H., and Zhou, J. (2019). "Applications of nanotechnology in plant growth and crop protection: A review," *Mol.* 24, 2558-2580. DOI: 10.3390/molecules24142558

- Singh, M. V. (2008). "Micronutrient deficiencies in crops and soils in India," In: *Micronutrient Deficiencies in Global Crop Production*, B. J. Alloway (ed.), Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 93-125. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4020-6860-7\_4
- Singh, S., and Sharma, A. K. (2012). "Gender issues for drudgery reduction and sustainable small holder farming in rice production system," *J. Hill Agric*. 3, 99-102.
- Sirisena, D. N., Dissanayake D. M. N., Somaweera K. A. T. N., Karunaratne V., and Kottegoda, N. (2013). "Use of nano-K fertilizer as a source of potassium in rice cultivation," *Ann. Sri Lanka Dept Agric.* 15, 257-262.
- Surendran, U., Raja, P., Jayakumar, M., and Subramoniam, S. R. (2021). "Use of efficient water saving techniques for production of rice in India under climate change scenario: A critical review," *J. Clean. Prod.* 309, 127-272. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127272
- Tapan, A., Kundu, S., and Subba, R. A. (2013). "Impact of SiO<sub>2</sub> and Mo nano particles on seed germination of rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.)," *Int. J. Agric. Food Sci. Technol.* 4(8), 809-816.
- Tuong, T. P., and Bouman, B. A. M. (2002). Rice Production in Water Scarce Environments in Water Productivity in Agriculture: Limits and Opportunities for Improvement, CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp. 13-42. DOI: 10.1079/9780851996691.0053
- United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. (2019). "World Population Prospects 2019: Highlights (ST/ESA/SER.A/423)," UN, (https://www.un.org/en/desa/world-population-prospects-2019-highlights), Accessed 01 May 2024.
- Uzu, G., Sobanska, S., Sarret, G., Munoz, M., and Dumat, C. (2010). "Foliar lead uptake by lettuce exposed to atmospheric fallouts," *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44(3), 1036-1042. DOI: 10.1021/es902190u
- Wazid, Nadagouda, S., Prabhuraj, A., Naik, R. H., Shakuntala, N. M., and Sharanagouda, H. (2018). "Effect of biosynthesized zinc oxide green nanoparticles on pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus analis* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)," *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Applied Sci.* 7(09), 503-512. DOI: 10.20546/ijcmas.2018.709.060
- Ye, T., Li, Y., Zhang, J., Hou, W., Zhou, W., Lu, J., Xing, Y., and Li, X. (2019).
  "Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium fertilization affects the flowering time of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)," *Global Ecol. Conserv.* 20, article e00753. DOI: 10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00753
- Zhang, W., Yu, Y., Huang, Y., Li, T., and Wang, P. (2011). "Modelling methane emissions from irrigated rice cultivation in China from 1960 to 2050," *Global Change Biol.* 17, 3511-3523. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02495.x

Article submitted: September 27, 2024; Peer review completed: November 9, 2024; Revised version received: November 22, 2024; Accepted: November 24, 2024; Published: December 6, 2024. DOI: 10.15376/biores.20.1.1136-1160